

Four-dimensional homogeneous Lorentzian manifolds

Giovanni Calvaruso¹

¹Università del Salento, Lecce, Italy.

Joint work(s) with A. Fino (Univ. of Torino) and A. Zaeim (Payame noor Univ., Iran)

Workshop on Lorentzian homogeneous spaces, Madrid,
March 2013

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 4D non-reductive homogeneous spaces
- 3 Classification results
- 4 Conformally flat 4D homogeneous Lorentzian spaces
- 5 4D Lorentzian Lie groups

Definition

A pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is *(locally) homogeneous* if for any two points $p, q \in M$, there exists a (local) isometry ϕ , mapping p to q .

Definition

A pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is *(locally) homogeneous* if for any two points $p, q \in M$, there exists a (local) isometry ϕ , mapping p to q .

Homogeneous and locally homogeneous manifolds are among the most investigated objects in Differential Geometry.

It is a natural problem to classify all homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds (M, g) of a given dimension.

Definition

A pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is *(locally) homogeneous* if for any two points $p, q \in M$, there exists a (local) isometry ϕ , mapping p to q .

Homogeneous and locally homogeneous manifolds are among the most investigated objects in Differential Geometry.

It is a natural problem to classify all homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds (M, g) of a given dimension.

This problem has been intensively studied in the low-dimensional cases.

Different approaches to the classification problem:

Different approaches to the classification problem:

“Algebraic”: to classify all pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, formed by a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})$ and an isotropy subalgebra \mathfrak{h} , such that $\dim(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q} = \dim M$.

Different approaches to the classification problem:

“Algebraic”: to classify all pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, formed by a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ and an isotropy subalgebra \mathfrak{h} , such that $\dim(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}) = p + q = \dim M$.

“Geometric”: to understand which kind of geometric properties are true for the (locally) homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of a given dimension.

Different approaches to the classification problem:

“Algebraic”: to classify all pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, formed by a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ and an isotropy subalgebra \mathfrak{h} , such that $\dim(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}) = p + q = \dim M$.

“Geometric”: to understand which kind of geometric properties are true for the (locally) homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of a given dimension.

Three and four-dimensional cases provide some nice examples of these two different approaches.

Simply connected 3D homogeneous Riemannian manifolds:
the possible dimensions of the isometry group are

Simply connected 3D homogeneous Riemannian manifolds:
the possible dimensions of the isometry group are 6 (**real space forms**),

Simply connected 3D homogeneous Riemannian manifolds:
the possible dimensions of the isometry group are 6 (*real space forms*), 4 (essentially, the *Bianchi-Cartan-Vranceanu spaces*)

Simply connected 3D homogeneous Riemannian manifolds:
the possible dimensions of the isometry group are 6 (*real space forms*), 4 (essentially, the *Bianchi-Cartan-Vranceanu spaces*) or 3 (*Riemannian Lie groups*).

Simply connected 3D homogeneous Riemannian manifolds: the possible dimensions of the isometry group are 6 (*real space forms*), 4 (essentially, the *Bianchi-Cartan-Vranceanu spaces*) or 3 (*Riemannian Lie groups*).

On the other hand, a 3D locally homogeneous Riemannian manifold is either *locally symmetric*, or *locally isometric to a three-dimensional Riemannian Lie group* [Sekigawa, 1977].

Simply connected 3D homogeneous Riemannian manifolds: the possible dimensions of the isometry group are 6 (*real space forms*), 4 (essentially, the *Bianchi-Cartan-Vranceanu spaces*) or 3 (*Riemannian Lie groups*).

On the other hand, a 3D locally homogeneous Riemannian manifold is either *locally symmetric*, or *locally isometric to a three-dimensional Riemannian Lie group* [Sekigawa, 1977].

The Lorentzian analogue of the latter result also holds [Calvaruso, 2007], leading to a classification of 3D homogeneous Lorentzian manifolds, which has been used by several authors to study the geometry of these spaces.

Riemannian homogeneous 4-spaces were explicitly classified accordingly to the different Lie subalgebras $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(4)$ [Ishihara, 1955].

Riemannian homogeneous 4-spaces were explicitly classified accordingly to the different Lie subalgebras $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(4)$ [Ishihara, 1955].

The **pseudo-Riemannian analogue** of this classification was obtained by Komrakov [2001], who gave an explicit local description of all four-dimensional homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds with nontrivial isotropy.

Riemannian homogeneous 4-spaces were explicitly classified accordingly to the different Lie subalgebras $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(4)$ [Ishihara, 1955].

The **pseudo-Riemannian analogue** of this classification was obtained by Komrakov [2001], who gave an explicit local description of all four-dimensional homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds with nontrivial isotropy.

The downside of Komrakov's classification is that one finds **186** different pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, with $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ and $\dim(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}) = p + q = 4$,

Riemannian homogeneous 4-spaces were explicitly classified accordingly to the different Lie subalgebras $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(4)$ [Ishihara, 1955].

The **pseudo-Riemannian analogue** of this classification was obtained by Komrakov [2001], who gave an explicit local description of all four-dimensional homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds with nontrivial isotropy.

The downside of Komrakov's classification is that one finds **186** different pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, with $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ and $\dim(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}) = p + q = 4$, and each of these pairs admits a family of invariant pseudo-Riemannian metrics, depending of a number of real parameters varying from 1 to 4.

On the other hand, a **locally homogeneous Riemannian 4-manifold** is either **locally symmetric**, or locally isometric to a **Lie group** equipped with a left-invariant Riemannian metric [Bérard-Bergery, 1985].

On the other hand, a **locally homogeneous Riemannian 4-manifold** is either **locally symmetric**, or locally isometric to a **Lie group** equipped with a left-invariant Riemannian metric [Bérard-Bérgery, 1985].

This leads naturally to the following

QUESTION:

To what extent a similar result holds for locally homogeneous Lorentzian four-manifolds?

Self-adjoint operators

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote an inner product on a real vector space V and $Q : V \rightarrow V$ a self-adjoint operator.

Self-adjoint operators

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote an inner product on a real vector space V and $Q : V \rightarrow V$ a self-adjoint operator.

It is well known that when $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is positive definite, there exists an **orthonormal basis of eigenvectors** for Q .

Self-adjoint operators

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote an inner product on a real vector space V and $Q : V \rightarrow V$ a self-adjoint operator.

It is well known that when $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is positive definite, there exists an **orthonormal basis of eigenvectors** for Q .

However, if $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is only **nondegenerate**, then such a basis may not exist!

Self-adjoint operators

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote an inner product on a real vector space V and $Q : V \rightarrow V$ a self-adjoint operator.

It is well known that when $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is positive definite, there exists an **orthonormal basis of eigenvectors** for Q .

However, if $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is only **nondegenerate**, then such a basis may not exist!

In the Lorentzian case, self-adjoint operators are classified accordingly with their eigenvalues and the associated eigenspaces (*Segre types*).

In dimension 4, the possible Segre types of the Ricci operator Q are the following:

- 1 *Segre type* $[111, 1]$: Q is symmetric and so, diagonalizable. In the degenerate cases, at least two of the Ricci eigenvalues coincide.

In dimension 4, the possible Segre types of the Ricci operator Q are the following:

- 1 *Segre type* $[111, 1]$: Q is symmetric and so, diagonalizable. In the degenerate cases, at least two of the Ricci eigenvalues coincide.
- 2 *Segre type* $[11, z\bar{z}]$: Q has two real eigenvalues (coinciding in the degenerate case) and two c.c. eigenvalues.

In dimension 4, the possible Segre types of the Ricci operator Q are the following:

- 1 *Segre type* $[111, 1]$: Q is symmetric and so, diagonalizable. In the degenerate cases, at least two of the Ricci eigenvalues coincide.
- 2 *Segre type* $[11, z\bar{z}]$: Q has two real eigenvalues (coinciding in the degenerate case) and two c.c. eigenvalues.
- 3 *Segre type* $[11, 2]$: Q has three real eigenvalues (some of which coincide in the degenerate cases), one of which has multiplicity two and each associated to a one-dimensional eigenspace.

In dimension 4, the possible Segre types of the Ricci operator Q are the following:

- 1 *Segre type* $[111, 1]$: Q is symmetric and so, diagonalizable. In the degenerate cases, at least two of the Ricci eigenvalues coincide.
- 2 *Segre type* $[11, z\bar{z}]$: Q has two real eigenvalues (coinciding in the degenerate case) and two c.c. eigenvalues.
- 3 *Segre type* $[11, 2]$: Q has three real eigenvalues (some of which coincide in the degenerate cases), one of which has multiplicity two and each associated to a one-dimensional eigenspace.
- 4 *Segre type* $[1, 3]$: Q has two real eigenvalues (which coincide in the degenerate case), one of which has multiplicity three and each associated to a one-dimensional eigenspace.

Theorem

There exists a pseudo-orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_4\}$, with e_4 time-like, with respect to which Q takes one of the following canonical forms:

Theorem

There exists a pseudo-orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_4\}$, with e_4 time-like, with respect to which Q takes one of the following canonical forms:

- Segre type $[111, 1]$: $Q = \text{diag}(a, b, c, d)$.

Theorem

There exists a pseudo-orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_4\}$, with e_4 time-like, with respect to which Q takes one of the following canonical forms:

- Segre type $[111, 1]$: $Q = \text{diag}(a, b, c, d)$.

- Segre type $[11, z\bar{z}]$: $Q = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & -d \\ 0 & 0 & d & c \end{pmatrix}, d \neq 0.$

Theorem

There exists a pseudo-orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_4\}$, with e_4 time-like, with respect to which Q takes one of the following canonical forms:

- Segre type $[111, 1]$: $Q = \text{diag}(a, b, c, d)$.

- Segre type $[11, z\bar{z}]$: $Q = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & -d \\ 0 & 0 & d & c \end{pmatrix}, d \neq 0.$

- Segre type $[11, 2]$: $Q = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1+a & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & a-1 \end{pmatrix}.$

Theorem

There exists a pseudo-orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_4\}$, with e_4 time-like, with respect to which Q takes one of the following canonical forms:

- Segre type $[111, 1]$: $Q = \text{diag}(a, b, c, d)$.

- Segre type $[11, z\bar{z}]$: $Q = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & -d \\ 0 & 0 & d & c \end{pmatrix}, d \neq 0.$

- Segre type $[11, 2]$: $Q = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1+a & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & a-1 \end{pmatrix}.$

- Segre type $[1, 3]$: $Q = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}.$

Nondeg. Segre types	$[111, 1]$	$[11, z\bar{z}]$	$[11, 2]$	$[1, 3]$
Degenerate S. types	$[11(1, 1)]$ $[(11)1, 1]$ $[(11)(1, 1)]$ $[1(11, 1)]$ $[(111), 1]$ $[(111), 1]$	$[(11), z\bar{z}]$	$[1(1, 2)]$ $[(11), 2]$ $[(11), 2]$	$[(1, 3)]$

Nondeg. Segre types	$[111, 1]$	$[11, z\bar{z}]$	$[11, 2]$	$[1, 3]$
Degenerate S. types	$[11(1, 1)]$ $[(11)1, 1]$ $[(11)(1, 1)]$ $[1(11, 1)]$ $[(111), 1]$ $[(111), 1]$	$[(11), z\bar{z}]$	$[1(1, 2)]$ $[(11), 2]$ $[(11), 2]$	$[(1, 3)]$

QUESTION:

For which Segre types of the Ricci operator,

Nondeg. Segre types	$[111, 1]$	$[11, z\bar{z}]$	$[11, 2]$	$[1, 3]$
Degenerate S. types	$[11(1, 1)]$ $[(11)1, 1]$ $[(11)(1, 1)]$ $[1(11, 1)]$ $[(111), 1]$ $[(111), 1]$	$[(11), z\bar{z}]$	$[1(1, 2)]$ $[(11), 2]$ $[(11), 2]$	$[(1, 3)]$

QUESTION:

For which Segre types of the Ricci operator, is a locally homogeneous Lorentzian four-manifold necessarily either Ricci-parallel, or locally isometric to some Lorentzian Lie group?

