ABOUT THE EULER-POINCARÉ CHARACTERISTIC OF SEMI-ALGEBRAIC SETS DEFINED WITH TWO INEQUALITIES

Nicolas DUTERTRE

Abstract

We express the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of a semi-algebraic set, which is the intersection of a non-singular complete intersection with two polynomial inequalities, in terms of the signatures of appropriate bilinear symmetric forms.

1 Introduction

Let $F = (F_1, \ldots, F_k) : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^k$, n > k, be a polynomial mapping such that $W_{\mathbf{R}} = F^{-1}(0)$ is a smooth non-empty manifold of dimension n - k. Let $g : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ be a polynomial. For $g = \omega = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$, Szafraniec in [Sz2] defined a polynomial algebra $A_{\mathbf{R}}$ in terms of F and ω and two bilimear symmetric forms Φ and Φ^M such that if $A_{\mathbf{R}}$ is finite dimensional and Φ^M is non-degenerate then

$$\chi(W_{\mathbf{R}}) = (-1)^k$$
 signature Φ if $n - k$ is odd,
 $\chi(W_{\mathbf{R}}) =$ signature Φ^M if $n - k$ is even.

In [Dut1] we adapted his method to the case $g_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}}$ proper. We defined a polynomial algebra $A_{\mathbf{R}}$ in terms of F and g and four bilinear symmetric forms Φ , Φ^M , Φ_g and Φ_g^M such that if $A_{\mathbf{R}}$ is finite dimensional and Φ_g^M is non-degenerate then

• if
$$n - k$$
 is odd
 $\chi(W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g \ge 0\}) - \chi(W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g \le 0\}) = (-1)^{k}$ signature Φ ,
 $\chi(W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g \ge 0\}) + \chi(W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g \le 0\}) - 2\chi(W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g = 0\}) = (-1)^{k}$ signature Φ_{q} ,

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14P10, 14P25. Servicio de Publicaciones. Universidad Complutense. Madrid, 2001

45

• if n-k is even

$$\chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g \ge 0\} \Big) - \chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g \le 0\} \Big) = \text{signature } \Phi_g^M,$$
$$\chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g \ge 0\} \Big) + \chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g \le 0\} \Big) = \text{signature } \Phi^M.$$

The aim of this paper is to generalize these formulas in two ways. The first is to study the case where $g_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}}$ is not proper. For this we will define two polynomial algebras $A_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $B_{\mathbf{R}}$, four bilinear symmetric forms Φ , Φ^M , Φ_g , Φ_g^M on $A_{\mathbf{R}}$ and two bilinear symmetric forms Ψ and Ψ_{μ} on $B_{\mathbf{R}}$ such that if, $A_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $B_{\mathbf{R}}$ are finite dimensional and Φ_g is non-degenerate, then (see Theorem 4.4) :

• if n - k is odd

$$\chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g \ge 0\} \Big) + \chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g \le 0\} \Big) =$$
$$(-1)^{k} \text{ (signature } \Phi - \text{ signature } \Psi),$$
$$\chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g \ge 0\} \Big) - \chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g \le 0\} \Big) =$$
$$(-1)^{k} \text{ (signature } \Phi_{g} - \text{ signature } \Psi_{\mu}),$$

• if n-k is even

$$\begin{split} \chi\Big(W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g \ge 0\}\Big) + \chi\Big(W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g \le 0\}\Big) &= \\ \text{signature } \Phi^M + (-1)^{k+1} \text{signature } \Psi, \\ \chi\Big(W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g \ge 0\}\Big) - \chi\Big(W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g \le 0\}\Big) &= \\ \text{signature } \Phi^M_g + (-1)^k \text{signature } \Psi_\mu. \end{split}$$

The second generalization will concern the following semi-algebraic sets :

$$W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g * 0, f?0\},\$$

where $*,? \in \{\leq,\geq\}$ and $g, f : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ are polynomials. We will define three polynomials algebras $A_{\mathbf{R}}, B_{\mathbf{R}}$ and $C_{\mathbf{R}}$ and several bilinear symmetric forms on them. Under some conditions on the algebras and

on the bilinear symmetric forms we will be able to express the following Euler characteristics

$$\chi\Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}\cap\{g*0,f?0\}\Big),$$

in terms of signatures of suitable bilinear symmetric forms (see Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 6.1). As a consequence we will obtain formulas for the Euler characteristic of the semi-algebraic sets

$$W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g * 0\} \cap \{f = 0\},\$$

where $W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{f = 0\}$ admits some isolated singularities (see Corollary 6.2).

Remark 1.1. In [Dut1] we give formulas for $\chi(W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g * 0, f?0\})$ under a finite dimensional condition. But it is clear that this condition is not generic and holds only when dim $W_{\mathbf{R}} = 1$.

Finally we will study the case dim $W_{\mathbf{R}} = 2$ and we will show that in this case, we need only one polynomial algebra and thus we can obtain easier formulas.

Our main tools are Morse theory for manifolds with boundary, which is the subject of Section 2, and the theory of Frobenius algebras, which is the subject of Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to the study of the semialgebraic sets $W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g * 0\}$ with $g|_{W_{\mathbf{R}}}$ non-proper. Section 5 and Section 6 are devoted to the sets $W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g * 0, f?0\}$. In Section 7, we study the case dim $W_{\mathbf{R}} = 2$. Our work relies on the machinery developed by Szafraniec in [Sz1] and [Sz2] and we will often refer to it.

The examples are computed with a program written by Andrzej Lecki. The author is grateful to him and Zbigniew Szafraniec for giving this programm and for explaining how to use it. He also thanks Karim Bekka for his comments on this paper.

2 Morse theory for manifolds with boundary

We recall the results of Morse theory for manifolds with boundary. Our reference is [HL] where the results are given for a C^{∞} manifold M with boundary ∂M . For simplicity we will present the results for manifolds with boundary of type $M \cap \{g*0\}, * \in \{\geq, \leq\}$, where M is a C^{∞} manifold

and $g: M \to \mathbf{R}$ a C^{∞} function such that $M \cap g^{-1}\{0\}$ is smooth. In fact this is the case we need in the following sections.

Let M be a C^{∞} manifold of dimension n. Let $g: M \to \mathbf{R}$ be a C^{∞} function such that $\nabla g(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in g^{-1}(0)$. This implies that $M \cap g^{-1}(0)$ is a smooth manifold of dimension n-1 and that $M \cap \{g \geq 0\}$ and $M \cap \{g \leq 0\}$ are smooth manifolds with boundary. Let $f: M \to \mathbf{R}$ be a smooth function. A critical point of $f_{|M \cap \{g \geq 0\}}$ (resp. $f_{|M \cap \{g \leq 0\}}$) is a critical point of $f_{|M \cap \{g > 0\}}$ (resp. $f_{|M \cap \{g < 0\}}$) or a critical point of $f_{|M \cap \{g < 0\}}$).

Definition 2.1. Let $q \in M \cap g^{-1}(0)$. We say that q is a correct critical point of $f_{|M \cap \{g \ge 0\}}$ (resp. $f_{|M \cap \{g \le 0\}}$) if q is a critical point of $f_{|M \cap g^{-1}(0)}$ and q is not a critical point of $f_{|M}$.

We say that q is a correct non-degenerate critical point of $f_{|M \cap \{g \ge 0\}}$ (resp. $f_{|M \cap \{g \le 0\}}$) if q is a correct critical point of $f_{|M \cap \{g \ge 0\}}$ (resp. $f_{|M \cap \{g \le 0\}}$) and q is a non-degenerate critical point of $f_{|M \cap g^{-1}(0)}$.

If q is a correct critical point of $f_{|M \cap \{g \ge 0\}}$ (resp. $f_{|M \cap \{g \le 0\}}$) then $\nabla f(q) \neq 0$, $\nabla f(q)$ and $\nabla g(q)$ are collinear and there is $\tau(q) \in \mathbf{R}^*$ with $\nabla f(q) = \tau(q) \cdot \nabla g(q)$.

Definition 2.2. If q is a correct critical point of $f_{|M \cap \{q>0\}}$ then

- $\nabla f(q)$ points inwards if and only if $\tau(q) > 0$,
- $\nabla f(q)$ points outwards if and only if $\tau(q) < 0$.

If q is a correct critical point of $f_{|M \cap \{q \leq 0\}}$ then

- $\nabla f(q)$ points inwards if and only if $\tau(q) < 0$,
- $\nabla f(q)$ points outwards if and only if $\tau(q) > 0$.

Definition 2.3. A C^{∞} function $f: M \cap \{g \ge 0\} \to \mathbf{R}$ (resp. $M \cap \{g \le 0\} \to \mathbf{R}$) is a correct function if all critical points of $f_{|M \cap g^{-1}(0)}$ are correct. A C^{∞} function $f: M \cap \{g \ge 0\} \to \mathbf{R}$ (resp. $M \cap \{g \le 0\} \to \mathbf{R}$) is a Morse correct function if $f_{|M \cap \{g>0\}}$ (resp. $f_{|M \cap \{g<0\}}$) admits only non-degenerate critical points and if f admits only non-degenerate correct critical points.

Proposition 2.4. For any C^{∞} manifold M and for any function $g: M \to \mathbf{R}$ such that $\nabla g(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in g^{-1}(0)$, the set of C^{∞}

functions $f : M \to \mathbf{R}$ such that $f_{|M \cap \{g \ge 0\}}$ and $f_{|M \cap \{g \le 0\}}$ are Morse correct functions is dense in $C^{\infty}(M, \mathbf{R})$.

We will denote $\chi(M \cap \{g * 0\} \cap \{f?0\})$ by $\chi_{*,?}$ and we will use the following result.

