ANOTHER PROOF FOR THE PRESENTATION OF THE QUANTUM COHOMOLOGY OF THE MODULI OF BUNDLES OVER A RIEMANN SURFACE

VICENTE MUÑOZ

ABSTRACT. The presentation of the quantum cohomology of the moduli space of stable vector bundles of rank two and odd degree with fixed determinant over a Riemann surface of genus g > 2 is obtained. The argument avoids the use of gauge theory, providing an alternative proof to the one given in [1].

Let $\Sigma = \Sigma_g$ be a closed Riemann surface of genus g > 2 and let M_{Σ} be the moduli space of rank 2 holomorphic stable vector bundles E with det $E = \Lambda$, where Λ is a fixed line bundle of odd degree over Σ . In [1] a presentation of the quantum cohomology ring $QH^*(M_{\Sigma})$ of M_{Σ} was given by using the relationship of the Gromov-Witten invariants of M_{Σ} with some Donaldson invariants of the 4-manifold $\Sigma \times \mathbb{S}^2$. In this way, the knowledge of the instanton Floer homology of the 3-manifold $\Sigma \times \mathbb{S}^1$ gathered in [2] allowed us to find the presentation of $QH^*(M_{\Sigma})$.

It is the purpose of this note to give a purely algebro-geometrical argument to get the presentation of $QH^*(M_{\Sigma})$, avoiding any reference to the material in [2]. This provides a method which does not use gauge theory and does not require any knowledge of Donaldson invariants. It originated in a question asked to the author by Bernd Siebert (see also [3, section 3]). We shall follow those arguments in [1] which are algebro-geometrical and give alternative proofs wherever any reference to [2] is given.

By [1] the quantum cohomology $QH^*(M_{\Sigma})$ is generated by elements α , β and $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{2g}$, canonically associated to elements in the homology of Σ . Here deg $\alpha = 2$, deg $\beta = 4$ and deg $\psi_i = 3$. There is an action of the symplectic group Sp $(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ on $QH^*(M_{\Sigma})$ acting on $\{\psi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2g}$ in the standard way (and trivially on α and β). The element $\gamma = -2\sum_{i=1}^{g} \psi_i \psi_{g+i}$ is invariant under Sp $(2g, \mathbb{Z})$. The starting point is the following result

Proposition 1. ([1, proposition 10]) The $Sp(2g,\mathbb{Z})$ -decomposition of $QH^*(M_{\Sigma})$ is

$$QH^*(M_{\Sigma}) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{g-1} \Lambda_0^k H^3 \otimes \frac{\mathbb{Q}[\alpha, \beta, \gamma]}{(Q_{g-k}^1, Q_{g-k}^2, Q_{g-k}^3)}$$

Date: June, 2000.

^{*}Partially supported by project PB98-0112 from DGES.

²⁰⁰⁰ MSC. Primary: 14N35. Secondary: 14H60, 53D45.

where Q_r^i are defined recursively by setting $Q_0^1 = 1$, $Q_0^2 = 0$, $Q_0^3 = 0$ and, for $0 \le r \le g - 1$, by

$$\begin{cases} Q_{r+1}^1 = \alpha Q_r^1 + r^2 Q_r^2 \\ Q_{r+1}^2 = (\beta + c_{r+1}) Q_r^1 + \frac{2r}{r+1} Q_r^3 \\ Q_{r+1}^3 = \gamma Q_r^1 \end{cases}$$

for some numbers $c_r \in \mathbb{Q}$, $1 \leq r \leq g$, dependent on g and r.

Here $H^3 = \langle \psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{2g} \rangle$ and $\Lambda_0^k H^3$ is the irreducible sub-Sp $(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ -representation of $\Lambda^k H^3$ with dominant vector $\psi_1 \cdots \psi_k$. The recurrence in [1, proposition 18] is stated with $Q_{r+1}^3 = \gamma Q_r^1 + d_{r+1} Q_r^2$, for some $d_{r+1} \in \mathbb{Q}$. But this number $d_{r+1} = 0$, since by [1, lemma 17] we have $\gamma J_r \subset J_{r+1}$, where $J_r = (Q_r^1, Q_r^2, Q_r^3)$. So $\gamma Q_r^1 \in J_{r+1}$ and this forces $Q_{r+1}^3 = \gamma Q_r^1$.

The purpose of this paper is to give an alternative proof (avoiding the use of gauge theory) of the following result

Theorem 2. ([1, proposition 19]) We have $c_r = (-1)^{g+r} 8$, for $1 \le r \le g$.