Differently from the Riemannian case, a homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) **needs not to be reductive**. Non-reductive homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian 4-manifolds were classified by Fels and Renner [Canad. J. Math., 2006].

Differently from the Riemannian case, a homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) **needs not to be reductive**. Non-reductive homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian 4-manifolds were classified by Fels and Renner [Canad. J. Math., 2006].

Starting from the description of the Lie algebra of the transitive groups of isometries, such spaces have been classified into 8 classes: A_1, A_2, A_3 (admitting both Lorentzian and neutral signature invariant metrics), A_4, A_5 (admitting invariant Lorentzian metrics) and B_1, B_2, B_3 (admitting invariant metrics of neutral signature).

Differently from the Riemannian case, a homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) **needs not to be reductive**. Non-reductive homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian 4-manifolds were classified by Fels and Renner [Canad. J. Math., 2006].

Starting from the description of the Lie algebra of the transitive groups of isometries, such spaces have been classified into 8 classes: A_1, A_2, A_3 (admitting both Lorentzian and neutral signature invariant metrics), A_4, A_5 (admitting invariant Lorentzian metrics) and B_1, B_2, B_3 (admitting invariant metrics of neutral signature).

Recently, we obtained an explicit description of invariant metrics on these spaces, which allowed us to make a thorough investigation of their geometry.

Let $M = G/H$ a homogeneous space, \mathfrak{g} the Lie algebra of G and \mathfrak{h} the isotropy subalgebra.

The quotient $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ identifies with a subspace of \mathfrak{g} , complementary to \mathfrak{h} (**not necessarily invariant**).

Let $M = G/H$ a homogeneous space, \mathfrak{g} the Lie algebra of G and \mathfrak{h} the isotropy subalgebra.

The quotient $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ identifies with a subspace of \mathfrak{g} , complementary to \mathfrak{h} (**not necessarily invariant**).

The pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ uniquely determines the isotropy representation

$$\rho : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m}), \quad \rho(x)(y) = [x, y]_{\mathfrak{m}} \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{h}, y \in \mathfrak{m}.$$

Let $M = G/H$ a homogeneous space, \mathfrak{g} the Lie algebra of G and \mathfrak{h} the isotropy subalgebra.

The quotient $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ identifies with a subspace of \mathfrak{g} , complementary to \mathfrak{h} (**not necessarily invariant**).

The pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ uniquely determines the isotropy representation

$$\rho : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m}), \quad \rho(\mathbf{x})(\mathbf{y}) = [\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]_{\mathfrak{m}} \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathfrak{h}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathfrak{m}.$$

Invariant pseudo-Riemannian metrics on M correspond to nondegenerate bilinear symmetric forms g on \mathfrak{m} , such that

$$\rho(\mathbf{x})^t \circ g + g \circ \rho(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

Such a form g on \mathfrak{m} uniquely determines the corresponding Levi-Civita connection, described by $\Lambda : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m})$, such that

$$\Lambda(x)(y_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \frac{1}{2}[x, y]_{\mathfrak{m}} + v(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g},$$

where $v : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ is determined by

$$2g(v(x, y), z_{\mathfrak{m}}) = g(x_{\mathfrak{m}}, [z, y]_{\mathfrak{m}}) + g(y_{\mathfrak{m}}, [z, x]_{\mathfrak{m}}), \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Such a form g on \mathfrak{m} uniquely determines the corresponding Levi-Civita connection, described by $\Lambda : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m})$, such that

$$\Lambda(x)(y_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \frac{1}{2}[x, y]_{\mathfrak{m}} + v(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g},$$

where $v : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ is determined by

$$2g(v(x, y), z_{\mathfrak{m}}) = g(x_{\mathfrak{m}}, [z, y]_{\mathfrak{m}}) + g(y_{\mathfrak{m}}, [z, x]_{\mathfrak{m}}), \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

The curvature tensor corresponds to $R : \mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m})$, such that

$$R(x, y) = [\Lambda(x), \Lambda(y)] - \Lambda([x, y]),$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{m}$.

Such a form g on \mathfrak{m} uniquely determines the corresponding Levi-Civita connection, described by $\Lambda : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m})$, such that

$$\Lambda(x)(y_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \frac{1}{2}[x, y]_{\mathfrak{m}} + v(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g},$$

where $v : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ is determined by

$$2g(v(x, y), z_{\mathfrak{m}}) = g(x_{\mathfrak{m}}, [z, y]_{\mathfrak{m}}) + g(y_{\mathfrak{m}}, [z, x]_{\mathfrak{m}}), \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

The curvature tensor corresponds to $R : \mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{m})$, such that

$$R(x, y) = [\Lambda(x), \Lambda(y)] - \Lambda([x, y]),$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{m}$.

The Ricci tensor ϱ of g , with respect to a basis $\{u_i\}$ of \mathfrak{m} , is given by

$$\varrho(u_i, u_j) = \sum_{r=1}^4 R_{ri}(u_r, u_j), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, 4.$$

A1) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a}_1$ is the decomposable 5-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{s}(2)$. There exists a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_5\}$ of \mathfrak{a}_1 , such that the non-zero products are

$$[e_1, e_2] = 2e_2, \quad [e_1, e_3] = -2e_3, \quad [e_2, e_3] = e_1, \quad [e_4, e_5] = e_4$$

and $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Span}\{h_1 = e_3 + e_4\}$.

A1) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a}_1$ is the decomposable 5-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{s}(2)$. There exists a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_5\}$ of \mathfrak{a}_1 , such that the non-zero products are

$$[e_1, e_2] = 2e_2, \quad [e_1, e_3] = -2e_3, \quad [e_2, e_3] = e_1, \quad [e_4, e_5] = e_4$$

and $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Span}\{h_1 = e_3 + e_4\}$. So, we can take

$$\mathfrak{m} = \text{Span}\{u_1 = e_1, u_2 = e_2, u_3 = e_5, u_4 = e_3 - e_4\}$$

and have the following isotropy representation for h_1 :

$$H_1(u_1) = u_4, \quad H_1(u_2) = -u_1, \quad H_1(u_3) = -\frac{1}{2}u_4, \quad H_1(u_4) = 0.$$

A1) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a}_1$ is the decomposable 5-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2)$. There exists a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_5\}$ of \mathfrak{a}_1 , such that the non-zero products are

$$[e_1, e_2] = 2e_2, \quad [e_1, e_3] = -2e_3, \quad [e_2, e_3] = e_1, \quad [e_4, e_5] = e_4$$

and $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Span}\{h_1 = e_3 + e_4\}$. So, we can take

$$\mathfrak{m} = \text{Span}\{u_1 = e_1, u_2 = e_2, u_3 = e_5, u_4 = e_3 - e_4\}$$

and have the following isotropy representation for h_1 :

$$H_1(u_1) = u_4, \quad H_1(u_2) = -u_1, \quad H_1(u_3) = -\frac{1}{2}u_4, \quad H_1(u_4) = 0.$$

Consequently, with respect to $\{u_i\}$, invariant metrics g are

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & -\frac{a}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & b & c & a \\ -\frac{a}{2} & c & d & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a(a - 4d) \neq 0.$$

A2) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a}_2$ is the one-parameter family of 5-dimensional Lie algebras:

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_5] &= (\alpha + 1)e_1, & [e_2, e_4] &= e_1, & [e_2, e_5] &= \alpha e_2, \\ [e_3, e_4] &= e_2, & [e_3, e_5] &= (\alpha - 1)e_3, & [e_4, e_5] &= e_4, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Span}\{h_1 = e_4\}$. Hence, we can take

$$\mathfrak{m} = \text{Span}\{u_1 = e_1, u_2 = e_2, u_3 = e_3, u_4 = e_5\}.$$

A2) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a}_2$ is the one-parameter family of 5-dimensional Lie algebras:

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_5] &= (\alpha + 1)e_1, & [e_2, e_4] &= e_1, & [e_2, e_5] &= \alpha e_2, \\ [e_3, e_4] &= e_2, & [e_3, e_5] &= (\alpha - 1)e_3, & [e_4, e_5] &= e_4, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Span}\{h_1 = e_4\}$. Hence, we can take

$$\mathfrak{m} = \text{Span}\{u_1 = e_1, u_2 = e_2, u_3 = e_3, u_4 = e_5\}.$$

With respect to $\{u_i\}$, invariant metrics have the form

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -a & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & 0 \\ -a & 0 & b & c \\ 0 & 0 & c & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad ad \neq 0.$$

A3) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a}_3$ is described by

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_4] &= 2e_1, & [e_2, e_3] &= e_1, & [e_2, e_4] &= e_2, \\ [e_2, e_5] &= -\varepsilon e_3, & [e_3, e_4] &= e_3, & [e_3, e_5] &= e_2, \end{aligned}$$

with $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Span}\{h_1 = e_3\}$. Thus,

$$\mathfrak{m} = \text{Span}\{u_1 = e_1, u_2 = e_2, u_3 = e_4, u_4 = e_5\}$$

A3) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a}_3$ is described by

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_4] &= 2e_1, & [e_2, e_3] &= e_1, & [e_2, e_4] &= e_2, \\ [e_2, e_5] &= -\varepsilon e_3, & [e_3, e_4] &= e_3, & [e_3, e_5] &= e_2, \end{aligned}$$

with $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Span}\{h_1 = e_3\}$. Thus,

$$\mathfrak{m} = \text{Span}\{u_1 = e_1, u_2 = e_2, u_3 = e_4, u_4 = e_5\}$$

and with respect to $\{u_j\}$, invariant metrics are given by

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b & c \\ a & 0 & c & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad ab \neq 0.$$

A4) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a}_4$ is the 6-dimensional Schroedinger Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathfrak{n}(3)$, where $\mathfrak{n}(3)$ is the 3D Heisenberg algebra.

$$\begin{aligned}
 [e_1, e_2] &= 2e_2, & [e_1, e_3] &= -2e_3, & [e_2, e_3] &= e_1, & [e_1, e_4] &= e_4, \\
 [e_1, e_5] &= -e_5, & [e_2, e_5] &= e_4, & [e_3, e_4] &= e_5, & [e_4, e_5] &= e_6
 \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Span}\{h_1 = e_3 + e_6, h_2 = e_5\}$. Therefore, we take

$$\mathfrak{m} = \text{Span}\{u_1 = e_1, u_2 = e_2, u_3 = e_3 - e_6, u_4 = e_4\}$$

A4) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a}_4$ is the 6-dimensional Schroedinger Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathfrak{n}(3)$, where $\mathfrak{n}(3)$ is the 3D Heisenberg algebra.

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= 2e_2, & [e_1, e_3] &= -2e_3, & [e_2, e_3] &= e_1, & [e_1, e_4] &= e_4, \\ [e_1, e_5] &= -e_5, & [e_2, e_5] &= e_4, & [e_3, e_4] &= e_5, & [e_4, e_5] &= e_6 \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Span}\{h_1 = e_3 + e_6, h_2 = e_5\}$. Therefore, we take

$$\mathfrak{m} = \text{Span}\{u_1 = e_1, u_2 = e_2, u_3 = e_3 - e_6, u_4 = e_4\}$$

and from the isotropy representation for h_1, h_2 , we conclude that with respect to $\{u_j\}$, invariant metrics are of the form

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & a & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{a}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad a \neq 0.$$

A5) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a}_5$ is the 7-dimensional Lie algebra described by

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= 2e_2, & [e_1, e_3] &= -2e_3, & [e_1, e_5] &= -e_5, & [e_1, e_6] &= e_6, \\ [e_2, e_3] &= e_1, & [e_2, e_5] &= e_6, & [e_3, e_6] &= e_5, & [e_4, e_7] &= 2e_4 \\ [e_5, e_6] &= e_4, & [e_5, e_7] &= e_5, & [e_6, e_7] &= e_6. \end{aligned}$$

The isotropy is $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Span}\{h_1 = e_1 + e_7, h_2 = e_3 - e_4, h_3 = e_5\}$.