Theorem 2.5. Let M be a C^{∞} manifold of dimension n and let $g : M \to \mathbf{R}$ be a C^{∞} function such that $\nabla g(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in g^{-1}(0)$. Let $f : M \to \mathbf{R}$ be a C^{∞} function such that $f_{|M}$ is proper, and that $f_{|M \cap \{g \geq 0\}}$ and $f_{|M \cap \{g \leq 0\}}$ are Morse correct. Let $\{p_i\}$ be the set of critical points of $f_{|M}$ and $\{\lambda_i\}$ be the set of their respective indices. Let $\{q_j\}$ be the set of their respective indices. Then we have

$$\chi_{\geq,\geq} - \chi_{\geq,=} = \sum_{\substack{i/f(p_i)>0\\g(p_i)>0}} (-1)^{\lambda_i} + \sum_{\substack{j/f(q_j)>0\\\tau(q_j)>0\\\tau(q_j)>0}} (-1)^{\mu_j},$$
$$\chi_{\geq,\leq} - \chi_{\geq,=} = (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{i/f(p_i)<0\\g(p_i)>0}} (-1)^{\lambda_i} + (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{j/f(q_j)<0\\\tau(q_j)<0}} (-1)^{\mu_j},$$

and

$$\chi_{\leq,\geq} - \chi_{\leq,=} = \sum_{\substack{i/f(p_i)>0\\g(p_i)<0}} (-1)^{\lambda_i} + \sum_{\substack{j/f(q_j)>0\\\tau(q_j)<0}} (-1)^{\mu_j},$$

$$\chi_{\leq,\leq} - \chi_{\leq,=} = (-1)^n \sum_{\substack{i/f(p_i)<0\\g(p_i)<0}} (-1)^{\lambda_i} + (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{j/f(q_j)<0\\\tau(q_j)>0}} (-1)^{\mu_j}.$$

3 The global residue or Kronecker symbol

In this section, we recall the construction of the global residue (or Kronecker symbol) on zero-dimensional polynomial algebras and we give its main properties. Actually we present Szafraniec's generalization [Sz2] of the global residue ([BCRS],[Ca],[Ku],[SS]).

Let $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_N) : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^N$, where $N \ge n$, be a polynomial mapping. We denote $\mathbf{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ by $\mathbf{R}[x]$. Let $A_{\mathbf{R}} = \frac{\mathbf{R}[x]}{(F)}$ and let us assume that $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} A_{\mathbf{R}} < +\infty$, $A_{\mathbf{R}}$ is in that case a zero-dimensional

polynomial algebra (if N = n it is a complete intersection). Let $V_{\mathbf{C}}$ (resp. $V_{\mathbf{R}}$) be the set of common zeros in \mathbf{C}^n (resp. \mathbf{R}^n) of f_1, \ldots, f_N ; $V_{\mathbf{C}}$ is a finite set of points and we can write

$$V_{\mathbf{C}} = \{p_1, \ldots, p_m\} \cup \{p_{m+1}, \overline{p_{m+1}}, \ldots, p_s, \overline{p_s}\},\$$

where

$$V_{\mathbf{R}} = V_{\mathbf{C}} \cap \mathbf{R}^n = \{p_1, \dots, p_m\},\$$

and $V_{\mathbf{C}} \setminus V_{\mathbf{R}}$ consists of pairs of conjuguate points.

We denote $A_{\mathbf{R},p_j}$ (resp. $A_{\mathbf{C},p_j}$) the local algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{R},p_j}/(F)$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C},p_j}/(F)$) where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{R},p_j}$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{C},p_j}$) is the ring of real (resp. complex) analytic germs at p_j .

Let $\Pi_i : A_{\mathbf{R}} \to A_{\mathbf{R},p_i}, i = 1, \ldots, m$, be the projection such that $\Pi_i(f)$ is the residue class of f in $A_{\mathbf{R},p_i}$. In the same way, we define $\Pi_j : A_{\mathbf{R}} \to A_{\mathbf{C},p_j}, j = m + 1, \ldots, s$. The natural projection

$$\Pi : A_{\mathbf{R}} \to A_{\mathbf{R},p_1} \times \cdots \times A_{\mathbf{R},p_m} \times A_{\mathbf{C},p_{m+1}} \times \cdots \times A_{\mathbf{C},p_s} f \mapsto (\Pi_1(f),\dots,\Pi_m(f),\Pi_{m+1}(f),\dots,\Pi_s(f))$$

is an isomorphism of **R**-algebras.

For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, we define

$$T_{i,j}(x,y) = \frac{f_i(y_1,\ldots,y_{j-1},x_j,\ldots,x_n) - f_i(y_1,\ldots,y_j,x_{j+1},\ldots,x_n)}{x_j - y_j}.$$

It is easy to see that $T_{i,j}(x, y)$ defines a polynomial in $\mathbf{R}[x, y]$. We define a natural projection $\mathbf{R}[x, y] \to A_{\mathbf{R}} \otimes A_{\mathbf{R}}$ by

$$x_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}y_1^{\beta_1}\cdots y_n^{\beta_n}\mapsto x_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}\otimes y_1^{\beta_1}\cdots y_n^{\beta_n}.$$

Let T be the image of det $[T_{i,j}(x,y)]$ in $A_{\mathbf{R}} \otimes A_{\mathbf{R}}$. Let $d = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} A_{\mathbf{R}}$ and let e_1, \ldots, e_d be a basis in $A_{\mathbf{R}}$. Then $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} A_{\mathbf{R}} \otimes A_{\mathbf{R}} = d^2$ and the $e_i \otimes e_j, 1 \leq i, j \leq d$, form a basis in $A_{\mathbf{R}} \otimes A_{\mathbf{R}}$. Thus there exist $t_{ij} \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$T = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} t_{ij} e_i \otimes e_j = \sum_{i=1}^{d} e_i \otimes \hat{e}_i,$$

where $\hat{e}_i = \sum_{j=1}^d t_{ij} e_j$.

Theorem 3.1. Assume that for each $p \in V_{\mathbf{C}}$, $(f_1, \ldots, f_N) = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ in $A_{\mathbf{C},p}$. Then $\hat{e}_1, \ldots, \hat{e}_d$ form a basis in $A_{\mathbf{R}}$.

Proof. See [Sz2] p353-354.

Hence we can find a_1, \ldots, a_d in **R** such that $1 = a_1 \hat{e}_1 + \cdots + a_d \hat{e}_d$ in $A_{\mathbf{R}}$. We define a linear functional $\phi : A_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R}$ in the following way

$$\phi(g) = a_1b_1 + \dots + a_db_d \text{ if } g = b_1e_1 + \dots + b_de_d \text{ in } A_{\mathbf{R}}.$$

For all $1 \leq i \leq s$, let $\eta_i : A_{\mathbf{K},p_i} \to A_{\mathbf{R}}$ denote the restriction of Π^{-1} to

 $\{0\}\times\cdots\times A_{\mathbf{K},p_i}\times\cdots\times\{0\},\$

where $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{R}$ or \mathbf{C} and let $\phi_i = \phi \circ \eta_i$ be the natural restriction of ϕ to $A_{\mathbf{K},p_i}$. Let

$$h(x) = \frac{\partial(f_1, \dots, f_n)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_n)}(x),$$

write $h_i = \prod_i(\bar{h})$ where \bar{h} is the image in $A_{\mathbf{R}}$ of h. Then we have

Theorem 3.2. Assume that for each $p \in V_{\mathbf{C}}$, $(f_1, \ldots, f_N) = (f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ in $A_{\mathbf{C},p}$. Then for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $\phi_i(h_i) = \dim_{\mathbf{K}} A_{\mathbf{K},p_i}$. In particular, for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$, $\phi_i(h_i) > 0$.

Proof. See [Sz2] p 353-354.

Remark 3.3. When N = n it is clear that the assumption holds. In that case, ϕ is the usual global residue ([BCRS], [Ca], [Ku], [SS]).

Let $u \in \mathbf{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and let us define the following bilinear symmetric form Φ_u :

 $\Phi_u: A_{\mathbf{R}} \times A_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R}$ defined by $\Phi_u(g_1, g_2) = \phi(ug_1g_2).$

We have

Theorem 3.4. Φ_u is non-degenerate if and only if for each $p \in V_{\mathbf{C}}$, $u(p) \neq 0$.

Proof. See [Sz2] p353 and [Sz3] p304.

51 REVISTA MATEMÁTICA COMPLUTENSE (2001) vol. XIV, num. 1, 45-82

		i.	
-		1	

For all $1 \leq j \leq m$, let Φ_u^j be the bilinear symmetric form defined on $A_{\mathbf{R},p_j}$ by $\Phi_u^j(g_1,g_2) = \phi_j(ug_1g_2)$. Then

Proposition 3.5.

signature
$$\Phi_u = \sum_{j=1}^m \text{signature } \Phi_u^j$$

Proof. It is clear.

Now we investigate the case Φ_u degenerate. Let $d = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} A_{\mathbf{R}}$. For $e \geq d$, let Φ_{u^e} be the bilinear symmetric form defined on $A_{\mathbf{R}}$ by

$$\Phi_{u^e}(g_1, g_2) = \Phi(u^e g_1 g_2),$$

and let $\Phi_{u^e}^j$ be the natural restriction of Φ_{u^e} to $A_{\mathbf{R},p_j}$. We have

Proposition 3.6. If Φ_u is degenerate then there exists $p \in V_{\mathbf{C}}$ such that u(p) = 0 and

signature
$$\Phi_{u^e} = \sum$$
 signature $\Phi_{u^e}^j$ where $1 \le j \le m$ and $u(p_j) \ne 0$.

Proof. See [Dut1] Proposition 4.1 or [Dut2] Proposition 2.7.