In order to get c_r we need to use the Gromov-Witten invariants of degree 1 computed in [1] by purely algebro-geometrical methods. More concretely, let A be the positive generator of $\pi_2(M_{\Sigma}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and let $\mathbb{A}(\Sigma) = \mathbb{Q}[\alpha, \beta] \otimes \Lambda^*(\psi_1, \cdots, \psi_{2g})$ be the free graded algebra on the elements α, β and $\psi_i, 1 \leq i \leq 2g$. Then we have

Lemma 3. ([1, lemma 10]) Let $\alpha^a \beta^b \psi_{i_1} \cdots \psi_{i_r} \in \mathbb{A}(\Sigma)$ have degree 6g - 2 where $g \geq 3$. Then the Gromov-Witten invariant of M_{Σ} of degree one is

$$\Psi_A^{M_{\Sigma}}(\alpha, \stackrel{(a)}{\dots}, \alpha, \beta, \stackrel{(b)}{\dots}, \beta, \psi_{i_1}, \dots, \psi_{i_r}) = \langle (4\omega + X)^a (X^2)^b \phi_{i_1} \cdots \phi_{i_r} X^r, [J] \rangle, \tag{1}$$

evaluated on the Jacobian J of Σ , where $X^{2g-1+i} = \frac{(-8)^i}{i!} \omega^i \in H^*(J)$.

Here $\{\phi_i\}$ is a standard symplectic basis of $H^1(J)$ canonically associated to $\{\psi_i\}$ and $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^g \phi_i \wedge \phi_{g+i}$ is the volume form for the Jacobian.

We write for any $z = \alpha^a \beta^b \psi_{i_1} \cdots \psi_{i_r} \in \mathbb{A}(\Sigma)$ of degree $6g - 6 + 4d, d \ge 0$,

$$\langle \alpha^a \beta^b \psi_{i_1} \cdots \psi_{i_r} \rangle_{g,d} = \Psi_{dA}^{M_{\Sigma}}(\alpha, \stackrel{(a)}{\ldots}, \alpha, \beta, \stackrel{(b)}{\ldots}, \beta, \psi_{i_1}, \ldots, \psi_{i_r}),$$

and extend the definition to any homogeneous element of $\mathbb{A}(\Sigma)$ by linearity. Note that $\langle \rangle_{g,0}$ is evaluation of an element in $H^*(M_{\Sigma})$ of degree 6g - 6 against the fundamental class. As a corollary of lemma 3 we get that $\langle \psi_g \psi_{2g} z \rangle_{g,1} = \langle z \rangle_{g-1,1}$, for any $z \in \mathbb{A}(\Sigma_{g-1})$ of degree 6g - 8, where $\mathbb{A}(\Sigma_{g-1}) = \mathbb{Q}[\alpha, \beta] \otimes \Lambda^*(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{g-1}, \psi_{g+1}, \dots, \psi_{2g}) \subset \mathbb{A}(\Sigma)$. This is true for any $g \geq 4$. To have a similar statement for any genus, we define $\langle \alpha^a \beta^b \psi_{i_1} \cdots \psi_{i_r} \rangle_{g,1}$ as the right hand side of (1) for any $g \geq 1$. Therefore $\langle \psi_g \psi_{2g} z \rangle_{g,1} = \langle z \rangle_{g-1,1}$, for any $g \geq 2$ and any z of degree 6g - 8. Note that $\langle \psi_g \psi_{2g} z \rangle_{g,0} = -\langle z \rangle_{g-1,0}$, for $z \in \mathbb{A}(\Sigma_{g-1})$ of degree 6g - 12and any $g \geq 2$ (see [5]).

From proposition 1, we may write an iterative formula for $Q_r = Q_r^1$ as follows:

$$Q_{r+1} = \alpha Q_r + r^2 (\beta + c_r) Q_{r-1} + 2r(r-1)\gamma Q_{r-2},$$

for $0 \le r \le g-1$. Write $Q_r = q_r + C_r + \cdots$, where q_r is the leading term and C_r is the first quantum correction. Therefore q_r satisfies the recursive relation

$$q_{r+1} = \alpha q_r + r^2 \beta q_{r-1} + 2r(r-1)\gamma q_{r-2}$$
(2)

with $q_0 = 1$, and C_r satisfies the recursive relation

$$C_{r+1} = \alpha C_r + r^2 \beta C_{r-1} + 2r(r-1)\gamma C_{r-2} + r^2 c_r q_{r-1}$$
(3)

with $C_0 = 0$. The fact that Q_r is a relation for a suitable piece of the Sp $(2g, \mathbb{Z})$ -decomposition of $QH^*(M_{\Sigma})$ given in proposition 1 implies that

$$\psi_{g-k+1}\cdots\psi_g Q_{g-k+1} = 0$$

as a quantum product in $QH^*(M_{\Sigma})$. Multiplying by β^{g-k-1} and $\psi_{2g-k+1}\cdots\psi_{2g}$ we have an element of degree 6g-2,

$$\psi_{g-k+1}\psi_{2g-k+1}\cdots\psi_{g}\psi_{2g}Q_{g-k+1}\beta^{g-k-1} = 0.$$

This implies

$$(-1)^{k} \langle C_{g-k+1} \beta^{g-k-1} \rangle_{g-k,0} + \langle q_{g-k+1} \beta^{g-k-1} \rangle_{g-k,1} = 0,$$
(4)

for any $0 \le k \le g - 1$.