So,

$$\mathfrak{m} = \text{Span}\{u_1 = e_1 - e_7, u_2 = e_2, u_3 = e_3 + e_4, u_4 = e_6\}$$

and find the isotropy representation for $h_i, i = 1, 2, 3$.

A5) $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a}_5$ is the 7-dimensional Lie algebra described by

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= 2e_2, & [e_1, e_3] &= -2e_3, & [e_1, e_5] &= -e_5, & [e_1, e_6] &= e_6, \\ [e_2, e_3] &= e_1, & [e_2, e_5] &= e_6, & [e_3, e_6] &= e_5, & [e_4, e_7] &= 2e_4 \\ [e_5, e_6] &= e_4, & [e_5, e_7] &= e_5, & [e_6, e_7] &= e_6. \end{aligned}$$

The isotropy is $\mathfrak{h} = \text{Span}\{h_1 = e_1 + e_7, h_2 = e_3 - e_4, h_3 = e_5\}$.

So,

$$\mathfrak{m} = \text{Span}\{u_1 = e_1 - e_7, u_2 = e_2, u_3 = e_3 + e_4, u_4 = e_6\}$$

and find the isotropy representation for $h_i, i = 1, 2, 3$. Then, invariant metrics are of the form

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{a}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{a}{4} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{a}{8} \end{pmatrix}, \quad a \neq 0.$$

Segre types of the Ricci operator

$$\mathbf{A1:} \begin{pmatrix} -2a^{-1} & 0 & a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -2a^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{16d(a+4d)}{a^2(a-4d)} & -\frac{2c}{a^2} & -2a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} [1(1,2)],$$

Segre types of the Ricci operator

$$\mathbf{A1:} \begin{pmatrix} -2a^{-1} & 0 & a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -2a^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{16d(a+4d)}{a^2(a-4d)} & -\frac{2c}{a^2} & -2a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} [1(1,2)],$$

$$\mathbf{A2:} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{3\alpha^2}{d} & 0 & -\frac{b(3\alpha-2)}{ad} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{3\alpha^2}{d} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{3\alpha^2}{d} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{3\alpha^2}{d} \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} [(11,2)], \\ [(111,1)], \end{matrix}$$

Segre types of the Ricci operator

$$\mathbf{A3:} \begin{pmatrix} -3b^{-1} & 0 & 0 & \frac{d+\varepsilon b}{ab} \\ 0 & -3b^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3b^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3b^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} [(11, 2)], \\ [(111, 1)], \end{matrix}$$

Segre types of the Ricci operator

$$\mathbf{A3:} \begin{pmatrix} -3b^{-1} & 0 & 0 & \frac{d+\varepsilon b}{ab} \\ 0 & -3b^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3b^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3b^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{l} [(11, 2)], \\ [(111, 1)], \end{array}$$

$$\mathbf{A4:} \begin{pmatrix} -3a^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -3a^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{5b}{a^2} & -3a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{l} [(11, 2)], \\ [(111, 1)], \end{array}$$

Segre types of the Ricci operator

$$\mathbf{A3:} \begin{pmatrix} -3b^{-1} & 0 & 0 & \frac{d+\varepsilon b}{ab} \\ 0 & -3b^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -3b^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3b^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{l} [(11, 2)], \\ [(111, 1)], \end{array}$$

$$\mathbf{A4:} \begin{pmatrix} -3a^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -3a^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{5b}{a^2} & -3a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{l} [(11, 2)], \\ [(111, 1)], \end{array}$$

$$\mathbf{A5:} -\frac{12}{a} Id \quad [(111, 1)].$$

Segre types of the Ricci operator

When the Ricci operator is of Segre type $[(111, 1)]$, the metric is Einstein (in particular, Ricci-parallel).

Segre types of the Ricci operator

When the Ricci operator is of Segre type $[(111, 1)]$, the metric is Einstein (in particular, Ricci-parallel).

On the other hand, there exist **non-reductive** homogeneous Lorentzian 4-manifolds with Ricci operator of Segre type either $[1(1,2)]$ or $[(11,2)]$ (which are **not Ricci-parallel**).

Segre types of the Ricci operator

When the Ricci operator is of Segre type $[(111, 1)]$, the metric is Einstein (in particular, Ricci-parallel).

On the other hand, there exist **non-reductive** homogeneous Lorentzian 4-manifolds with Ricci operator of Segre type either $[1(1,2)]$ or $[(11,2)]$ (which are **not Ricci-parallel**).

Thus, **for such Segre types** of the Ricci operator,

Segre types of the Ricci operator

When the Ricci operator is of Segre type $[(111, 1)]$, the metric is Einstein (in particular, Ricci-parallel).

On the other hand, there exist **non-reductive** homogeneous Lorentzian 4-manifolds with Ricci operator of Segre type either $[1(1,2)]$ or $[(11,2)]$ (which are **not Ricci-parallel**).

Thus, **for such Segre types** of the Ricci operator, a result similar to the one of Bérard-Bergery **cannot hold!!!**

Geometry of non-reductive examples

A geodesic γ through a point of a homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold is said to be **homogeneous** if it is a orbit of a one-parameter subgroup.

Geometry of non-reductive examples

A geodesic γ through a point of a homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold is said to be **homogeneous** if it is a orbit of a one-parameter subgroup.

A homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold is a **g.o. space** if any of its geodesics through a point is homogeneous.

Geometry of non-reductive examples

A geodesic γ through a point of a homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold is said to be **homogeneous** if it is a orbit of a one-parameter subgroup.

A homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold is a **g.o. space** if any of its geodesics through a point is homogeneous.

Low-dimensional Riemannian g.o. spaces are naturally reductive.

Geometry of non-reductive examples

A geodesic γ through a point of a homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold is said to be **homogeneous** if it is a orbit of a one-parameter subgroup.

A homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold is a **g.o. space** if any of its geodesics through a point is homogeneous.

Low-dimensional Riemannian g.o. spaces are naturally reductive.

However, **there exist non-reductive** (pseudo-Riemannian) **g.o. 4-spaces**.

Non-reductive Ricci solitons

A **Ricci soliton** is a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) , together with a vector field X , such that

$$\mathcal{L}_X g + \varrho = \lambda g,$$

where \mathcal{L} is the Lie derivative, ϱ the Ricci tensor and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Non-reductive Ricci solitons

A **Ricci soliton** is a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) , together with a vector field X , such that

$$\mathcal{L}_X g + \varrho = \lambda g,$$

where \mathcal{L} is the Lie derivative, ϱ the Ricci tensor and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. The Ricci soliton is expanding, steady or shrinking depending on $\lambda < 0$, $\lambda = 0$, $\lambda > 0$.

Non-reductive Ricci solitons

A **Ricci soliton** is a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) , together with a vector field X , such that

$$\mathcal{L}_X g + \varrho = \lambda g,$$

where \mathcal{L} is the Lie derivative, ϱ the Ricci tensor and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. The Ricci soliton is expanding, steady or shrinking depending on $\lambda < 0$, $\lambda = 0$, $\lambda > 0$.

Ricci solitons are the self-similar solutions of the **Ricci flow**

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(t) = -2\varrho(t).$$

Einstein manifolds are trivial Ricci solitons.

Non-reductive Ricci solitons

There exist plenty of examples of 4D homogeneous Ricci solitons, both Lorentzian and of neutral signature $(2, 2)$. In particular, for **non-reductive** Lorentzian four-manifolds, we have the following.

Non-reductive Ricci solitons

There exist plenty of examples of 4D homogeneous Ricci solitons, both Lorentzian and of neutral signature $(2, 2)$. In particular, for **non-reductive** Lorentzian four-manifolds, we have the following.

Theorem

An invariant Lorentzian metric of a 4D non-reductive homogeneous manifold $M = G/H$ is a nontrivial Ricci soliton if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

Non-reductive Ricci solitons

There exist plenty of examples of 4D homogeneous Ricci solitons, both Lorentzian and of neutral signature $(2, 2)$. In particular, for **non-reductive** Lorentzian four-manifolds, we have the following.

Theorem

An invariant Lorentzian metric of a 4D non-reductive homogeneous manifold $M = G/H$ is a nontrivial Ricci soliton if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

- (a) M is of type A1 and g satisfies $b = 0$. In this case, $\lambda = -\frac{2}{a}$.

Non-reductive Ricci solitons

There exist plenty of examples of 4D homogeneous Ricci solitons, both Lorentzian and of neutral signature $(2, 2)$. In particular, for **non-reductive** Lorentzian four-manifolds, we have the following.

Theorem

An invariant Lorentzian metric of a 4D non-reductive homogeneous manifold $M = G/H$ is a nontrivial Ricci soliton if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

- (a) M is of type A1 and g satisfies $b = 0$. In this case, $\lambda = -\frac{2}{a}$.
- (b) M is of type A2, g satisfies $b \neq 0$ and $\alpha \neq \frac{2}{3}$. In this case, $\lambda = -\frac{3\alpha^2}{d}$.

Non-reductive Ricci solitons

There exist plenty of examples of 4D homogeneous Ricci solitons, both Lorentzian and of neutral signature $(2, 2)$. In particular, for **non-reductive** Lorentzian four-manifolds, we have the following.

Theorem

An invariant Lorentzian metric of a 4D non-reductive homogeneous manifold $M = G/H$ is a nontrivial Ricci soliton if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

- (a) M is of type A1 and g satisfies $b = 0$. In this case, $\lambda = -\frac{2}{a}$.
- (b) M is of type A2, g satisfies $b \neq 0$ and $\alpha \neq \frac{2}{3}$. In this case, $\lambda = -\frac{3\alpha^2}{d}$.
- (c) M is of type A4 and g satisfies $b \neq 0$. In this case, $\lambda = -\frac{3}{a}$.

Non-reductive Ricci solitons

Several rigidity results hold for homogeneous Riemannian Ricci solitons.

Non-reductive Ricci solitons

Several rigidity results hold for homogeneous Riemannian Ricci solitons.

In particular, [all the known examples of Ricci solitons on non-compact homogeneous Riemannian manifolds are isometric to some solvsolitons](#), that is, to left-invariant Ricci solitons on a solvable Lie group.

Non-reductive Ricci solitons

Several rigidity results hold for homogeneous Riemannian Ricci solitons.

In particular, **all the known examples of Ricci solitons on non-compact homogeneous Riemannian manifolds are isometric to some solvsolitons**, that is, to left-invariant Ricci solitons on a solvable Lie group.

Obviously, **non-reductive Ricci solitons are not isometric to solvsolitons**.

Theorem

Let (M, g) be a locally homogeneous Lorentzian four-manifold. If the Ricci operator of (M, g) is neither of Segre type $[1(1, 2)]$ nor of Segre type $[(11, 2)]$,

Theorem

Let (M, g) be a locally homogeneous Lorentzian four-manifold. If the Ricci operator of (M, g) is neither of Segre type $[1(1, 2)]$ nor of Segre type $[(11, 2)]$, then (M, g) is either Ricci-parallel or locally isometric to a Lie group equipped with a left-invariant Lorentzian metric.

Theorem

Let (M, g) be a locally homogeneous Lorentzian four-manifold. If the Ricci operator of (M, g) is neither of Segre type $[1(1, 2)]$ nor of Segre type $[(11, 2)]$, then (M, g) is either Ricci-parallel or locally isometric to a Lie group equipped with a left-invariant Lorentzian metric.

As there exist four-dimensional non-reductive homogeneous Lorentzian four-manifolds, with Ricci operator of Segre type either $[1(1, 2)]$ or $[(11, 2)]$, which are neither Ricci-parallel nor locally isometric to a Lie group,

Theorem

Let (M, g) be a locally homogeneous Lorentzian four-manifold. If the Ricci operator of (M, g) is neither of Segre type $[1(1, 2)]$ nor of Segre type $[(11, 2)]$, then (M, g) is either Ricci-parallel or locally isometric to a Lie group equipped with a left-invariant Lorentzian metric.