4 Study of the semi-algebraic sets $W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g \ge 0\}$ and $W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g \le 0\}$

Let $F : (F_1, \ldots, F_k) : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^k$, n > k, be a polynomial mapping such that $W_{\mathbf{C}} = \{x \in \mathbf{C}^n / F(x) = 0\}$ is a smooth complex manifold of dimension n - k, which implies that $W_{\mathbf{R}} = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n / F(x) = 0\}$ is a smooth real manifold of dimension n - k, provided it is not empty. Let

$$M = \frac{\partial(F_1, \dots, F_k)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_k)}.$$

Let $\omega = x_1^2 + \ldots + x_n^2$, let *I* be the ideal generated by F_1, \ldots, F_k and all $(k+1) \times (k+1)$ minors

$$\frac{\partial(\omega, F_1, \ldots, F_k)}{\partial(x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_{k+1}})}.$$

Let $A_{\mathbf{R}} = \frac{\mathbf{R}[x]}{I}$ and $V_{\mathbf{C}} = \{p \in \mathbf{C}^n / \text{ for all } u \in I, u(p) = 0\}$. Assume that $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} A_{\mathbf{R}} < +\infty$, hence $V_{\mathbf{C}}$ is finite and

$$V_{\mathbf{C}} = \{p_1, \ldots, p_m\} \cup \{p_{m+1}, \overline{p_{m+1}}, \ldots, p_s, \overline{p_s}\}.$$

The set of critical points of $\omega_{|W_{\mathbf{C}}}$ is $V_{\mathbf{C}}$ and $V_{\mathbf{R}} = V_{\mathbf{C}} \cap \mathbf{R}^n = \{p_1, \ldots, p_m\}$ is the set of critical points of $\omega_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}}$. After an appropriate change of coordinates, one may assume that for each $p \in V_{\mathbf{C}}$, $M(p) \neq 0$.

Now let $g : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ be a polynomial such that $g^{-1}(0) \cap W_{\mathbf{R}}$ is a smooth manifold of dimension n - k - 1. Let $(x_1, \ldots, x_n; \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k; \mu)$ be a coordinate system in \mathbf{R}^{n+k+1} and let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H: \mathbf{R}^{n+k+1} & \to & \mathbf{R}^{n+k+1} \\ (x_1, \dots, x_n; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k, \mu) & \mapsto & (\nabla \omega + \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \nabla F_i + \mu \nabla g; F_1, \dots, F_k, g) \end{array}$$

Let $B_{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{R}[x, \lambda, \mu]/(H)$ and assume that $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} B_{\mathbf{R}} < +\infty$. Let

$$Y_{\mathbf{R}} = \{(q, \lambda, \mu) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+k+1}/H(q, \lambda, \mu) = 0\}.$$

Then $Y_{\mathbf{R}}$ is a finite set of points and we write

$$Y_{\mathbf{R}} = \{(q_1, \lambda_1, \mu_1), \dots, (q_l, \lambda_l, \mu_l)\}.$$

The points q_1, \ldots, q_l are exactly the critical points of $\omega_{|W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap g^{-1}(0)}$ (see [Sz1]).

4.1 Two local studies

We investigate the situation at a critical point of $\omega_{|W_{\mathbf{C}}}$ and at a critical point of $\omega_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}\cap g^{-1}(0)}$. We begin with $\omega_{|W_{\mathbf{C}}}$.

For all $p \in V_{\mathbf{K}}$ ($\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{R}$ or \mathbf{C}), $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K},p}$ is the ring of analytic function germs defined near p. We set

$$m_j(x) = \frac{\partial(\omega, F_1, \dots, F_k)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_k; x_j)}$$
 for each $j \ge k+1$.

ABOUT THE EULER-POINCARÉ CHARACTERISTIC OF ...

$$h(x) = \frac{\partial(F_1, \dots, F_k; m_{k+1}, \dots, m_n)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_n)}.$$

Let (F_1, \ldots, F_k) be the ideal generated by F_1, \ldots, F_k in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K},p}$, let $I_{\mathbf{K},p}$ be the one generated by F_1, \ldots, F_k and all $(k+1) \times (k+1)$ minors

$$\frac{\partial(\omega, F_1, \ldots, F_k)}{\partial(x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_{k+1}})},$$

and $J_{\mathbf{K},p}$ the one generated by $F_1, \ldots, F_k, m_{k+1}, \ldots, m_n$. Clearly $(F_1, \ldots, F_k) \subset J_{\mathbf{K},p} \subset I_{\mathbf{K},p}$. Let $A_{\mathbf{K},p} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{K},p}/I_{\mathbf{K},p}$. Since $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} A_{\mathbf{R}} < +\infty$, we have that for each $p \in V_{\mathbf{K}}$, $\dim_{\mathbf{K}} A_{\mathbf{K},p} < +\infty$ and then

Lemma 4.1. for each $p \in V_{\mathbf{K}}$, $I_{\mathbf{K},p} = J_{\mathbf{K},p}$.

Proof. See [Sz2,p349-350] or [Dut3] appendix.

Now we study the local situation at a point $p_j \in V_{\mathbf{R}}$. We have $M(p_j) \neq 0$ and $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} A_{\mathbf{R},p_j} < +\infty$. Let $\phi : A_{\mathbf{R},p_j} \to \mathbf{R}$ be a linear functional such that $\phi(h) > 0$. Let $u \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{R},p_j}$ be a real analytic germ. Let Φ_u^j (resp. $\Phi_u^{M,j}$) be the bilinear symmetric form on $A_{\mathbf{R},p_j}$ given by $\Phi_u^j(g_1,g_2) = \phi(ug_1g_2)$ (resp. $\Phi_u^{M,j}(g_1,g_2) = \phi(Mug_1g_2)$). Let $\tilde{\omega} : W_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R}$ be a Morse function which uniformly approximates $\omega_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}}$ in the C^2 -topology. Let $\{p_{ji}\}$ be the set of Morse critical points of $\tilde{\omega}$ lying near p_j and let $\{\lambda_{ji}\}$ be the set of their respective indices. The following proposition is an easy generalization of Proposition 3.5, p352 [Sz2].

Proposition 4.2.

- **1.** Φ_u^j is non-degenerate if and only if $u(p_i) \neq 0$,
- **2.** $\sum_{i} (-1)^{\lambda_{ji}} = (-1)^k \operatorname{sign} u(p_j) \cdot \operatorname{signature} \Phi_u^j$ if n-k is odd,
- **3.** $\sum_{i} (-1)^{\lambda_{ji}} = \text{sign } u(p_j) \cdot \text{signature } \Phi_u^{M,j} \text{ if } n-k \text{ is even.}$

Now we study the situation at a critical point q_j of $\omega_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}\cap g^{-1}(0)}$. Let $\psi : B_{\mathbf{R},q_j} \to \mathbf{R}$ be a linear functional such that $\psi(\operatorname{Jac} H) > 0$

where $B_{\mathbf{R},q_j} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{R},(q_j,\lambda_j,\mu_j)}/(H)$ and let $v \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{R},(q_j,\lambda_j,\mu_j)}$ be a real analytic germ. Let Ψ_v^j be the bilinear symmetric form on $B_{\mathbf{R},q_j}$ given by $\Psi_v^j(g_1,g_2) = \psi(vg_1g_2)$. Let $\tilde{\tilde{\omega}} : W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap g^{-1}(0) \to \mathbf{R}$ be a Morse function which uniformly approximates $\omega_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}\cap g^{-1}(0)}$ in the C^2 -topology. Let $\{q_{ji}\}$ be the set of Morse critical points of $\tilde{\tilde{\omega}}$ lying near q_j and let $\{\mu_{ji}\}$ be the set of their respective indices. Then we have

Proposition 4.3.

- **1.** Ψ_v^j is non-degenerate if and only if $v(q_j, \lambda_j, \mu_j) \neq 0$.
- **2.** In that case $\sum_{i} (-1)^{\mu_{ji}} = (-1)^{k+1} \operatorname{sign} v(q_i, \lambda_i, \mu_j) \cdot \operatorname{signature} \Psi_v^j$.

Proof. The first part is proved in [Sz2] Lemma 2.2. For the second point, we use [Sz1] Lemma 1.4 and the Eisenbud-Levine formula (see [AGV], [Ei], [EL]).

4.2 Global study

Recall that $A_{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{R}[x]/I$ is finite dimensional, $V_{\mathbf{C}} = \{p \in \mathbf{C}^n / \text{ for all } u \in I \ u(p) = 0\}$ is the set of critical points of $\omega_{|W_{\mathbf{C}}}$ and $V_{\mathbf{R}} = \{p_1, \ldots, p_m\}$. Since one can assume that for all $p \in V_{\mathbf{C}}$, $M(p) \neq 0$ then, from Section 3 and the above Lemma 4.1, we can consider the global residue ϕ on $A_{\mathbf{R}}$. With this global residue, we construct the following bilinear symmetric forms on $A_{\mathbf{R}}$:

 $\begin{array}{llll} \Phi & : & A_{\mathbf{R}} \times A_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R} & \text{defined by} & \Phi(g_1,g_2) = \phi(g_1g_2), \\ \Phi_g & : & A_{\mathbf{R}} \times A_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R} & \text{defined by} & \Phi_g(g_1,g_2) = \phi(gg_1g_2), \\ \Phi^M & : & A_{\mathbf{R}} \times A_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R} & \text{defined by} & \Phi^M(g_1,g_2) = \phi(Mg_1g_2), \\ \Phi_g^M & : & A_{\mathbf{R}} \times A_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R} & \text{defined by} & \Phi_g^M(g_1,g_2) = \phi(Mgg_1g_2). \end{array}$

Since $B_{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{R}[x]/(H)$ is finite dimensional, we can consider the global residue ψ on $B_{\mathbf{R}}$ and we can construct the following bilinear symmetric forms on $B_{\mathbf{R}}$:

$$\begin{split} \Psi &: B_{\mathbf{R}} \times B_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R} \quad \text{defined by} \quad \Psi(g_1, g_2) = \psi(g_1 g_2), \\ \Psi_{\mu} &: B_{\mathbf{R}} \times B_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R} \quad \text{defined by} \quad \Psi_{\mu}(g_1, g_2) = \psi(\mu g_1 g_2). \end{split}$$

Recall that $Y_{\mathbf{R}} = \{(q_1, \lambda_1, \mu_1), \dots, (q_l, \lambda_l, \mu_l)\}$. We will denote $W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g * 0\}$ by $W_{\mathbf{R}}(g * 0)$ where $* \in \{\leq, =, \geq\}$.