Recall that $\beta^g = 0$ and $\beta^{g-1}\gamma = 0$ in $H^*(M_{\Sigma})$, by [5, page 148], so in (4) we only need C_{g-k+1} modulo β and γ . By the recursive relation (2), $q_r = \alpha^r \pmod{\beta, \gamma}$ and by (3),

$$C_{g-k+1} = \sum_{r=1}^{g-k} r^2 c_r \alpha^{g-k-1} \pmod{\beta, \gamma},$$
(5)

for $g - k + 1 \ge 2$. Now recall that

(

$$\langle \alpha^{g-k-1} \beta^{g-k-1} \rangle_{g-k,0} = (-1)^{g-k-1} 4^{g-k-1} (g-k-1)! \tag{6}$$

again by [5]. Finally we need

Proposition 4. We have $\langle q_{g+1}\beta^{g-1} \rangle_{g,1} = (-1)^g 4^g (g+1)!$, for any $g \ge 1$.

Proof. By lemma 3 we need to evaluate $q_{g+1}(4\omega + X, X^2, -2\omega X^2)X^{2g-2}$, where $X^{2g-1+i} = \frac{(-8\omega)^i}{i!}$, on the Jacobian J (this holds for $g \ge 3$, and for g = 1, 2 by the convention above). Since this expression is homogeneous, it equals

$$\omega^g \frac{1}{X} q_{g+1} (4 + X, X^2, -2X^2)$$

under the substitution $X^i \mapsto \frac{(-8)^i}{i!}$. Make the change of variable X = -8Y, so we want to compute

$$g!\frac{1}{-8Y}q_{g+1}(4-8Y,64Y^2,-128Y^2) = \left(g!\frac{1}{-8}4^{g+1}\right)\frac{1}{Y}q_{g+1}(1-2Y,4Y^2,-2Y^2),$$

where $Y^i \mapsto \frac{1}{i!}$. This is the residue

$$Res_{z=0}\left(-2^{2g-1}g!\frac{q_{g+1}(1-2z,4z^2,-2z^2)}{z^2}e^{1/z}\right).$$
(7)

Define the generating function for q_g as (see [4])

$$F(t) = \sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \frac{q_g}{g!} t^g.$$

The recursion relation (2) gives

$$F' = \alpha F + \beta t^2 F' + \beta t F + 2\gamma t^2 F,$$

which yields (see [4, proposition 2.5])

$$\frac{F'}{F} = \frac{\alpha + \beta t + 2\gamma t^2}{1 - \beta t^2}$$

Substituting $\alpha = 1 - 2z$, $\beta = 4z^2$ and $\gamma = -2z^2$ we get

$$\frac{F'}{F} = \frac{1 - 2z + 4z^2t - 4z^2t^2}{1 - 4z^2t^2} = 1 - \frac{2z}{1 + 2zt},$$

from where we deduce (recalling that F(0) = 1)

$$F(t) = \frac{e^t}{1+2zt}.$$

Now we compute

$$Res_{z=0}(\frac{F(t)}{z^2}e^{1/z}) = -Res_{z=-1/2t}(\frac{F(t)}{z^2}e^{1/z}) = -\frac{1}{2t} \cdot \frac{e^t}{1/4t^2}e^{-2t} = -2te^{-t}.$$

In this expression, the coefficient of t^{g+1} is $-2(-1)^g/g!$, so (7) equates to

$$2^{2g-1}g!(-1)^g 2(g+1) = (-1)^g 4^g(g+1)!$$

With this proposition together with (5) and (6), we get that (4) reduces to

$$(-1)^k \sum_{r=1}^{g-k} r^2 c_r (-1)^{g-k-1} 4^{g-k-1} (g-k-1)! + (-1)^{g-k} 4^{g-k} (g-k+1)! = 0.$$

for $g - k \ge 1$. This gives

$$\sum_{r=1}^{g-k} r^2 c_r = (-1)^k 4(g-k+1)(g-k) = (-1)^k 8\binom{g-k+1}{2},$$

which solves to $c_r = (-1)^{g+r} 8, 1 \le r \le g.$

References

- V. MUÑOZ, 'Quantum cohomology of the moduli space of stable bundles over a Riemann surface', Duke Math. J. 98 (1999) 525–540.
- [2] V. MUÑOZ, Ring structure of the Floer cohomology of $\Sigma \times S^1$, Topology 38 (1999) 517–528.
- [3] B. SIEBERT, 'An update on (small) quantum cohomology', AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. 10 (1999) 279–312.
- [4] B. SIEBERT and G. TIAN, 'Recursive relations for the cohomology ring of moduli spaces of stable bundles', *Turkish J. Math.* 19 (1995) 131–144.
- [5] M. THADDEUS, 'Conformal field theory and the cohomology of the moduli space of stable bundles', J. Differential Geom. 35 (1992) 131–150.

Departamento de Matemáticas Facultad de Ciencias Universidad Autónoma de Madrid 28049 Madrid Spain

E-mail address: vicente.munoz@uam.es