As there exist four-dimensional non-reductive homogeneous Lorentzian four-manifolds, with Ricci operator of Segre type either $[1(1, 2)]$ or $[(11, 2)]$, which are neither Ricci-parallel nor locally isometric to a Lie group, the above result is **optimal**.

Given a 4D (locally) homogeneous Lorentzian manifold (M, g) ,

Given a 4D (locally) homogeneous Lorentzian manifold (M, g) , the Ricci operator Q of (M, g) has **the same Segre type at any point and constant eigenvalues**.

Given a 4D (locally) homogeneous Lorentzian manifold (M, g) , the Ricci operator Q of (M, g) has **the same Segre type at any point and constant eigenvalues**.

We can build a (local) pseudo-orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}$, such that

- the Ricci operator takes one of the canonical forms for the corresponding Segre type,

Given a 4D (locally) homogeneous Lorentzian manifold (M, g) , the Ricci operator Q of (M, g) has **the same Segre type at any point and constant eigenvalues**.

We can build a (local) pseudo-orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}$, such that

- the Ricci operator takes one of the canonical forms for the corresponding Segre type,
- and the components of $R, \nabla R, \nabla^2 R \dots \nabla^k R \dots$ **remain constant**.

Let Γ_{ij}^k denote the coefficients of the Levi-Civita connection of (M, g) with respect to $\{e_i\}$, that is,

$$\nabla_{e_i} e_j = \sum_k \Gamma_{ij}^k e_k,$$

Since $\nabla g = 0$, we have:

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = -\varepsilon_j \varepsilon_k \Gamma_{ik}^j, \quad \forall i, j, k = 1, \dots, 4,$$

where $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_3 = -\varepsilon_4 = 1$.

Let Γ_{ij}^k denote the coefficients of the Levi-Civita connection of (M, g) with respect to $\{e_i\}$, that is,

$$\nabla_{e_i} e_j = \sum_k \Gamma_{ij}^k e_k,$$

Since $\nabla g = 0$, we have:

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = -\varepsilon_j \varepsilon_k \Gamma_{ik}^j, \quad \forall i, j, k = 1, \dots, 4,$$

where $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_3 = -\varepsilon_4 = 1$.

The curvature of (M, g) can be then completely described in terms of Γ_{ij}^k .

Nondegenerate Ricci operator

Theorem

A simply connected, complete homogeneous Lorentzian four-manifold (M, g) with a **nondegenerate** Ricci operator,

Nondegenerate Ricci operator

Theorem

A simply connected, complete homogeneous Lorentzian four-manifold (M, g) with a **nondegenerate** Ricci operator, is **isometric to a Lie group** equipped with a left-invariant Lorentzian metric.

Nondegenerate Ricci operator

Theorem

A simply connected, complete homogeneous Lorentzian four-manifold (M, g) with a **nondegenerate** Ricci operator, is **isometric to a Lie group** equipped with a left-invariant Lorentzian metric.

Proof: There are **four** distinct possible forms for the nondegenerate Ricci operator Q of (M, g) . Using a case-by-case argument, we showed that for any of them, (M, g) is isometric to a Lie group.

Example: suppose that Q is of type $[11, 2]$.

Example: suppose that Q is of type $[11, 2]$.

Consider a pseudo-orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, \dots, e_4\}$ on (M, g) , with respect to which the components of $Ric, \nabla Ric$ are constant, with Q taking its canonical form.

Example: suppose that Q is of type $[11, 2]$.

Consider a pseudo-orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, \dots, e_4\}$ on (M, g) , with respect to which the components of $Ric, \nabla Ric$ are constant, with Q taking its canonical form.

Denoted by $\{\omega^i\}$ the coframe dual to e_i with respect to g , by the definition of the Ricci tensor we get

$$Ric = q_1 \omega^1 \otimes \omega^1 + q_2 \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2 + (1 + q_3) \omega^3 \otimes \omega^3 - 2\omega^3 \circ \omega^4 + (1 - q_3) \omega^4 \otimes \omega^4,$$

where $q_i \neq q_j$ when $i \neq j$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{So, } \nabla Ric = & 2 \sum_{k=1}^4 \left(\Gamma_{k1}^2 (q_1 - q_2) \omega^1 \circ \omega^2 \otimes \omega^k \right. \\
 & + (\Gamma_{k1}^4 - \Gamma_{k1}^3 (1 + q_3 - q_1)) \omega^1 \circ \omega^3 \otimes \omega^k \\
 & + (\Gamma_{k1}^3 - \Gamma_{k1}^4 (1 + q_1 - q_3)) \omega^1 \circ \omega^4 \otimes \omega^k \\
 & + (\Gamma_{k2}^4 - \Gamma_{k2}^3 (1 + q_3 - q_2)) \omega^2 \circ \omega^3 \otimes \omega^k \\
 & + (\Gamma_{k2}^3 - \Gamma_{k2}^4 (1 + q_2 - q_3)) \omega^2 \circ \omega^4 \otimes \omega^k \\
 & + \Gamma_{k3}^4 \omega^3 \circ \omega^3 \otimes \omega^k - 2\Gamma_{k3}^4 \omega^3 \circ \omega^4 \otimes \omega^k \\
 & \left. + \Gamma_{k3}^4 \omega^4 \circ \omega^4 \otimes \omega^k \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{So, } \nabla Ric = & 2 \sum_{k=1}^4 \left(\Gamma_{k1}^2 (q_1 - q_2) \omega^1 \circ \omega^2 \otimes \omega^k \right. \\
 & + (\Gamma_{k1}^4 - \Gamma_{k1}^3 (1 + q_3 - q_1)) \omega^1 \circ \omega^3 \otimes \omega^k \\
 & + (\Gamma_{k1}^3 - \Gamma_{k1}^4 (1 + q_1 - q_3)) \omega^1 \circ \omega^4 \otimes \omega^k \\
 & + (\Gamma_{k2}^4 - \Gamma_{k2}^3 (1 + q_3 - q_2)) \omega^2 \circ \omega^3 \otimes \omega^k \\
 & + (\Gamma_{k2}^3 - \Gamma_{k2}^4 (1 + q_2 - q_3)) \omega^2 \circ \omega^4 \otimes \omega^k \\
 & + \Gamma_{k3}^4 \omega^3 \circ \omega^3 \otimes \omega^k - 2\Gamma_{k3}^4 \omega^3 \circ \omega^4 \otimes \omega^k \\
 & \left. + \Gamma_{k3}^4 \omega^4 \circ \omega^4 \otimes \omega^k \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

The constancy of the components of ∇Ric then implies that Γ_{ij}^k is constant for all indices i, j, k .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{So, } \nabla Ric = & 2 \sum_{k=1}^4 \left(\Gamma_{k1}^2 (q_1 - q_2) \omega^1 \circ \omega^2 \otimes \omega^k \right. \\
 & + (\Gamma_{k1}^4 - \Gamma_{k1}^3 (1 + q_3 - q_1)) \omega^1 \circ \omega^3 \otimes \omega^k \\
 & + (\Gamma_{k1}^3 - \Gamma_{k1}^4 (1 + q_1 - q_3)) \omega^1 \circ \omega^4 \otimes \omega^k \\
 & + (\Gamma_{k2}^4 - \Gamma_{k2}^3 (1 + q_3 - q_2)) \omega^2 \circ \omega^3 \otimes \omega^k \\
 & + (\Gamma_{k2}^3 - \Gamma_{k2}^4 (1 + q_2 - q_3)) \omega^2 \circ \omega^4 \otimes \omega^k \\
 & + \Gamma_{k3}^4 \omega^3 \circ \omega^3 \otimes \omega^k - 2\Gamma_{k3}^4 \omega^3 \circ \omega^4 \otimes \omega^k \\
 & \left. + \Gamma_{k3}^4 \omega^4 \circ \omega^4 \otimes \omega^k \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

The constancy of the components of ∇Ric then implies that Γ_{ij}^k is constant for all indices i, j, k . So, (M, g) has a Lie group structure with a left-invariant Lorentzian metric.

A similar argument works for a “good” degenerate Segre type:

A similar argument works for a “good” degenerate Segre type:

Theorem

Let (M, g) be a simply connected, complete four-dimensional homogeneous Lorentzian manifold. If the Ricci operator of (M, g) is of **degenerate type** $[(11)(1, 1)]$, then either (M, g) is Ricci-parallel, or it is a Lie group equipped with a left-invariant Lorentzian metric.

A similar argument works for a “good” degenerate Segre type:

Theorem

Let (M, g) be a simply connected, complete four-dimensional homogeneous Lorentzian manifold. If the Ricci operator of (M, g) is of **degenerate type** $[(11)(1, 1)]$, then either (M, g) is Ricci-parallel, or it is a Lie group equipped with a left-invariant Lorentzian metric.

If the Ricci operator is of degenerate Segre type $[(111, 1)]$, then (M, g) is Einstein and so, Ricci-parallel.

For the remaining degenerate forms of the Ricci operator,

For the remaining degenerate forms of the Ricci operator, we cannot prove directly that a corresponding homogeneous Lorentzian 4-manifold is either a Lie group or Ricci-parallel.

For the remaining degenerate forms of the Ricci operator, we cannot prove directly that a corresponding homogeneous Lorentzian 4-manifold is either a Lie group or Ricci-parallel. However, this conclusion can be proved indirectly,

For the remaining degenerate forms of the Ricci operator, we cannot prove directly that a corresponding homogeneous Lorentzian 4-manifold is either a Lie group or Ricci-parallel.

However, this conclusion can be proved indirectly, [checking that homogeneous Lorentzian four-manifolds with non-trivial isotropy, having such a Ricci operator, if not Ricci-parallel,](#)

For the remaining degenerate forms of the Ricci operator, we cannot prove directly that a corresponding homogeneous Lorentzian 4-manifold is either a Lie group or Ricci-parallel.

However, this conclusion can be proved indirectly, [checking that homogeneous Lorentzian four-manifolds with non-trivial isotropy, having such a Ricci operator, if not Ricci-parallel, either do not occur, or are also isometric to some Lie groups.](#)

For the remaining degenerate forms of the Ricci operator, we cannot prove directly that a corresponding homogeneous Lorentzian 4-manifold is either a Lie group or Ricci-parallel.

However, this conclusion can be proved indirectly, [checking that homogeneous Lorentzian four-manifolds with non-trivial isotropy, having such a Ricci operator, if not Ricci-parallel, either do not occur, or are also isometric to some Lie groups.](#)

The starting point is, once again, [Komrakov's classification](#) and description of homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian 4-manifolds with nontrivial isotropy.

For the remaining degenerate forms of the Ricci operator, we cannot prove directly that a corresponding homogeneous Lorentzian 4-manifold is either a Lie group or Ricci-parallel.

However, this conclusion can be proved indirectly, [checking that homogeneous Lorentzian four-manifolds with non-trivial isotropy, having such a Ricci operator, if not Ricci-parallel, either do not occur, or are also isometric to some Lie groups.](#)

The starting point is, once again, [Komrakov's classification](#) and description of homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian 4-manifolds with nontrivial isotropy.

Remark

Also the result of Bérard-Bérgery was not obtained by direct proof, but using some classification results.

- Segre types $[(11), z\bar{z}]$ and $[(1, 3)]$ never occur.

- Segre types $[(11), z\bar{z}]$ and $[(1, 3)]$ never occur.
- All examples occurring for Segre types $[11(1, 1)]$, $[(11)1, 1]$, $[(111), 1]$ and $[(11), 2]$, are indeed locally isometric to some [Lie groups](#).