Theorem 4.4. Assume the following conditions

- $W_{\mathbf{C}}$ is a smooth complex manifold of dimension n k and $W_{\mathbf{R}}$ is non-empty,
- W_C ∩ g_C⁻¹(0) is a smooth complex manifold of dimension n − k − 1 and W_R ∩ g⁻¹(0) is non-empty,
- for each $p \in V_{\mathbf{C}}$, $M(p) \neq 0$,
- Φ_g is non-degenerate,

then

- **1.** $W_{\mathbf{R}}$ is a smooth real manifold of dimension n k,
- **2.** $W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap g^{-1}(0)$ is a smooth real manifold of dimension n k 1,
- **3.** Φ , Φ^M , Φ^M_q and Ψ are non-degenerate,
- 4. Ψ_{μ} is non-degenerate,
- **5.** All critical points of $\omega_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}(g\geq 0)}$ and of $\omega_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}(g\leq 0)}$ lying in $W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap g^{-1}(0)$ are correct,
- 6. if n k is odd

$$\chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \ge 0) \Big) + \chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \le 0) \Big) =$$

(-1)^k (signature Φ - signature Ψ),
 $\chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \ge 0) \Big) - \chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \le 0) \Big) =$
(-1)^k (signature Φ_g - signature Ψ_{μ}),

7. if n - k is even

$$\begin{split} \chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \geq 0) \Big) + \chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \leq 0) \Big) &= \\ \text{signature } \Phi^M + (-1)^{k+1} \text{signature } \Psi, \\ \chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \geq 0) \Big) - \chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \leq 0) \Big) &= \\ \text{signature } \Phi^M_g + (-1)^k \text{signature } \Psi_\mu. \end{split}$$

Proof. 1. and **2.** are clear.

3. is an application of Theorem 3.4.

Since Φ_g is non-degenerate, for all $p \in V_{\mathbf{C}}$, $g(p) \neq 0$. This means that there is no critical point of $\omega_{|W_{\mathbf{C}}}$ in the zero locus of g. Thus for every point $(q, \lambda, \mu) \in \mathbf{C}^{n+k+1}$ such that $H(q, \lambda, \mu) = 0$, $\mu \neq 0$ which implies that Ψ_{μ} is non-degenerate and that the critical points of $\omega_{|W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g \geq 0\}}$ and $\omega_{|W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g \leq 0\}}$ lying in $g^{-1}(0)$ are correct. This proves **4.** and **5.**.

To show **6**, we choose a function $\tilde{\omega} : W_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R}$ which approximates $\omega_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}|}$ such that $\tilde{\omega}_{|W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g \ge 0\}}$ and $\tilde{\omega}_{|W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g \le 0\}}$ are Morse correct functions. For all $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, let $\{p_{j1}, \ldots, p_{j\sigma(j)}\}$ be the set of critical points of $\tilde{\omega}_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}|}$ lying near p_j and let $\{\lambda_{j1}, \ldots, \lambda_{j\sigma(j)}\}$ be the set of their respective indices. For all $s \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$, let $\{q_{s1}, \ldots, q_{s\tau(s)}\}$ be the set of critical points of $\tilde{\omega}_{|W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap g^{-1}(0)}$ lying near q_s and let $\{\rho_{s1}, \ldots, \rho_{s\tau(s)}\}$ be the set of their respective indices. Applying Theorem 2.5, we have

$$\chi\Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \ge 0)\Big) = \sum_{j/g(p_j)>0} \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma(j)} (-1)^{\lambda_{ji}} + \sum_{s/\mu_s<0} \sum_{i=1}^{\tau(s)} (-1)^{\rho_{si}},$$
$$\chi\Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \le 0)\Big) = \sum_{j/g(p_j)<0} \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma(j)} (-1)^{\lambda_{ji}} + \sum_{s/\mu_s>0} \sum_{i=1}^{\tau(s)} (-1)^{\rho_{si}}.$$

Combining these two equalities gives

$$\chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \ge 0) \Big) + \chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \le 0) \Big) = \sum_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma(j)} (-1)^{\lambda_{ji}} + \sum_{s} \sum_{i=1}^{\tau(s)} (-1)^{\rho_{si}},$$
$$\chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \ge 0) \Big) - \chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \le 0) \Big) = \sum_{j} \operatorname{sign} g(p_j) \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma(j)} (-1)^{\lambda_{ji}} - \sum_{s} \operatorname{sign} \mu_s \sum_{i=1}^{\tau(s)} (-1)^{\rho_{si}}.$$

Using Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.3 and assuming n - k odd, we get

$$\chi\Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \ge 0)\Big) + \chi\Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \le 0)\Big) = (-1)^k \sum_j \text{signature } \Phi^j + (-1)^{k+1} \sum_s \text{signature } \Psi^s,$$

$$\begin{split} \chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \geq 0) \Big) &- \chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \leq 0) \Big) = \\ (-1)^k \sum_j \text{signature } \Phi_g^j + (-1)^k \sum_s \text{signature } \Psi_\mu^s. \end{split}$$

Hence, by Proposition 3.5

$$\chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \ge 0) \Big) + \chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \le 0) \Big) = (-1)^k \Big(\text{signature } \Phi - \text{signature } \Psi \Big),$$
$$\chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \ge 0) \Big) - \chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \le 0) \Big) = (-1)^k \Big(\text{signature } \Phi_g + \text{signature } \Psi_\mu \Big).$$
We prove the case $n - k$ even in a similar way.

Corollary 4.5. Under the same assumptions, we have

• If n - k is odd

$$\chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \ge 0) \Big) = \frac{1}{2} (-1)^k \Big(\text{signature } \Phi + \text{signature } \Phi_g \Big)$$

-signature Ψ + signature Ψ_{μ}),

$$\chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \le 0) \Big) = \frac{1}{2} (-1)^k \Big(\text{signature } \Phi - \text{signature } \Phi_g$$

-signature $\Psi - \text{signature } \Psi_{\mu} \Big).$

• If n - k is even

$$\chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \ge 0) \Big) = \frac{1}{2} \Big(\text{signature } \Phi^{M} + \text{signature } \Phi^{M}_{g} \Big) \\ + \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{k} \Big(\text{signature } \Psi - \text{signature } \Psi_{\mu} \Big), \\ \chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \le 0) \Big) = \frac{1}{2} \Big(\text{signature } \Phi^{M} - \text{signature } \Phi^{M}_{g} \Big) \\ + \frac{1}{2} (-1)^{k} \Big(\text{signature } \Psi + \text{signature } \Psi_{\mu} \Big).$$

Proof. It is clear.

4.3 Examples

Example 1. The first example is trivial but it enables us to check our formulas. Let $W_{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{R}^2$ and let $g(x_1, x_2) = x_1 - 1$. We are in the situation n = 2 and k = 0. The corresponding algebras are

$$A_{\mathbf{R}} = \frac{\mathbf{R}[x_1, x_2]}{(2x_1, 2x_2)}$$
 and $B_{\mathbf{R}} = \frac{\mathbf{R}[x_1, x_2, \mu]}{(2x_1 + \mu, 2x_2, x_1 - 1)}$

The computer gives

- dim_{**R**} $A_{\mathbf{R}} = 1$, signature $\Phi = 1$, rank $\Phi_g = 1$ and signature $\Phi_g = -1$,
- dim_{**R**} $B_{\mathbf{R}} = 1$, signature $\Psi = -1$, signature $\Psi_{\mu} = -1$ and rank $\Psi_{\mu} = 1$,

so, applying Theorem 4.4, we find

$$\chi(x_1 \ge 1) + \chi(x_1 \le 1) = 2,$$

 $\chi(x_1 \ge 1) - \chi(x_1 \le 1) = 0.$

Example 2. Let $W_{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{R}^2$ and let $g = x_2^5 + x_1^2 x_2^2 - x_2 + 1$. Computations give

- dim_{**R**}A_{**R**} = 1, signature Φ = 1, signature Φ _g = 1 and rank Φ _g = 1,
- dim_{**R**} $B_{\mathbf{R}} = 7$, signature $\Psi = -1$, signature $\Psi_{\mu} = -1$ and rank $\Psi_{\mu} = 7$.

so, applying Theorem 4.4, we find

$$\chi(g \ge 0) + \chi(g \le 0) = 2,$$

 $\chi(g \ge 0) - \chi(g \le 0) = 0.$

Example 3. Let $W_{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{R}^3$ and let $g = x_1^3 + x_2x_3 + x_3^2 - 1$. The computer gives

• dim_{**R**}A_{**R**} = 1, signature Φ = 1, signature Φ _g = -1 and rank Φ _g = 1,

• dim_{**R**} $B_{\mathbf{R}} = 11$, signature $\Psi = -1$, signature $\Psi_{\mu} = 1$ and rank $\Psi_{\mu} = 11$.

so, applying Theorem 4.4, we find

$$\chi(g \ge 0) + \chi(g \le 0) = 2,$$

 $\chi(g \ge 0) - \chi(g \le 0) = -2.$

5 Study of the semi-algebraic sets defined with two inequalities

In this section we are interested in computing the Euler characteristic of semi-algebraic sets defined with two inequalities. For convenience we will denote $W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g * 0\} \cap \{f?0\}$ by $W_{\mathbf{R}}(g * 0, f?0)$ and $\chi(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g * 0, f?0))$ by $\chi_{*,?}$ where $*, ? \in \{\leq, =, \geq\}$. We will proceed as in the previous section, replacing ω by a polynomial f such that $f_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}}$ is proper.