- Segre types $[(11), z\bar{z}]$ and $[(1, 3)]$ never occur.
- All examples occurring for Segre types $[11(1, 1)]$, $[(11)1, 1]$, $[(111), 1]$ and $[(11), 2]$, are indeed locally isometric to some **Lie groups**.
- Examples with Ricci operator of Segre type $[1(11, 1)]$ are either **Ricci-parallel**, or locally isometric to a **Lie group**.

- Segre types $[(11), z\bar{z}]$ and $[(1, 3)]$ never occur.
- All examples occurring for Segre types $[11(1, 1)]$, $[(11)1, 1]$, $[(111), 1]$ and $[(11), 2]$, are indeed locally isometric to some Lie groups.
- Examples with Ricci operator of Segre type $[1(11, 1)]$ are either Ricci-parallel, or locally isometric to a Lie group.

The description of a homogeneous space M as a coset space G/H does not exclude the fact that M is also isometric to a Lie group (EXAMPLE: the standard three-sphere).

We report now the details for one example in Komrakov's list.
The homogeneous space is $M = G/H$, where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ is the
5D (reductive) Lie algebra

$$(*) \quad [e_1, u_1] = u_3, \quad [e_1, u_3] = -u_1, \quad [u_1, u_3] = e_1 + u_2,$$

with $\mathfrak{h} = \text{span}(e_1)$ and $\mathfrak{m} = \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_4)$.

We report now the details for one example in Komrakov's list. The homogeneous space is $M = G/H$, where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ is the 5D (reductive) Lie algebra

$$(*) \quad [e_1, u_1] = u_3, \quad [e_1, u_3] = -u_1, \quad [u_1, u_3] = e_1 + u_2,$$

with $\mathfrak{h} = \text{span}(e_1)$ and $\mathfrak{m} = \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_4)$.

To show that M is isometric to a Lie group, it suffices to prove the existence of a **4D subalgebra** \mathfrak{g}' of \mathfrak{g} , such that the restriction of the map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T_oM$ to \mathfrak{g}' is still **surjective**.

We report now the details for one example in Komrakov's list. The homogeneous space is $M = G/H$, where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ is the 5D (reductive) Lie algebra

$$(*) \quad [e_1, u_1] = u_3, \quad [e_1, u_3] = -u_1, \quad [u_1, u_3] = e_1 + u_2,$$

with $\mathfrak{h} = \text{span}(e_1)$ and $\mathfrak{m} = \text{span}(u_1, \dots, u_4)$.

To show that M is isometric to a Lie group, it suffices to prove the existence of a **4D subalgebra** \mathfrak{g}' of \mathfrak{g} , such that the restriction of the map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T_oM$ to \mathfrak{g}' is still **surjective**.

This last condition implies that $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{m} + \varphi(\mathfrak{m})$, where $\varphi : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is a \mathfrak{h} -equivariant linear map.

As the isotropy subalgebra is spanned by e_1 , (*) yields that a linear map $\varphi : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is \mathfrak{h} -equivariant when $\varphi(u_1) = \varphi(u_3) = 0$.

As the isotropy subalgebra is spanned by e_1 , (*) yields that a linear map $\varphi : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is \mathfrak{h} -equivariant when $\varphi(u_1) = \varphi(u_3) = 0$. Hence,

$$\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{m} + \varphi(\mathfrak{m}) = \text{span}(u_1, u_2 + c_2 e_1, u_3, u_4 + c_4 e_1),$$

for two real constants c_2, c_4 .

As the isotropy subalgebra is spanned by e_1 , (*) yields that a linear map $\varphi : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is \mathfrak{h} -equivariant when $\varphi(u_1) = \varphi(u_3) = 0$. Hence,

$$\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{m} + \varphi(\mathfrak{m}) = \text{span}(u_1, u_2 + c_2 e_1, u_3, u_4 + c_4 e_1),$$

for two real constants c_2, c_4 .

Then, again from (*), we find that \mathfrak{g}' is a subalgebra when $c_2 = 1$ (for any value of c_4).

As the isotropy subalgebra is spanned by e_1 , (*) yields that a linear map $\varphi : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is \mathfrak{h} -equivariant when $\varphi(u_1) = \varphi(u_3) = 0$. Hence,

$$\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{m} + \varphi(\mathfrak{m}) = \text{span}(u_1, u_2 + c_2 e_1, u_3, u_4 + c_4 e_1),$$

for two real constants c_2, c_4 .

Then, again from (*), we find that \mathfrak{g}' is a subalgebra when $c_2 = 1$ (for any value of c_4).

Setting for instance

$$v_1 = u_1, v_2 = e_1 + u_2, v_3 = u_3, v_4 = u_4,$$

we conclude that M is locally isometric to the 4D simply connected Lie group corresponding to the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g}' = \text{span}(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4),$$

As the isotropy subalgebra is spanned by e_1 , (*) yields that a linear map $\varphi : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is \mathfrak{h} -equivariant when $\varphi(u_1) = \varphi(u_3) = 0$. Hence,

$$\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{m} + \varphi(\mathfrak{m}) = \text{span}(u_1, u_2 + c_2 e_1, u_3, u_4 + c_4 e_1),$$

for two real constants c_2, c_4 .

Then, again from (*), we find that \mathfrak{g}' is a subalgebra when $c_2 = 1$ (for any value of c_4).

Setting for instance

$$v_1 = u_1, v_2 = e_1 + u_2, v_3 = u_3, v_4 = u_4,$$

we conclude that M is locally isometric to the 4D simply connected Lie group corresponding to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}' = \text{span}(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$, explicitly described by

$$[v_1, v_2] = -v_3, \quad [v_1, v_3] = v_2, \quad [v_2, v_3] = -v_1.$$

Introduction

4D non-reductive homogeneous spaces

Classification results

Conformally flat 4D homogeneous Lorentzian spaces

4D Lorentzian Lie groups

Ricci-parallel homogeneous spaces

A 4D Ricci-parallel homogeneous **Riemannian** manifold

Ricci-parallel homogeneous spaces

A 4D Ricci-parallel homogeneous **Riemannian** manifold is either **Einstein**,

Ricci-parallel homogeneous spaces

A 4D Ricci-parallel homogeneous **Riemannian** manifold is either **Einstein**, or locally reducible and isometric to a direct product of manifolds of constant curvature (hence, **locally symmetric**).

Ricci-parallel homogeneous spaces

A 4D Ricci-parallel homogeneous **Riemannian** manifold is either **Einstein**, or locally reducible and isometric to a direct product of manifolds of constant curvature (hence, **locally symmetric**).

Theorem

If (M, g) is a 4D Ricci-parallel homogeneous Lorentzian manifold,

Ricci-parallel homogeneous spaces

A 4D Ricci-parallel homogeneous **Riemannian** manifold is either **Einstein**, or locally reducible and isometric to a direct product of manifolds of constant curvature (hence, **locally symmetric**).

Theorem

If (M, g) is a 4D Ricci-parallel homogeneous Lorentzian manifold, then its Ricci operator is of one of the following **degenerate** Segre types: $[(111), 1]$, $[(11)(1), 1]$, $[1(11), 1]$, $[(111), 1]$, $[(11), 2]$.

Ricci-parallel homogeneous spaces

Theorem

Let (M, g) be a 4D Ricci-parallel homogeneous Lorentzian manifold and Q its Ricci operator.

Ricci-parallel homogeneous spaces

Theorem

Let (M, g) be a 4D Ricci-parallel homogeneous Lorentzian manifold and Q its Ricci operator.

- If Q is of Segre type $[(111, 1)]$, then (M, g) is Einstein.

Ricci-parallel homogeneous spaces

Theorem

Let (M, g) be a 4D Ricci-parallel homogeneous Lorentzian manifold and Q its Ricci operator.

- If Q is of Segre type $[(111, 1)]$, then (M, g) is Einstein.
- If Q is of Segre type $[(11)(1, 1)]$, then (M, g) is locally reducible and isometric to a direct product $\mathbb{M}^2(k) \times \mathbb{M}_1^2(k')$.

Ricci-parallel homogeneous spaces

Theorem

Let (M, g) be a 4D Ricci-parallel homogeneous Lorentzian manifold and Q its Ricci operator.

- If Q is of Segre type $[(111), 1]$, then (M, g) is Einstein.
- If Q is of Segre type $[(11)(1, 1)]$, then (M, g) is locally reducible and isometric to a direct product $\mathbb{M}^2(k) \times \mathbb{M}_1^2(k')$.
- If Q is of Segre type $[(111), 1]$, then (M, g) is locally reducible and isometric to a direct product $\mathbb{M}^3(k) \times \mathbb{R}_1$.

Ricci-parallel homogeneous spaces

Theorem

Let (M, g) be a 4D Ricci-parallel homogeneous Lorentzian manifold and Q its Ricci operator.

- If Q is of Segre type $[(111), 1]$, then (M, g) is Einstein.
- If Q is of Segre type $[(11)(1, 1)]$, then (M, g) is locally reducible and isometric to a direct product $\mathbb{M}^2(k) \times \mathbb{M}_1^2(k')$.
- If Q is of Segre type $[(111), 1]$, then (M, g) is locally reducible and isometric to a direct product $\mathbb{M}^3(k) \times \mathbb{R}_1$.
- If Q is of Segre type $[1(11), 1]$, then (M, g) is locally reducible and isometric to a direct product $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{M}_1^3(k)$.

Ricci-parallel homogeneous spaces

Theorem

Let (M, g) be a 4D Ricci-parallel homogeneous Lorentzian manifold and Q its Ricci operator.

- If Q is of Segre type $[(111), 1]$, then (M, g) is **Einstein**.
- If Q is of Segre type $[(11)(1, 1)]$, then (M, g) is locally reducible and isometric to a direct product $\mathbb{M}^2(k) \times \mathbb{M}_1^2(k')$.
- If Q is of Segre type $[(111), 1]$, then (M, g) is locally reducible and isometric to a direct product $\mathbb{M}^3(k) \times \mathbb{R}_1$.
- If Q is of Segre type $[1(11), 1]$, then (M, g) is locally reducible and isometric to a direct product $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{M}_1^3(k)$.
- If Q is of Segre type $[(11), 2]$, then (M, g) is a Lorentzian **Walker manifold** and Q is **two-step nilpotent**.

A pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is (locally) conformally flat if g is locally conformal to a flat metric.

A pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is **(locally) conformally flat** if g is locally conformal to a flat metric. The curvature of a conf. flat metric is completely determined by its Ricci curvature.

A pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is (locally) conformally flat if g is locally conformal to a flat metric. The curvature of a conf. flat metric is completely determined by its Ricci curvature.

A conformally flat (locally) homogeneous Riemannian manifold is (locally) symmetric.

A pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is (locally) conformally flat if g is locally conformal to a flat metric. The curvature of a conf. flat metric is completely determined by its Ricci curvature.

A conformally flat (locally) homogeneous Riemannian manifold is (locally) symmetric.

Moreover, it admits as universal covering either a space form

$\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{S}^n(k), \mathbb{H}^n(-k)$, or one of Riemannian products

$\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(k), \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}^{n-1}(-k), \mathbb{S}^p(k) \times \mathbb{H}^{n-p}(-k)$.

A pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) is (locally) conformally flat if g is locally conformal to a flat metric. The curvature of a conf. flat metric is completely determined by its Ricci curvature.

A conformally flat (locally) homogeneous Riemannian manifold is (locally) symmetric.

Moreover, it admits as universal covering either a space form $\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{S}^n(k), \mathbb{H}^n(-k)$, or one of Riemannian products $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}(k), \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{H}^{n-1}(-k), \mathbb{S}^p(k) \times \mathbb{H}^{n-p}(-k)$.

In pseudo-Riemannian settings, the problem of classifying conformally flat homogeneous manifolds is more complicated and interesting, as conformally flat homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds need not to be symmetric.

The classification of 4D conf. flat homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds starts from the admissible Segre types of the Ricci operator.

The classification of 4D conf. flat homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds starts from the admissible Segre types of the Ricci operator.

Theorem [Honda-Tsukada]

An $n(\geq 3)$ -dimensional conformally flat homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold M_q^n with diagonalizable Ricci operator is locally isometric to either:

The classification of 4D conf. flat homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds starts from the admissible Segre types of the Ricci operator.

Theorem [Honda-Tsukada]

An $n(\geq 3)$ -dimensional conformally flat homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold M_q^n with diagonalizable Ricci operator is locally isometric to either:

- (i) a pseudo-Riemannian space form;

The classification of 4D conf. flat homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds starts from the admissible Segre types of the Ricci operator.

Theorem [Honda-Tsukada]

An $n(\geq 3)$ -dimensional conformally flat homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold M_q^n with diagonalizable Ricci operator is locally isometric to either:

- (i) a pseudo-Riemannian space form;
- (ii) a product manifold of two space forms of constant curvature $k \neq 0$ and $-k$, or

The classification of 4D conf. flat homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifolds starts from the admissible Segre types of the Ricci operator.

Theorem [Honda-Tsukada]

An $n(\geq 3)$ -dimensional conformally flat homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold M_q^n with diagonalizable Ricci operator is locally isometric to either:

- (i) a pseudo-Riemannian space form;
- (ii) a product manifold of two space forms of constant curvature $k \neq 0$ and $-k$, or
- (iii) A product manifold of an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifold of constant curvature $k \neq 0$ and a one-dimensional manifold.

Theorem

Let (M, g) denote a 4D conformally flat homogeneous Lorentzian manifold. Then, there exists a pseudo-orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$, with e_4 time-like, such that Q takes one of the following forms:

Theorem

Let (M, g) denote a 4D conformally flat homogeneous Lorentzian manifold. Then, there exists a pseudo-orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$, with e_4 time-like, such that Q takes one of the following forms:

(I) If the minimal polynomial of Q does not have repeated roots:

Theorem

Let (M, g) denote a 4D conformally flat homogeneous Lorentzian manifold. Then, there exists a pseudo-orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$, with e_4 time-like, such that Q takes one of the following forms:

(I) If the minimal polynomial of Q does not have repeated roots:

(Ia) $\text{diag}(r, \dots, -r)$;

Theorem

Let (M, g) denote a 4D conformally flat homogeneous Lorentzian manifold. Then, there exists a pseudo-orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$, with e_4 time-like, such that Q takes one of the following forms:

(I) If the minimal polynomial of Q does not have repeated roots:

(Ia) $\text{diag}(r, \dots, -r)$;

(Ib) $\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r & s \\ 0 & 0 & -s & r \end{pmatrix}$, $s \neq 0$,
 $r^2 + s^2 = t^2$.

Introduction

4D non-reductive homogeneous spaces

Classification results

Conformally flat 4D homogeneous Lorentzian spaces

4D Lorentzian Lie groups

(II) If the minimal polynomial of Q has a double root:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \pm r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} & -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\varepsilon}{2} & r - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

(II) If the minimal polynomial of Q has a double root:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \pm r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm r & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} & -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\varepsilon}{2} & r - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

(III) If the minimal polynomial of Q has a triple root:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \pm r & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & a & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently, the possible Segre types of the Ricci operator Q for a 4D conformally flat homogeneous Lorentzian manifold, are the following:

Case	Ia	Ib	II	III
Non degenerate type	—	$[11, z\bar{z}]$	—	$[1,3]$
Degenerate types	$[(11)(1, 1)]$ $[1(11, 1)]$ $[(111), 1]$ $[(111), 1]$	$[(11), 11]$	$[(11), 2]$ $[1(1, 2)]$ $[(11), 2]$	$[(1, 3)]$

At any point $p \in M$ and for any index k , consider the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g}(k, p) = \{Y \in \mathfrak{so}(q, n-q) : Y.R(p) = Y.\nabla R(p) = \dots = Y.\nabla^k R(p) = 0\}.$$

At any point $p \in M$ and for any index k , consider the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g}(k, p) = \{Y \in \mathfrak{so}(q, n-q) : Y.R(p) = Y.\nabla R(p) = \dots = Y.\nabla^k R(p) = 0\}.$$

This Lie algebra measures the “isotropy” of the curvature tensor and its first k derivatives at the point $p \in M$,

At any point $p \in M$ and for any index k , consider the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g}(k, p) = \{Y \in \mathfrak{so}(q, n-q) : Y.R(p) = Y.\nabla R(p) = \dots = Y.\nabla^k R(p) = 0\}.$$

This Lie algebra measures the “isotropy” of the curvature tensor and its first k derivatives at the point $p \in M$, and is associated to the Lie subgroup $G \subset SO(q, n - q)$ of linear isometries $\phi : T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$, satisfying $\phi^*(\nabla^i R(p)) = \nabla^i R(p)$, for $i = 0, \dots, k$.

At any point $p \in M$ and for any index k , consider the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g}(k, p) = \{Y \in \mathfrak{so}(q, n-q) : Y.R(p) = Y.\nabla R(p) = \dots = Y.\nabla^k R(p) = 0\}.$$

This Lie algebra measures the “isotropy” of the curvature tensor and its first k derivatives at the point $p \in M$, and is associated to the Lie subgroup $G \subset SO(q, n - q)$ of linear isometries $\phi : T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$, satisfying $\phi^*(\nabla^i R(p)) = \nabla^i R(p)$, for $i = 0, \dots, k$.

For a homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold, $\mathfrak{g}(k, p)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}(k, p')$ for every $p, p' \in M$ and $k \geq 0$.

At any point $p \in M$ and for any index k , consider the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g}(k, p) = \{Y \in \mathfrak{so}(q, n-q) : Y.R(p) = Y.\nabla R(p) = \dots = Y.\nabla^k R(p) = 0\}.$$

This Lie algebra measures the “isotropy” of the curvature tensor and its first k derivatives at the point $p \in M$, and is associated to the Lie subgroup $G \subset SO(q, n - q)$ of linear isometries $\phi : T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$, satisfying $\phi^*(\nabla^i R(p)) = \nabla^i R(p)$, for $i = 0, \dots, k$.

For a homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold, $\mathfrak{g}(k, p)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}(k, p')$ for every $p, p' \in M$ and $k \geq 0$.

Theorem

Let (M, g) be a 4D conformally flat pseudo-Riemannian four-manifold. At any point $p \in M$, we have that $\mathfrak{g}(0, p) = \{0\}$

At any point $p \in M$ and for any index k , consider the Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g}(k, p) = \{Y \in \mathfrak{so}(q, n-q) : Y.R(p) = Y.\nabla R(p) = \dots = Y.\nabla^k R(p) = 0\}.$$

This Lie algebra measures the “isotropy” of the curvature tensor and its first k derivatives at the point $p \in M$, and is associated to the Lie subgroup $G \subset SO(q, n - q)$ of linear isometries $\phi : T_p M \rightarrow T_p M$, satisfying $\phi^*(\nabla^i R(p)) = \nabla^i R(p)$, for $i = 0, \dots, k$.

For a homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold, $\mathfrak{g}(k, p)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}(k, p')$ for every $p, p' \in M$ and $k \geq 0$.

Theorem

Let (M, g) be a 4D conformally flat pseudo-Riemannian four-manifold. At any point $p \in M$, we have that $\mathfrak{g}(0, p) = \{0\}$ if and only if Q_p is non-degenerate.

Introduction

4D non-reductive homogeneous spaces

Classification results

Conformally flat 4D homogeneous Lorentzian spaces

4D Lorentzian Lie groups

Corollary

Let (M, g) be a 4D conformally flat homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold. If the Ricci operator Q of (M, g) is **non-degenerate**, then (M, g) is locally isometric to a **Lie group** equipped with a left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric.

Corollary

Let (M, g) be a 4D conformally flat homogeneous pseudo-Riemannian manifold. If the Ricci operator Q of (M, g) is **non-degenerate**, then (M, g) is locally isometric to a **Lie group** equipped with a left-invariant pseudo-Riemannian metric.

Theorem

Let (M, g) be a conformally flat homogeneous Lorentzian four-manifold. If the Ricci operator Q of (M, g) is not diagonalizable and non-degenerate, then Q can only be of **Segre type $[11, z\bar{z}]$** .

Theorem

A 4D conformally flat homogeneous Lorentzian manifold, with Q of Segre type $[11, z\bar{z}]$,

Theorem

A 4D conformally flat homogeneous Lorentzian manifold, with Q of Segre type $[11, z\bar{z}]$, is locally isometric to the unsolvable Lie group $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}$,

Theorem

A 4D conformally flat homogeneous Lorentzian manifold, with Q of Segre type $[11, z\bar{z}]$, is locally isometric to the unsolvable Lie group $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}$, equipped with a left invariant Lorentzian metric, admitting a pseudo-orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ for the Lie algebra, such that the Lie brackets take one of the following forms:

Theorem

A 4D conformally flat homogeneous Lorentzian manifold, with Q of Segre type $[11, z\bar{z}]$, is locally isometric to the unsolvable Lie group $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}$, equipped with a left invariant Lorentzian metric, admitting a pseudo-orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ for the Lie algebra, such that the Lie brackets take one of the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i) } [e_1, e_2] &= 2\alpha(\varepsilon e_3 - e_4), & [e_1, e_3] &= -\varepsilon\alpha e_2, & [e_1, e_4] &= \alpha e_2, \\ [e_2, e_3] &= -\varepsilon\alpha e_1, & [e_3, e_4] &= \alpha e_1, \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

A 4D conformally flat homogeneous Lorentzian manifold, with Q of Segre type $[11, z\bar{z}]$, is locally isometric to the unsolvable Lie group $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}$, equipped with a left invariant Lorentzian metric, admitting a pseudo-orthonormal basis $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_4\}$ for the Lie algebra, such that the Lie brackets take one of the following forms:

$$\text{i) } \begin{aligned} [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2] &= 2\alpha(\varepsilon\mathbf{e}_3 - \mathbf{e}_4), & [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_3] &= -\varepsilon\alpha\mathbf{e}_2, & [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_4] &= \alpha\mathbf{e}_2, \\ [\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3] &= -\varepsilon\alpha\mathbf{e}_1, & [\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_4] &= \alpha\mathbf{e}_1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{ii) } \begin{aligned} [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2] &= 2\alpha(\varepsilon\mathbf{e}_3 + \mathbf{e}_4), & [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_3] &= \varepsilon\alpha\mathbf{e}_2, & [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_4] &= \alpha\mathbf{e}_2, \\ [\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3] &= \varepsilon\alpha\mathbf{e}_1, & [\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_4] &= \alpha\mathbf{e}_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha \neq 0$ is a real constant and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$.