Let $F = (F_1, \ldots, F_k) : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^k$, n > k, be a polynomial mapping such that $W_{\mathbf{C}} = \{x \in \mathbf{C}^n / F(x) = 0\}$ is a smooth complex manifold of dimension n - k, which implies that $W_{\mathbf{R}} = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n / F(x) = 0\}$ is a smooth real manifold of dimension n - k, provided it is not empty. Let

$$M = \frac{\partial(F_1, \dots, F_k)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_k)}.$$

Let $g: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ be a polynomial such that $W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap g^{-1}(0)$ is a smooth manifold of dimension n - k - 1. Let $f: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ be a polynomial, let I be the ideal generated by F_1, \ldots, F_k and all $(k + 1) \times (k + 1)$ minors $\frac{\partial(f, F_1, \ldots, F_k)}{\partial(x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_{k+1}})}$. Let $A_{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{R}[x]/I$ and $V_{\mathbf{C}} = \{p \in \mathbf{C}^n / \text{ for all } u \in I \ u(p) = 0\}$. Assume that $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} A_{\mathbf{R}} < +\infty$, hence $V_{\mathbf{C}}$ is finite and

$$V_{\mathbf{C}} = \{p_1, \ldots, p_m\} \cup \{p_{m+1}, \overline{p_{m+1}}, \ldots, p_s, \overline{p_s}\}.$$

The set of critical points of $f_{|W_{\mathbf{C}}}$ is $V_{\mathbf{C}}$ and $V_{\mathbf{R}} = V_{\mathbf{C}} \cap \mathbf{R}^n = \{p_1, \ldots, p_m\}$ is the set of critical points of $f_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}}$. After an appropriate change of coordinates, one may assume that for each $p \in V_{\mathbf{C}}$, $M(p) \neq 0$.

Let $(x_1, \ldots, x_n; \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k; \mu)$ be a coordinate system in \mathbb{R}^{n+k+1} and let

$$\begin{array}{rccc} H: \mathbf{R}^{n+k+1} & \to & \mathbf{R}^{n+k+1} \\ (x_1, \dots, x_n; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k; \mu) & \mapsto & (\nabla f + \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \nabla F_i + \mu \nabla g, F_1, \dots, F_k, g). \end{array}$$

Let $B_{\mathbf{R}} = \frac{\mathbf{R}[x;\lambda;\mu]}{(H)}$ and assume that $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} B_{\mathbf{R}} < +\infty$. Let $Y_{\mathbf{R}} = \{(q;\lambda;\mu) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+k+1}/H(q,\lambda,\mu) = 0\}$. Then $Y_{\mathbf{R}}$ is a finite set, say

 $Y_{\mathbf{R}} = \{(q_1, \lambda_1, \mu_1), \dots, (q_l, \lambda_l, \mu_l)\}.$

The points q_1, \ldots, q_l are exactly the critical points of $f_{|W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap g^{-1}(0)}$. Now it is clear that Lemma 4.1, Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.3 are still true if we replace ω by f.

Let ϕ be the global residue on $A_{\mathbf{R}}$ and consider the following bilinear symmetric forms on $A_{\mathbf{R}}$:

 $\Phi \quad : \quad A_{\mathbf{R}} \times A_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R} \quad \text{defined by}$ $\Phi(g_1, g_2) = \phi(g_1g_2),$ $\Phi_g : A_{\mathbf{R}} \times A_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R}$ defined by $\Phi(g_1, g_2) = \phi(gg_1g_2),$
$$\begin{split} \Phi_{f} &: A_{\mathbf{R}} \times A_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R} \quad \text{defined by} \quad \Phi(g_{1},g_{2}) = \phi(fg_{1}g_{2}), \\ \Phi_{fg} &: A_{\mathbf{R}} \times A_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R} \quad \text{defined by} \quad \Phi(g_{1},g_{2}) = \phi(gfg_{1}g_{2}). \end{split}$$

In the same way, we can define Φ^M , Φ^M_g , Φ^M_f and Φ^M_{gf} . Let Ψ be the global residue on $B_{\mathbf{R}}$ and consider the following symmetric forms on $B_{\mathbf{R}}$:

 $\begin{array}{llll} \Psi & : & B_{\mathbf{R}} \times B_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R} & \text{defined by} & \Psi(g_1,g_2) = \psi(g_1g_2), \\ \Psi_f & : & B_{\mathbf{R}} \times B_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R} & \text{defined by} & \Psi(g_1,g_2) = \psi(fg_1g_2), \\ \Psi_\mu & : & B_{\mathbf{R}} \times B_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R} & \text{defined by} & \Psi(g_1,g_2) = \psi(\mu g_1g_2), \\ \Psi_{f\mu} & : & B_{\mathbf{R}} \times B_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R} & \text{defined by} & \Psi(g_1,g_2) = \psi(f\mu g_1g_2). \end{array}$

Theorem 5.1. Assume that

- $W_{\mathbf{C}}$ is a smooth complex manifold of dimension n k and $W_{\mathbf{R}}$ is not empty.
- W_C ∩ g_C⁻¹(0) is a smooth complex manifold of dimension n − k − 1 and W_R ∩ g⁻¹(0) is not empty,
- for each $p \in V_{\mathbf{C}}$, $M(p) \neq 0$,
- Φ_{qf} is non-degenerate,
- Ψ_f is non-degenerate,
- $f_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}}$ is proper,

then

- **1.** $W_{\mathbf{R}}$ is a smooth real manifold of dimension n k,
- **2.** $W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap g^{-1}(0)$ is a smooth real manifold of dimension n k 1,
- **3.** Φ_g , Φ_f , Φ^M , Φ_g^M , Φ_f^M and Φ_{fg}^M are non-degenerate,
- **4.** $W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap f^{-1}(0)$ is either a smooth real manifold of dimension n-k-1 or empty,
- **5.** all critical points of $f_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}(g\geq 0)}$ and of $f_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}(g\leq 0)}$ lying in $W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap g^{-1}(0)$ are correct,
- **6.** Ψ_{μ} and $\Psi_{\mu f}$ are non-degenerate,
- **7.** $W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap f^{-1}(0) \cap g^{-1}(0)$ is either a smooth real manifold of dimension n k 2 or empty,
- 8. if n k is odd

if n-k is even

Proof. 1., 2. and 3. are clear.

Because Φ_{gf} is non-degenerate then for all $p \in V_{\mathbf{C}}$, $f(p) \neq 0$ and $W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap f^{-1}(0)$ is smooth or empty which shows **4**. Because Φ_{gf} is non-degenerate then for all $p \in V_{\mathbf{C}}$, $g(p) \neq 0$ and this proves **5**. and this also implies as in Theorem 4.4 that Ψ_{μ} is non-degenerate. Furthermore if Ψ_f is non-degenerate then $\Psi_{\mu f}$ is also non-degenerate and **6**. is proved. If Ψ_f is non-degenerate then for all $(q, \lambda, \mu) \in \mathbf{C}^{n+k+1}$ such that $H(q, \lambda, \mu) = 0$, $f(q) \neq 0$ which implies that $W_{\mathbf{C}} \cap f_{\mathbf{C}}^{-1}(0) \cap g_{\mathbf{C}}^{-1}(0)$ is smooth i.e **7**. is shown.

To prove 8., we choose a function $\tilde{f}: W_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R}$ which approximates $f_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}|}$ in the C^2 -topology such that $\tilde{f}_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}(g\geq 0)}$ and $\tilde{f}_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}(g\leq 0)}$ are Morse correct functions. For all $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, let $\{p_{j1}, \ldots, p_{j\sigma(j)}\}$ be the set of critical points of $\tilde{f}_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}|}$ lying near p_j and let $\{\lambda_{j1}, \ldots, \lambda_{j\sigma(j)}\}$ be the set of their respective indices. For all $s \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$, let $\{q_{s1}, \ldots, q_{s\tau(s)}\}$ be the set of critical points of $\tilde{f}_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}\cap g^{-1}(0)}$ lying near q_s and let $\{\mu_{s1}, \ldots, \mu_{s\tau(s)}\}$ be the set of their respective indices. Applying Theorem 2.5, we get

$$\chi_{\geq,\geq} - \chi_{\geq,=} = \sum_{\substack{j/g(p_j) > 0 \\ f(p_j) > 0}} \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma(j)} (-1)^{\lambda_{ji}} + \sum_{\substack{s/\mu_s < 0 \\ f(q_s) > 0}} \sum_{i=1}^{\tau(s)} (-1)^{\mu_{si}}$$
(1)

$$\chi_{\geq,\leq}-\chi_{\geq,=} = (-1)^{n-k} \sum_{\substack{j/g(p_j)>0\\f(p_j)<0}} \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma(j)} (-1)^{\lambda_{ji}} + (-1)^{n-k-1} \sum_{\substack{s/\mu_s>0\\f(q_s)<0}} \sum_{i=1}^{\tau(s)} (-1)^{\mu_{si}}$$
(2)

$$\chi_{\leq,\geq} - \chi_{\leq,=} = \sum_{\substack{j/g(p_j) < 0 \\ f(p_j) > 0}} \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma(j)} (-1)^{\lambda_{ji}} + \sum_{\substack{s/\mu_s > 0 \\ f(q_s) > 0}} \sum_{i=1}^{\tau(s)} (-1)^{\mu_{si}}$$
(3)

$$\chi_{\leq,\leq} - \chi_{\leq,=} = (-1)^{n-k} \sum_{\substack{j/g(p_j)<0\\f(p_j)<0}} \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma(j)} (-1)^{\lambda_{ji}} + (-1)^{n-k-1} \sum_{\substack{s/\mu_s<0\\f(q_s)<0}} \sum_{i=1}^{\tau(s)} (-1)^{\mu_{si}}$$
(4)