For case i), in the new basis

$$\{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 = \mathbf{e}_1, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 = \mathbf{e}_2, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3 = \mathbf{e}_3 - \varepsilon \mathbf{e}_4, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_4 = \mathbf{e}_3 + \varepsilon \mathbf{e}_4\}$$

of \mathfrak{g} , the nonvanishing Lie brackets are

$$[\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2] = 2\varepsilon\alpha\hat{\mathbf{e}}_3, [\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3] = -2\varepsilon\alpha\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2, [\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3] = -2\varepsilon\alpha\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1.$$

For case *i*), in the new basis

$$\{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 = \mathbf{e}_1, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 = \mathbf{e}_2, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3 = \mathbf{e}_3 - \varepsilon \mathbf{e}_4, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_4 = \mathbf{e}_3 + \varepsilon \mathbf{e}_4\}$$

of \mathfrak{g} , the nonvanishing Lie brackets are

$$[\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2] = 2\varepsilon\alpha\hat{\mathbf{e}}_3, [\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3] = -2\varepsilon\alpha\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2, [\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3] = -2\varepsilon\alpha\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1.$$

Similarly, for case *ii*), in the basis

$$\{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 = \mathbf{e}_1, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 = \mathbf{e}_2, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3 = \mathbf{e}_3 + \varepsilon \mathbf{e}_4, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_4 = \mathbf{e}_3 - \varepsilon \mathbf{e}_4\},$$

the nonvanishing Lie brackets are

$$[\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2] = 2\varepsilon\alpha\hat{\mathbf{e}}_3, [\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3] = 2\varepsilon\alpha\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2, [\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3] = 2\varepsilon\alpha\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1.$$

For case *i*), in the new basis

$$\{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 = \mathbf{e}_1, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 = \mathbf{e}_2, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3 = \mathbf{e}_3 - \varepsilon \mathbf{e}_4, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_4 = \mathbf{e}_3 + \varepsilon \mathbf{e}_4\}$$

of \mathfrak{g} , the nonvanishing Lie brackets are

$$[\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2] = 2\varepsilon\alpha\hat{\mathbf{e}}_3, [\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3] = -2\varepsilon\alpha\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2, [\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3] = -2\varepsilon\alpha\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1.$$

Similarly, for case *ii*), in the basis

$$\{\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 = \mathbf{e}_1, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 = \mathbf{e}_2, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3 = \mathbf{e}_3 + \varepsilon \mathbf{e}_4, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_4 = \mathbf{e}_3 - \varepsilon \mathbf{e}_4\},$$

the nonvanishing Lie brackets are

$$[\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2] = 2\varepsilon\alpha\hat{\mathbf{e}}_3, [\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3] = 2\varepsilon\alpha\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2, [\hat{\mathbf{e}}_2, \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3] = 2\varepsilon\alpha\hat{\mathbf{e}}_1.$$

Thus, G is indeed $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}$.

For a conformally flat homogeneous Lorentzian 4-manifold, with **degenerate** Ricci operator Q , either the isotropy is trivial, or nontrivial.

For a conformally flat homogeneous Lorentzian 4-manifold, with **degenerate** Ricci operator Q , either the isotropy is trivial, or nontrivial.

Let (M, g) be a conformally flat homogeneous, not locally symmetric, Lorentzian 4-manifold, with **degenerate** Ricci operator Q .

For a conformally flat homogeneous Lorentzian 4-manifold, with **degenerate** Ricci operator Q , either the isotropy is trivial, or nontrivial.

Let (M, g) be a conformally flat homogeneous, not locally symmetric, Lorentzian 4-manifold, with **degenerate** Ricci operator Q . Then, Q is of Segre type **either** $[(11, 2)]$ or $[(1, 3)]$.

Segre type $[(1, 3)]$ only occurs in cases with trivial isotropy. More precisely, (M, g) is locally isometric to the solvable Lie group $\mathbb{R} \times E(1, 1)$, whose Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is described by

$$[e_1, e_2] = (c_1 - \sqrt{2}c_2)e_2 + \frac{1}{4c_2}e_3 - (c_1 - \sqrt{2}c_2)e_4,$$

$$[e_1, e_3] = \frac{3}{4c_2}e_2 - \sqrt{2}c_2e_3 - \frac{3}{4c_2}e_4,$$

$$[e_1, e_4] = (c_1 + \sqrt{2}c_2)e_2 + \frac{1}{4c_2}e_3 - (c_1 + \sqrt{2}c_2)e_4,$$

$$[e_2, e_4] = -\frac{\phi}{c_2}e_2 + \frac{\phi}{c_2}e_4,$$

$$[e_2, e_3] = -[e_3, e_4] = -\frac{3\sqrt{2}\phi}{16c_2^3}e_2 + \frac{\phi}{2c_2}e_3 + \frac{3\sqrt{2}\phi}{16c_2^3}e_4,$$

where $\phi = \pm\sqrt{4\sqrt{2}c_1c_2^3 - 1}$, for any real constants $c_1, c_2 \neq 0$, such that $4\sqrt{2}c_1c_2^3 - 1 > 0$.

Segre type $[(11, 2)]$ occurs both in cases with trivial isotropy (in particular, for $G = \mathbb{R} \ltimes H$) and in cases with nontrivial isotropy.

Segre type $[(11, 2)]$ occurs both in cases with trivial isotropy (in particular, for $G = \mathbb{R} \ltimes H$) and in cases with nontrivial isotropy.

There exist **several examples** of conformally flat homogeneous Lorentzian 4-manifolds with nontrivial isotropy, not locally symmetric, having Q of Segre type $[(11, 2)]$.

Segre type $[(11, 2)]$ occurs both in cases with trivial isotropy (in particular, for $G = \mathbb{R} \ltimes H$) and in cases with nontrivial isotropy.

There exist **several examples** of conformally flat homogeneous Lorentzian 4-manifolds with nontrivial isotropy, not locally symmetric, having Q of Segre type $[(11, 2)]$.

EXAMPLE: $M = G/H$,

$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{h} = \text{Span}(u_1, \dots, u_4) \oplus \text{Span}(h_1, h_2, h_3)$, described by

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= -e_3, & [e_1, e_3] &= e_2, & [e_1, u_2] &= u_4, \\ [e_1, u_4] &= -u_2, & [e_1, u_2] &= u_1, & [e_2, u_3] &= -u_2, \\ [e_3, u_3] &= u_4, & [e_3, u_4] &= -u_1, & & \\ [u_1, u_3] &= u_1, & [u_2, e_3] &= pe_2 + u_2, & [u_3, u_4] &= pe_3 - u_4, \quad p \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

There is a one-to-one correspondence between Lie algebras admitting a **positive definite** inner product and the ones admitting a **Lorentzian** inner product.

There is a one-to-one correspondence between Lie algebras admitting a **positive definite** inner product and the ones admitting a **Lorentzian** inner product.

Thus, simply connected 4D Lorentzian Lie groups coincide with the Riemannian ones. They are:

There is a one-to-one correspondence between Lie algebras admitting a **positive definite** inner product and the ones admitting a **Lorentzian** inner product.

Thus, simply connected 4D Lorentzian Lie groups coincide with the Riemannian ones. They are:

- either direct products $SU(2) \times \mathbb{R}$ or $\widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}$ (**unsolvable**);

There is a one-to-one correspondence between Lie algebras admitting a **positive definite** inner product and the ones admitting a **Lorentzian** inner product.

Thus, simply connected 4D Lorentzian Lie groups coincide with the Riemannian ones. They are:

- either direct products $SU(2) \times \mathbb{R}$ or $\widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}$ (**unsolvable**); or
- one of the following solvable Lie groups:

There is a one-to-one correspondence between Lie algebras admitting a **positive definite** inner product and the ones admitting a **Lorentzian** inner product.

Thus, simply connected 4D Lorentzian Lie groups coincide with the Riemannian ones. They are:

- either direct products $SU(2) \times \mathbb{R}$ or $\widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}$ (**unsolvable**); or
- one of the following solvable Lie groups:
 - (a) non-trivial semi-direct products $\mathbb{R} \ltimes \widetilde{E}(2)$ and $\mathbb{R} \ltimes E(1, 1)$,

There is a one-to-one correspondence between Lie algebras admitting a **positive definite** inner product and the ones admitting a **Lorentzian** inner product.

Thus, simply connected 4D Lorentzian Lie groups coincide with the Riemannian ones. They are:

- either direct products $SU(2) \times \mathbb{R}$ or $\widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}$ (**unsolvable**); or
- one of the following solvable Lie groups:
 - (a) non-trivial semi-direct products $\mathbb{R} \ltimes \widetilde{E}(2)$ and $\mathbb{R} \ltimes E(1, 1)$,
 - (b) non-nilpotent semi-direct products $\mathbb{R} \ltimes H$
(H = the Heisenberg group),

There is a one-to-one correspondence between Lie algebras admitting a **positive definite** inner product and the ones admitting a **Lorentzian** inner product.

Thus, simply connected 4D Lorentzian Lie groups coincide with the Riemannian ones. They are:

- either direct products $SU(2) \times \mathbb{R}$ or $\widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}$ (**unsolvable**); or
- one of the following solvable Lie groups:
 - (a) non-trivial semi-direct products $\mathbb{R} \ltimes \widetilde{E}(2)$ and $\mathbb{R} \ltimes E(1, 1)$,
 - (b) non-nilpotent semi-direct products $\mathbb{R} \ltimes H$ (H = the Heisenberg group),
 - (c) all semi-direct products $\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^3$.

4D Riemannian (\leftrightarrow Lorentzian) Lie algebras are all of the form $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3$, with the following

4D Riemannian (\leftrightarrow Lorentzian) Lie algebras are all of the form $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3$, with the following

Important difference:

If \langle, \rangle is positive definite on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3$, then so is $\langle, \rangle|_{\mathfrak{g}_3}$.

4D Riemannian (\leftrightarrow Lorentzian) Lie algebras are all of the form $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3$, with the following

Important difference:

If \langle, \rangle is positive definite on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3$, then so is $\langle, \rangle|_{\mathfrak{g}_3}$.

If \langle, \rangle is Lorentzian on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3$, then $\langle, \rangle|_{\mathfrak{g}_3}$ is

4D Riemannian (\leftrightarrow Lorentzian) Lie algebras are all of the form $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3$, with the following

Important difference:

If \langle, \rangle is positive definite on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3$, then so is $\langle, \rangle|_{\mathfrak{g}_3}$.

If \langle, \rangle is Lorentzian on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3$, then $\langle, \rangle|_{\mathfrak{g}_3}$ is

- either **positive definite** \rightarrow [Milnor];

4D Riemannian (\leftrightarrow Lorentzian) Lie algebras are all of the form $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3$, with the following

Important difference:

If \langle, \rangle is positive definite on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3$, then so is $\langle, \rangle|_{\mathfrak{g}_3}$.

If \langle, \rangle is Lorentzian on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3$, then $\langle, \rangle|_{\mathfrak{g}_3}$ is

- either **positive definite** \rightarrow [Milnor];
- **Lorentzian** \rightarrow [Rahmani],[Cordero-Parker];

4D Riemannian (\leftrightarrow Lorentzian) Lie algebras are all of the form $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3$, with the following

Important difference:

If \langle, \rangle is positive definite on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3$, then so is $\langle, \rangle|_{\mathfrak{g}_3}$.

If \langle, \rangle is Lorentzian on $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3$, then $\langle, \rangle|_{\mathfrak{g}_3}$ is

- either **positive definite** \rightarrow [Milnor];
- **Lorentzian** \rightarrow [Rahmani],[Cordero-Parker];
- or **degenerate (???)**.

Proposition

Let (\mathfrak{g}, g) be an arbitrary four-dimensional Lorentzian Lie algebra. Then, there exists a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ of \mathfrak{g} , such that

Proposition

Let (\mathfrak{g}, g) be an arbitrary four-dimensional Lorentzian Lie algebra. Then, there exists a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ of \mathfrak{g} , such that

- $\mathfrak{g}_3 = \text{Span}(e_1, e_2, e_3)$ is a three-dimensional Lie algebra and e_4 acts as a derivation on \mathfrak{g}_3 (that is, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_3$, where $\mathfrak{r} = \text{Span}(e_4)$),

Proposition

Let (\mathfrak{g}, g) be an arbitrary four-dimensional Lorentzian Lie algebra. Then, there exists a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ of \mathfrak{g} , such that

- $\mathfrak{g}_3 = \text{Span}(e_1, e_2, e_3)$ is a three-dimensional Lie algebra and e_4 acts as a derivation on \mathfrak{g}_3 (that is, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_3$, where $\mathfrak{r} = \text{Span}(e_4)$), and
- with respect to $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$, the Lorentzian inner product takes one of the following forms:

$$(a) \text{diag}(1, 1, -1, 1);$$

$$(b) \text{diag}(1, 1, 1, -1);$$

$$(c) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The previous Proposition makes possible to classify 4D Lorentzian Lie groups with some prescribed curvature properties (e.g. Einstein, Ricci-parallel,...):

The previous Proposition makes possible to classify 4D Lorentzian Lie groups with some prescribed curvature properties (e.g. Einstein, Ricci-parallel,...):

- One considers an **arbitrary** 4D Lorentzian Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_3, g)$, with g taking one of the forms **(a),(b),(c)**,

The previous Proposition makes possible to classify 4D Lorentzian Lie groups with some prescribed curvature properties (e.g. Einstein, Ricci-parallel,...):

- One considers an **arbitrary** 4D Lorentzian Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_3, g)$, with g taking one of the forms **(a),(b),(c)**,
- calculates the **curvature** in terms of the coefficients of the Lie brackets, prescribing the desired property,

The previous Proposition makes possible to classify 4D Lorentzian Lie groups with some prescribed curvature properties (e.g. Einstein, Ricci-parallel,...):

- One considers an **arbitrary** 4D Lorentzian Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_3, g)$, with g taking one of the forms **(a),(b),(c)**,
- calculates the **curvature** in terms of the coefficients of the Lie brackets, prescribing the desired property,
- and **recognizes** the obtained Lie algebra, calculating the derived Lie algebra.

Einstein examples

(a) $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^4$ is a pseudo-orthonormal basis, with e_3 time-like. In this case, G is isometric to one of the following semi-direct products $\mathbb{R} \ltimes G_3$:

Einstein examples

(a) $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^4$ is a pseudo-orthonormal basis, with e_3 time-like. In this case, G is isometric to one of the following semi-direct products $\mathbb{R} \ltimes G_3$:

a1) $\mathbb{R} \ltimes H$, with 4 possible forms of the Lie brackets.

EXAMPLE:

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= \varepsilon A e_1, & [e_1, e_3] &= A e_1, \\ [e_1, e_4] &= \delta A e_1, & [e_3, e_4] &= -2A\delta(\varepsilon e_2 - e_3), \quad \varepsilon, \delta = \pm 1. \end{aligned}$$

Einstein examples

(a) $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}_{i=1}^4$ is a pseudo-orthonormal basis, with \mathbf{e}_3 time-like. In this case, G is isometric to one of the following semi-direct products $\mathbb{R} \ltimes G_3$:

a1) $\mathbb{R} \ltimes H$, with 4 possible forms of the Lie brackets.

EXAMPLE:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2] &= \varepsilon A \mathbf{e}_1, & [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_3] &= A \mathbf{e}_1, \\ [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_4] &= \delta A \mathbf{e}_1, & [\mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_4] &= -2A\delta(\varepsilon \mathbf{e}_2 - \mathbf{e}_3), \quad \varepsilon, \delta = \pm 1. \end{aligned}$$

a2) $\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^3$, with 7 possible forms of the Lie brackets.

EXAMPLE:

$$[\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_4] = -(A + B)\mathbf{e}_1, \quad [\mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_4] = B\mathbf{e}_2 \pm \sqrt{A^2 + AB + B^2}\mathbf{e}_3$$

Einstein examples

(c) $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^4$ is a basis, with the inner product g on \mathfrak{g} completely determined by $g(e_1, e_1) = g(e_2, e_2) = g(e_3, e_4) = g(e_4, e_3) = 1$ and $g(e_i, e_j) = 0$ otherwise.

Einstein examples

(c) $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^4$ is a basis, with the inner product g on \mathfrak{g} completely determined by $g(e_1, e_1) = g(e_2, e_2) = g(e_3, e_4) = g(e_4, e_3) = 1$ and $g(e_i, e_j) = 0$ otherwise. In this case, G is isometric to one of the following semi-direct products $\mathbb{R} \ltimes G_3$:

Einstein examples

(c) $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^4$ is a basis, with the inner product g on \mathfrak{g} completely determined by $g(e_1, e_1) = g(e_2, e_2) = g(e_3, e_4) = g(e_4, e_3) = 1$ and $g(e_i, e_j) = 0$ otherwise. In this case, G is isometric to one of the following semi-direct products $\mathbb{R} \ltimes G_3$:

c1) $\mathbb{R} \ltimes H$, with 3 possible forms of the Lie brackets.

EXAMPLE:

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= \varepsilon(A + B)e_3, & [e_1, e_4] &= Ce_1 + Ae_2 + De_3, \\ [e_2, e_4] &= Be_1 + Ee_3, & [e_3, e_4] &= Ce_3, \end{aligned}$$

Einstein examples

(c) $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^4$ is a basis, with the inner product g on \mathfrak{g} completely determined by $g(e_1, e_1) = g(e_2, e_2) = g(e_3, e_4) = g(e_4, e_3) = 1$ and $g(e_i, e_j) = 0$ otherwise. In this case, G is isometric to one of the following semi-direct products $\mathbb{R} \ltimes G_3$:

c1) $\mathbb{R} \ltimes H$, with 3 possible forms of the Lie brackets.

EXAMPLE:

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= \varepsilon(A + B)e_3, & [e_1, e_4] &= Ce_1 + Ae_2 + De_3, \\ [e_2, e_4] &= Be_1 + Ee_3, & [e_3, e_4] &= Ce_3, \end{aligned}$$

c2) $\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^3$, with 2 possible forms of the Lie brackets.

EXAMPLE:

$$[e_1, e_4] = Ae_2 + Be_3, \quad [e_2, e_4] = -Ae_1 + Ce_3.$$

Einstein examples

What about case (b)?

Einstein examples

What about case (b)? Case (b) does occur!

However, we aim to give a classification **up to isomorphisms**.

Einstein examples

What about case (b)? Case (b) does occur!

However, we aim to give a classification **up to isomorphisms**.

For any solution we found in case (b) ($\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_3$ with \mathfrak{g}_3 Riemannian), the Lie algebra is also of the form $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r}' \ltimes \mathfrak{g}'_3$ with \mathfrak{g}'_3 Lorentzian, that is, of type (a).

Einstein examples

What about case (b)? Case (b) does occur!

However, we aim to give a classification **up to isomorphisms**.

For any solution we found in case (b) ($\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_3$ with \mathfrak{g}_3 Riemannian), the Lie algebra is also of the form $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r}' \ltimes \mathfrak{g}'_3$ with \mathfrak{g}'_3 Lorentzian, that is, of type (a).

EXAMPLE:

$$[e_1, e_2] = Ae_3, [e_1, e_4] = -Be_3, [e_2, e_3] = Ae_1, [e_3, e_4] = Be_1.$$

Einstein examples

What about case (b)? Case (b) does occur!

However, we aim to give a classification **up to isomorphisms**.

For any solution we found in case (b) ($\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_3$ with \mathfrak{g}_3 Riemannian), the Lie algebra is also of the form $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r}' \ltimes \mathfrak{g}'_3$ with \mathfrak{g}'_3 Lorentzian, that is, of type (a).

EXAMPLE:

$$[e_1, e_2] = Ae_3, [e_1, e_4] = -Be_3, [e_2, e_3] = Ae_1, [e_3, e_4] = Be_1.$$

Thus, $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \text{span}(e_1, e_3)$, and TL vector e_4 acts as a derivation on the Riemannian Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_3 = \text{span}(e_1, e_2, e_3)$.

Einstein examples

What about case (b)? Case (b) does occur!

However, we aim to give a classification **up to isomorphisms**.

For any solution we found in case (b) ($\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_3$ with \mathfrak{g}_3 Riemannian), the Lie algebra is also of the form $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{r}' \ltimes \mathfrak{g}'_3$ with \mathfrak{g}'_3 Lorentzian, that is, of type (a).

EXAMPLE:

$$[e_1, e_2] = Ae_3, [e_1, e_4] = -Be_3, [e_2, e_3] = Ae_1, [e_3, e_4] = Be_1.$$

Thus, $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \text{span}(e_1, e_3)$, and TL vector e_4 acts as a derivation on the Riemannian Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_3 = \text{span}(e_1, e_2, e_3)$. On the other hand, SL vector e_2 acts on the Lorentzian Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}'_3 = \text{span}(e_1, e_3, e_4)$ (the Lie algebra of the Heisenberg group), so that this example is already included in case (a).

Ricci-parallel examples

- For case (a) (a SL vector acting on a 3D Lorentzian Lie algebra) we find non-Einstein solutions on $\mathbb{R} \times H$ and $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

Ricci-parallel examples

- For case **(a)** (a SL vector acting on a 3D Lorentzian Lie algebra) we find non-Einstein solutions on $\mathbb{R} \times H$ and $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$.
- For case **(b)** (a TL vector acting on a 3D Riemannian Lie algebra) we find non-Einstein solutions on $\mathbb{R} \times \widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

Ricci-parallel examples

- For case (a) (a SL vector acting on a 3D Lorentzian Lie algebra) we find non-Einstein solutions on $\mathbb{R} \times H$ and $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$.
- For case (b) (a TL vector acting on a 3D Riemannian Lie algebra) we find non-Einstein solutions on $\mathbb{R} \times \widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$.
- For case (c) (a null vector acting on a 3D degenerate Lie algebra) we find non-Einstein solutions on $\mathbb{R} \times H$, $\mathbb{R} \times \widetilde{E}(2)$ and $\mathbb{R} \times E(1, 1)$.

Ricci-parallel examples

The only Ricci-parallel **not locally symmetric examples** occur

- on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$:

$$[e_1, e_4] = \varepsilon A e_2, \quad [e_3, e_4] = A e_2 \quad (A \neq 0),$$

Ricci-parallel examples

The only Ricci-parallel **not locally symmetric examples** occur

- on $\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^3$:

$$[e_1, e_4] = \varepsilon A e_2, \quad [e_3, e_4] = A e_2 \quad (A \neq 0),$$

- and on $\mathbb{R} \ltimes H$:

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= A e_3, & [e_1, e_4] &= -B e_1 + C e_2 + D e_3, \\ [e_2, e_4] &= E e_1 + B e_2 + F e_3, & & (A^2 \neq 4B^2 + (C + E)^2). \end{aligned}$$

Ricci-parallel examples

The only Ricci-parallel **not locally symmetric examples** occur

- on $\mathbb{R} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^3$:

$$[e_1, e_4] = \varepsilon A e_2, \quad [e_3, e_4] = A e_2 \quad (A \neq 0),$$

- and on $\mathbb{R} \ltimes H$:

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= A e_3, & [e_1, e_4] &= -B e_1 + C e_2 + D e_3, \\ [e_2, e_4] &= E e_1 + B e_2 + F e_3, & (A^2 &\neq 4B^2 + (C + E)^2). \end{aligned}$$

These homogeneous Lorentzian manifolds are **Walker manifolds**, and their Ricci operator is **two-step nilpotent**.

Ricci-parallel examples

One can use the classification of Einstein and Ricci-parallel 4D Lorentzian Lie groups, to deduce several geometric properties for these examples. In particular:

Up to isomorphisms, the only (nontrivial) Ricci-parallel Ricci solitons occur on $\mathbb{R} \ltimes H$. There are both

- **symmetric examples**, like:

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= \varepsilon B e_1, & [e_1, e_3] &= B e_1, \\ [e_2, e_4] &= A(e_2 - \varepsilon e_3), & [e_3, e_4] &= \varepsilon A(e_2 - \varepsilon e_3), \quad A, B \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

Ricci-parallel examples

One can use the classification of Einstein and Ricci-parallel 4D Lorentzian Lie groups, to deduce several geometric properties for these examples. In particular:

Up to isomorphisms, the only (nontrivial) Ricci-parallel Ricci solitons occur on $\mathbb{R} \ltimes H$. There are both

- **symmetric examples**, like:

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= \varepsilon B e_1, & [e_1, e_3] &= B e_1, \\ [e_2, e_4] &= A(e_2 - \varepsilon e_3), & [e_3, e_4] &= \varepsilon A(e_2 - \varepsilon e_3), \quad A, B \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

- and **non-symmetric examples**, like:

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= A e_3, & [e_1, e_4] &= C e_2 + D e_3, \\ [e_2, e_4] &= A e_1 + F e_3, & C(C + 2A) &\neq 0 \neq F. \end{aligned}$$

Introduction

4D non-reductive homogeneous spaces

Classification results

Conformally flat 4D homogeneous Lorentzian spaces

4D Lorentzian Lie groups

¡Gracias por su atención!