We prove the case n - k odd. The combination (1) + (2) + (3) + (4) gives

$$\chi_{\geq,\geq} + \chi_{\geq,\leq} - 2\chi_{\geq,=} + \chi_{\leq,\geq} + \chi_{\leq,\leq} - 2\chi_{\leq,=} =$$

ABOUT THE EULER-POINCARÉ CHARACTERISTIC OF ...

$$\sum_{j} \operatorname{sign} f(p_j) \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma(j)} (-1)^{\lambda_{ji}} + \sum_{s} \sum_{i=1}^{\tau(s)} (-1)^{\mu_{si}}.$$

Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.3 imply

$$\chi_{\geq,\geq} + \chi_{\geq,\leq} - 2\chi_{\geq,=} + \chi_{\leq,\geq} + \chi_{\leq,\leq} - 2\chi_{\leq,=} = (-1)^k \text{signature } \Phi_f + (-1)^{k+1} \text{signature } \Psi.$$

In the same way, (1) - (2) + (3) - (4) gives

$$\chi_{\geq,\geq} - \chi_{\geq,\leq} + \chi_{\leq,\geq} - \chi_{\leq,\leq} = \sum_{j} \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma(j)} (-1)^{\lambda_{ji}} + \sum_{s} \operatorname{sign} f(q_s) \sum_{i=1}^{\tau(s)} (-1)^{\mu_{si}} = (-1)^k \operatorname{signature} \Phi + (-1)^{k+1} \operatorname{signature} \Psi_f.$$

Then (1) + (2) - (3) - (4) gives

$$\begin{split} \chi_{\geq,\geq} + \chi_{\geq,\leq} - 2\chi_{\geq,=} - \chi_{\leq,\geq} - \chi_{\leq,\leq} + 2\chi_{\leq,=} = \\ \sum_{j} \operatorname{sign} (fg)(p_j) \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma(j)} (-1)^{\lambda_{ji}} - \sum_{s} \operatorname{sign} (\mu_s f(q_s)) \sum_{i=1}^{\tau(s)} (-1)^{\mu_{si}} = \\ (-1)^k \operatorname{signature} \Phi_{fg} + (-1)^k \operatorname{signature} \Psi_{f\mu}. \end{split}$$

Finally (1) - (2) - (3) + (4) gives

$$\chi_{\geq,\geq} - \chi_{\geq,\leq} - \chi_{\leq,\geq} + \chi_{\leq,\leq} = \sum_{j} \operatorname{sign} g(p_j) \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma(j)} (-1)^{\lambda_{ji}} - \sum_{s} \operatorname{sign} \mu_s \sum_{i=1}^{\tau(s)} (-1)^{\mu_{si}} = (-1)^k \operatorname{signature} \Phi_g + (-1)^k \operatorname{signature} \Psi_\mu.$$

We prove the case n - k even in the same way.

Now consider the following algebra

$$C_{\mathbf{R}} = \frac{\mathbf{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]}{(F_1, \dots, F_k, f, \frac{\partial(g, F_1, \dots, F_k, f)}{\partial(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{k+2}})})}.$$

64 REVISTA MATEMÁTICA COMPLUTENSE (2001) vol. XIV, num. 1, 45-82

Assume that $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} C_{\mathbf{R}} < +\infty$ then, using [Dut1] Theorem 2.6 and Corollary 2.7, it is possible to express $\chi_{\geq,=} - \chi_{\leq,=}$ and $\chi_{\geq,=} + \chi_{\leq,=}$ in terms of signatures of appropriate bilinear symmetric forms defined on $C_{\mathbf{R}}$.

Remark 5.2. Under finite dimensional conditions and non-degeneracy conditions, it is possible to express $\chi_{*,?}$, $*, ? \in \{\leq, \geq\}$, in terms of signatures of bilinear symmetric forms.

Proof. Use the previous theorem, [Dut1] Theorem 2.6 and Corollary 2.7 and the fact that

5.1 Examples

Example 1. Let $W_{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{R}^2$, $g = x_1 - 1$ and $f = x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 4$. It is clear that f is proper. Computations give

- dim_{**R**} $A_{\mathbf{R}} = 1$, signature $\Phi = 1$, signature $\Phi_f = -1$, signature $\Phi_g = -1$, rank $\Phi_{fg} = 1$ and signature $\Phi_{fg} = 1$,
- dim_{**R**} $B_{\mathbf{R}} = 1$, signature $\Psi = -1$, signature $\Psi_{\mu} = 1$, signature $\Psi_{\mu f} = -1$, rank $\Psi_{f} = 1$ and signature $\Psi_{f} = 1$.

So, by Theorem 5.1,

$$\begin{split} \chi(g \ge 0, f \ge 0) + \chi(g \ge 0, f \le 0) - 2\chi(g \ge 0, f = 0) + \\ \chi(g \le 0, f \ge 0) + \chi(g \le 0, f \le 0) - 2\chi(g \le 0, f = 0) = 0, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \chi(g \ge 0, f \ge 0) - \chi(g \ge 0, f \le 0) + \\ \chi(g \le 0, f \ge 0) - \chi(g \le 0, f \le 0) = 0, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \chi(g \ge 0, f \ge 0) + \chi(g \ge 0, f \le 0) - 2\chi(g \ge 0, f = 0) - \\ \chi(g \le 0, f \ge 0) - \chi(g \le 0, f \le 0) + 2\chi(g \le 0, f = 0) = 0, \end{split}$$

⁶⁵ REVISTA MATEMÁTICA COMPLUTENSE (2001) vol. XIV, num. 1, 45-82

$$\chi(g \ge 0, f \ge 0) - \chi(g \ge 0, f \le 0) - \chi(g \le 0, f \le 0) - \chi(g \le 0, f \ge 0) + \chi(g \le 0, f \le 0) = 0.$$

Example 2. Let $W_{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{R}^3$, let $g = x_1^3 + x_2x_3 + x_3^2 - 1$ and let $f = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - 9$. The computer gives

- dim_{**R**} $A_{\mathbf{R}} = 1$, signature $\Phi = 1$, signature $\Phi_f = -1$, signature $\Phi_g = -1$, rank $\Phi_{fg} = 1$ and signature $\Phi_{fg} = 1$,
- dim_{**R**} $B_{\mathbf{R}} = 11$, signature $\Psi = -1$, signature $\Psi_{\mu} = 1$, signature $\Psi_{\mu f} = -1$, rank $\Psi_{f} = 11$ and signature $\Psi_{f} = 1$.

So, by Theorem 5.1,

$$\begin{split} \chi(g \ge 0, f \ge 0) + \chi(g \ge 0, f \le 0) - 2\chi(g \ge 0, f = 0) + \\ \chi(g \le 0, f \ge 0) + \chi(g \le 0, f \le 0) - 2\chi(g \le 0, f = 0) = 0, \end{split}$$

$$\chi(g \ge 0, f \ge 0) - \chi(g \ge 0, f \le 0) + \\\chi(g \le 0, f \ge 0) - \chi(g \le 0, f \le 0) = 0,$$

$$\begin{split} \chi(g \ge 0, f \ge 0) + \chi(g \ge 0, f \le 0) - 2\chi(g \ge 0, f = 0) - \\ \chi(g \le 0, f \ge 0) - \chi(g \le 0, f \le 0) + 2\chi(g \le 0, f = 0) = 0, \end{split}$$

$$\chi(g \ge 0, f \ge 0) - \chi(g \ge 0, f \le 0) - \chi(g \le 0, f \le 0) - \chi(g \le 0, f \ge 0) + \chi(g \le 0, f \le 0) = 0.$$

6 Study of the case Φ_f degenerate

Now we investigate the case when $W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap f^{-1}(0)$ has isolated singularities. We keep the notations of the previous section, we put $d = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} A_{\mathbf{R}}$. We set

$$e(d) = d$$
 and $o(d) = d + 1$ if d is even,
 $e(d) = d + 1$ and $o(d) = d$ if d is odd.

We define the following bilinear symmetric forms :

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Phi_{f^{e(d)}} : A_{\mathbf{R}} \times A_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R} & \text{defined by} & \Phi_{f^{e(d)}}(g_1, g_2) = \phi(f^{e(d)}g_1g_2), \\ \Phi_{f^{e(d)}g} : A_{\mathbf{R}} \times A_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R} & \text{defined by} & \Phi_{f^{e(d)}g}(g_1, g_2) = \phi(f^{e(d)}gg_1g_2), \\ \Phi_{f^{o(d)}} : A_{\mathbf{R}} \times A_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R} & \text{defined by} & \Phi_{f^{o(d)}}(g_1, g_2) = \phi(f^{o(d)}g_1g_2), \\ \Phi_{f^{o(d)}g} : A_{\mathbf{R}} \times A_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R} & \text{defined by} & \Phi_{f^{o(d)}g}(g_1, g_2) = \phi(f^{o(d)}gg_1g_2). \end{array}$$

In the same way, we can define $\Phi^M_{f^{e(d)}}, \Phi^M_{f^{e(d)}g}, \Phi^M_{f^{o(d)}}$ and $\Phi^M_{f^{o(d)}g}$.

Theorem 6.1. Assume that

- $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} A_{\mathbf{R}} < +\infty$ and $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} B_{\mathbf{R}} < +\infty$,
- $W_{\mathbf{C}}$ is a smooth complex manifold of dimension n k and $W_{\mathbf{R}}$ is non-empty,
- $W_{\mathbf{C}} \cap g_{\mathbf{C}}^{-1}(0)$ is a smooth manifold of dimension n k 1 and $W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap g^{-1}(0)$ is not empty,
- for each $p \in V_{\mathbf{C}}$, $M(p) \neq 0$,
- Φ_f is degenerate,
- Φ_g and Ψ_f are non-degenerate,
- $f_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}}$ is proper,

then

- **1.** $W_{\mathbf{R}}$ is a smooth real manifold of dimension n k,
- **2.** $W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap g^{-1}(0)$ is a smooth real manifold of dimension n k 1,
- **3.** Φ_q^M is non-degenerate,
- **4.** Φ_f^M and Φ_{fg}^M are degenerate,
- **5.** all critical points of $f_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}(g\geq 0)}$ and of $f_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}(g\leq 0)}$ lying in $W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap g^{-1}(0)$ are correct,
- **6.** Ψ_{μ} and $\Psi_{\mu f}$ are non-degenerate,
- 7. $W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap f^{-1}(0)$ have isolated singularities or is smooth of dimension n-k-1 or is empty,

- 8. $W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap f^{-1}(0) \cap g^{-1}(0)$ is a smooth real manifold of dimension n k 2 or is empty,
- **9.** *if* n k *is odd*

if n - k is even

Proof. 1., 2., 3., 5., 6. and 8. are clear.

For 4. and 7. use Theorem 3.4.

For 9. we proceed as we did in Theorem 5.1 and we use Proposition 3.6. For example, in order to prove, in the case n - k odd, that

$$\chi_{\geq,\geq}+\chi_{\geq,\leq}+\chi_{\leq,\geq}+\chi_{\leq,\leq}-2\chi_{\geq,=}-2\chi_{\leq,=}=$$

 $(-1)^k$ signature $\Phi_{f^{o(d)}}$ – signature Ψ ,

we first notice that, keeping the notations introduced in the proof of Theorem 5.1,

$$\chi_{\geq,\geq} + \chi_{\geq,\leq} - 2\chi_{\geq,=} + \chi_{\leq,\geq} + \chi_{\leq,\leq} - 2\chi_{\leq,=} =$$
$$\sum_{j/f(p_j)\neq 0} \operatorname{sign} f(p_j) \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma(j)} (-1)^{\lambda_{ji}} + \sum_s \sum_{i=1}^{\tau(s)} (-1)^{\mu_{si}}.$$

Using Proposition 4.2, we have

$$\sum_{j/f(p_j)\neq 0} \operatorname{sign} f(p_j) \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma(j)} (-1)^{\lambda_{ji}} = \sum_{j/f(p_j)\neq 0} \operatorname{sign} f(p_j)^{o(d)} \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma(j)} (-1)^{\lambda_{ji}} = (-1)^k \sum_{j/f(p_j)\neq 0} \operatorname{signature} \Phi_{f^{o(d)}}^j.$$

By Proposition 3.6, we obtain

$$\sum_{j/f(p_j)\neq 0} \operatorname{sign} f(p_j) \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma(j)} (-1)^{\lambda_{ji}} = (-1)^k \operatorname{signature} \Phi_{f^{o(d)}}.$$

By Proposition 4.3, we still have

$$\sum_{s} \sum_{i=1}^{\tau(s)} (-1)^{\mu_{si}} = (-1)^{k+1} \text{signature } \Psi.$$

Now using the results of Section 4, we can express

$$\begin{split} &\chi\Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g\geq 0)\Big)+\chi\Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g\leq 0)\Big),\\ &\chi\Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g\geq 0)\Big)-\chi\Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g\leq 0)\Big), \end{split}$$

in terms of signatures of suitable bilinear symmetric forms. We will write

• if n - k is odd

$$\begin{split} \chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \geq 0) \Big) + \chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \leq 0) \Big) = \\ (-1)^k \left(\text{signature } \Phi^{\omega} - \text{signature } \Psi^{\omega} \right), \\ \chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \geq 0) \Big) - \chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \leq 0) \Big) = \\ (-1)^k \left(\text{signature } \Phi_g^{\omega} - \text{signature } \Psi_{\mu}^{\omega} \right), \end{split}$$

• if n-k is even

$$\begin{split} \chi\Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g\geq 0)\Big) + \chi\Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g\leq 0)\Big) &=\\ \text{signature } \Phi^{M,\omega} + (-1)^{k+1} \text{signature } \Psi^{\omega},\\ \chi\Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g\geq 0)\Big) - \chi\Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g\leq 0)\Big) &=\\ \text{signature } \Phi^{M,\omega}_g + (-1)^k \text{signature } \Psi^{\omega}_{\mu}, \end{split}$$

where these bilinear symmetrics forms are defined on

$$\frac{\mathbf{R}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]}{(F_1,\ldots,F_k,\frac{\partial(\omega,F_1,\ldots,F_k)}{\partial(x_{i_1},\ldots,x_{i_{k+1}})})} \text{ or } \frac{\mathbf{R}[x_1,\ldots,x_n;\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k,\mu]}{(\nabla\omega+\sum_{i=1}^k\lambda_i\nabla F_i+\mu\nabla g,F_1,\ldots,F_k,g)}.$$

Now we are able to give a formula for a semi-algebraic set which is the intersection of a compact algebraic complete intersection with isolated singularities and a polynomial inequality.

Corollary 6.2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 6.1, we can express $\chi_{\geq,=}$ and $\chi_{\leq,=}$ in terms of signatures. If n - k is odd

$$\begin{split} (-1)^k \Bigl(\text{signature } \Phi^\omega - \text{signature } \Psi^\omega - \text{signature } \Phi_{f^{o(d)}} + \text{signature } \Psi \Bigr) = \\ \chi_{\geq,=} + \chi_{\leq,=}, \end{split}$$

and

$$(-1)^k \Big(\text{signature } \Phi_g^{\omega} + \text{signature } \Psi_{\mu}^{\omega} - \text{signature } \Phi_{f^{o(d)}g} - \text{signature } \Psi_{f\mu} \Big) = \chi_{\geq,=} - \chi_{\leq,=}.$$

If n - k is even

signature
$$\Phi^{M,\omega} + (-1)^{k+1}$$
signature Ψ^{ω} – signature $\Phi^{M}_{f^{e(d)}}$ – $(-1)^{k+1}$ signature $\Psi_{f} = \chi_{\geq,=} + \chi_{\leq,=},$

and

signature
$$\Phi_g^{M,\omega} + (-1)^k$$
signature Ψ_{μ}^{ω} – signature $\Phi_{f^{e(d)}g}^M$ – $(-1)^k$ signature $\Psi_{\mu} = \chi_{\geq,=} - \chi_{\leq,=}$.

Proof. Suppose n - k is odd. By Mayer-Vietoris sequence, we have

$$\chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \ge 0) \Big) = \chi_{\ge,\ge} + \chi_{\ge,\le} - \chi_{\ge,=},$$
$$\chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \le 0) \Big) = \chi_{\le,\ge} + \chi_{\le,\le} - \chi_{\le,=}.$$

 So

$$\begin{split} \chi\Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g\geq 0)\Big) + \chi\Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g\leq 0)\Big) &= \chi_{\geq,\geq} + \chi_{\geq,\leq} - \\ \chi_{\geq,=} + \chi_{\leq,\geq} + \chi_{\leq,\leq} - \chi_{\leq,=}. \end{split}$$

Combining with the first equality in Theorem 6.1, we obtain

$$\chi\Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \ge 0)\Big) + \chi\Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \le 0)\Big) - (-1)^k\Big(\text{signature }\Phi_{f^{o(d)}} - \text{signature }\Psi\Big) =$$

 $\chi_{\geq,=}+\chi_{\leq,=}.$

Using Theorem 4.4, we get

$$\chi_{\geq,=} + \chi_{\leq,=} =$$

(-1)^k (signature Φ^{ω} – signature $\Phi_{f^{o(d)}}$ + signature Ψ)

Now if we express $\chi_{\geq,=} - \chi_{\leq,=}$, we obtain the second relation.

71 REVISTA MATEMÁTICA COMPLUTENSE (2001) vol. XIV, num. 1, 45-82

6.1 Example

Let $W_{\mathbf{R}} = \mathbf{R}^2$, let $g = x_1^3 + x_2 + 1$ and let $f = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 4) \times ((x_1 - 2)^2 + x_2^2 - 9)$. Since $|f(x)| \to +\infty$ as $||x|| \to +\infty$, f is proper. Now Lecki's program gives

- dim_{**R**} $A_{\mathbf{R}} = 5$, rank $\Phi_f = 3$ so Φ_f is degenerate, rank $\Phi_g = 5$ so Φ_q is non-degenerate,
- signature $\Phi_{f^5} = -1$, signature $\Phi_{f^6} = 3$, signature $\Phi_{f^5g} = 1$ and signature $\Phi_{f^6g} = 1$,
- dim_{**R**} $B_{\mathbf{R}} = 11$, rank $\Psi_f = 11$, signature $\Psi_f = 3$, signature $\Psi = -1$, signature $\Psi_{\mu} = -1$ and signature $\Psi_{\mu f} = -1$.

When we apply Theorem 6.1, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \chi(g \ge 0, f \ge 0) + \chi(g \ge 0, f \le 0) - 2\chi(g \ge 0, f = 0) + \\ \chi(g \le 0, f \ge 0) + \chi(g \le 0, f \le 0) - 2\chi(g \le 0, f = 0) = -4, \end{split}$$

$$\chi(g \ge 0, f \ge 0) - \chi(g \ge 0, f \le 0) + \chi(g \le 0, f \ge 0) - \chi(g \le 0, f \ge 0) - \chi(g \le 0, f \le 0) = 4,$$

$$\begin{split} \chi(g \ge 0, f \ge 0) + \chi(g \ge 0, f \le 0) - 2\chi(g \ge 0, f = 0) - \\ \chi(g \le 0, f \ge 0) - \chi(g \le 0, f \le 0) + 2\chi(g \le 0, f = 0) = 0, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \chi(g \ge 0, f \ge 0) - \chi(g \ge 0, f \le 0) - \\ \chi(g \le 0, f \ge 0) + \chi(g \le 0, f \le 0) = 0. \end{split}$$

7 The case of surfaces

In this section, we study the case of semi-algebraic sets defined as an intersection of a smooth algebraic surface with two polynomial inequalities. Let $F = (F_1, \ldots, F_{n-2}) : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^{n-2}$ be a polynomial mapping such that $W_{\mathbf{C}} = F_{\mathbf{C}}^{-1}(0)$ is a smooth complex manifold of dimension 2. Let $W_{\mathbf{R}} = F^{-1}(0)$. Let

$$M = \frac{\partial(F_1, \dots, F_{n-2})}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2})}.$$

Let $g_1, g_2 : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ be two polynomials and set $g = g_1 \times g_2$. Let $I \subset \mathbf{R}[x]$ be the ideal generated by F_1, \ldots, F_{n-2} and all $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ minors

$$\frac{\partial(g,F_1,\ldots,F_{n-2})}{\partial(x_{i_1},\ldots,x_{i_{n-1}})}.$$

Let $A_{\mathbf{R}} = \frac{\mathbf{R}[x]}{I}$. Assume that $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} A_{\mathbf{R}} < +\infty$. We will prove at the end of the section that this condition is generic. We put $d = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} A_{\mathbf{R}}$. Let $V_{\mathbf{C}} = \{p \in \mathbf{C}^n / \text{ for all } u \in I \ u(p) = 0\}$. It is a finite set and we can write

$$V_{\mathbf{C}} = \{p_1, \ldots, p_m\} \cup \{p_{m+1}, \overline{p_{m+1}}, \ldots, p_s, \overline{p_s}\}$$

The set of critical points of $g_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}}$ is

$$V_{\mathbf{R}} = V_{\mathbf{C}} \cap \mathbf{R}^n = \{p_1, \ldots, p_m\}.$$

After an appropriate change of coordinates, one may assume that for each $p \in V_{\mathbf{C}}$, $M(p) \neq 0$.

Let $\phi : A_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R}$ be the global residue on $A_{\mathbf{R}}$ and we define the following bilinear symmetric forms :

$$\begin{split} & \Phi_{g^{e(d)}}^{M} : A_{\mathbf{R}} \times A_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R} \quad \text{defined by} \quad \Phi_{g^{(ed)}}^{M}(l_{1}, l_{2}) = \phi(Mg^{e(d)}l_{1}l_{2}), \\ & \Phi_{g^{o(d)}}^{M} : A_{\mathbf{R}} \times A_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R} \quad \text{defined by} \quad \Phi_{g^{o(d)}}^{M}(l_{1}, l_{2}) = \phi(Mg^{o(d)}l_{1}l_{2}), \\ & \Phi_{g_{1}g^{e(d)}}^{M} : A_{\mathbf{R}} \times A_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R} \quad \text{defined by} \quad \Phi_{g_{1}g^{e(d)}}^{M}(l_{1}, l_{2}) = \phi(Mg_{1}g^{e(d)}l_{1}l_{2}), \\ & \Phi_{g_{2}g^{e(d)}}^{M} : A_{\mathbf{R}} \times A_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R} \quad \text{defined by} \quad \Phi_{g_{2}g^{e(d)}}^{M}(l_{1}, l_{2}) = \phi(Mg_{2}g^{e(d)}l_{1}l_{2}), \\ & \Phi_{g_{1}g^{o(d)}}^{M} : A_{\mathbf{R}} \times A_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R} \quad \text{defined by} \quad \Phi_{g_{1}g^{o(d)}}^{M}(l_{1}, l_{2}) = \phi(Mg_{1}g^{o(d)}l_{1}l_{2}), \\ & \Phi_{g_{2}g^{o(d)}}^{M} : A_{\mathbf{R}} \times A_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R} \quad \text{defined by} \quad \Phi_{g_{1}g^{o(d)}}^{M}(l_{1}, l_{2}) = \phi(Mg_{2}g^{o(d)}l_{1}l_{2}), \\ & \Phi_{g_{2}g^{o(d)}}^{M} : A_{\mathbf{R}} \times A_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R} \quad \text{defined by} \quad \Phi_{g_{2}g^{o(d)}}^{M}(l_{1}, l_{2}) = \phi(Mg_{2}g^{o(d)}l_{1}l_{2}), \\ & \Phi_{g_{2}g^{o(d)}}^{M} : A_{\mathbf{R}} \times A_{\mathbf{R}} \to \mathbf{R} \quad \text{defined by} \quad \Phi_{g_{2}g^{o(d)}}^{M}(l_{1}, l_{2}) = \phi(Mg_{2}g^{o(d)}l_{1}l_{2}). \\ & \text{We will denote } \chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g_{1} * 0\} \cap \{g_{2}?0\} \Big) \text{ by } \chi_{*,?} \text{ where } *, ? \in \{\geq, \leq, =\}. \end{split}$$

Theorem 7.1. Assume that

- W_C is a smooth complex manifold of dimension 2 and W_R is not empty,
- $W_{\mathbf{C}} \cap g_1^{-1}(0)$ is a smooth complex manifold of dimension 1 and $W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap g_1^{-1}(0)$ is not empty,

- $W_{\mathbf{C}} \cap g_2^{-1}(0)$ is a smooth complex manifold of dimension 1 and $W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap g_2^{-1}(0)$ is not empty,
- $W_{\mathbf{C}} \cap g_1^{-1}(0)$ and $W_{\mathbf{C}} \cap g_2^{-1}(0)$ intersect transversally,
- $g_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}}$ is proper,

then

1. $W_{\mathbf{R}}$ is a smooth surface,

2.

Proof. 1. is clear.

Consider the set $W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g_1 \geq 0, g_2 \geq 0\}$. The function $g = g_1g_2$ is a carpeting function for this manifold with corners, this means that there is a homotopy equivalence between

$$(W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g_1 \ge 0, g_2 \ge 0\}, W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap (\{g_1 \ge 0, g_2 = 0\} \cup \{g_1 = 0, g_2 \ge 0\})),$$

and

$$\Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \geq \varepsilon) \cap \{g_1 \geq 0, g_2 \geq 0\}, W_{\mathbf{R}}(g = \varepsilon) \cap \{g_1 \geq 0, g_2 \geq 0\}\Big),$$

for $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small. We thus have

$$\chi\Big(W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g_1 \ge 0, g_2 \ge 0\}, W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap (\{g_1 \ge 0, g_2 = 0\} \cup \{g_1 = 0, g_2 \ge 0\})\Big) =$$

ABOUT THE EULER-POINCARÉ CHARACTERISTIC OF ...

$$\chi\Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \ge \varepsilon) \cap \{g_1 \ge 0, g_2 \ge 0\}, W_{\mathbf{R}}(g = \varepsilon) \cap \{g_1 \ge 0, g_2 \ge 0\}\Big) = \sum_{\substack{j/g_1(p_j) > 0\\g_2(p_j) > 0}} \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma(j)} (-1)^{\lambda_{ji}}$$
(1)

where $\{\lambda_{ji}\}\$ is the set of indices of the non-degenerate critical points $\{p_{ji}\}\$ lying near p_j of a Morse approximation \tilde{g} of $g_{|W_{\mathbf{R}}}$. In the same way, we have :

$$\chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g_1 \le 0, g_2 \le 0\}, W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap (\{g_1 \le 0, g_2 = 0\} \cup \{g_1 = 0, g_2 \le 0\}) \Big) = \chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \ge \varepsilon) \cap \{g_1 \le 0, g_2 \le 0\}, W_{\mathbf{R}}(g = \varepsilon) \cap \{g_1 \le 0, g_2 \le 0\} \Big)$$
$$\sum_{\substack{j/g_1(p_j) < 0 \\ g_2(p_j) < 0}} \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma(j)} (-1)^{\lambda_{ji}}$$
(2)

$$\chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g_1 \ge 0, g_2 \le 0\}, W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap (\{g_1 \ge 0, g_2 = 0\} \cup \{g_1 = 0, g_2 \le 0\}) \Big) = \chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \le -\varepsilon) \cap \{g_1 \ge 0, g_2 \le 0\}, W_{\mathbf{R}}(g = -\varepsilon) \cap \{g_1 \ge 0, g_2 \le 0\} \Big)$$
$$\sum_{\substack{j/g_1(p_j) > 0 \\ g_2(p_j) < 0}} \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma(j)} (-1)^{\lambda_{ji}}$$
(3)

$$\chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap \{g_1 \le 0, g_2 \ge 0\}, W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap (\{g_1 \le 0, g_2 = 0\} \cup \{g_1 = 0, g_2 \ge 0\}) \Big) = \chi \Big(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g \le -\varepsilon) \cap \{g_1 \le 0, g_2 \ge 0\}, W_{\mathbf{R}}(g = -\varepsilon) \cap \{g_1 \le 0, g_2 \ge 0\} \Big)$$
$$\sum_{\substack{j/g_1(p_j) < 0 \\ g_2(p_j) > 0}} \sum_{i=1}^{\sigma(j)} (-1)^{\lambda_{ji}}$$
(4)

Now the combinations (1) + (2) + (3) + (4), (1) - (2) + (3) - (4), (1) - (2) - (3) + (4) and (1) + (2) - (3) - (4) give the desired formulas.

Using [Dut1] Theorem 2.6, one can express

$$\chi_{\geq,=} \pm \chi_{\leq,=},$$

and

$$\chi_{=,\geq} \pm \chi_{=,\leq},$$

in terms of signatures of appropriate bilinear symmetric forms. Furthermore, using the generalized Hermite form, one can express

$$\chi_{=,=} = \chi(W_{\mathbf{R}}(g_1 = 0, g_2 = 0)) = \sharp W_{\mathbf{R}} \cap g_1^{-1}(0) \cap g_2^{-1}(0),$$

as a signature on the algebra $\frac{\mathbf{R}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]}{(F_1,\ldots,F_{n-2},g_1,g_2)}$ (see [GRRT], [PSR], [Ro]).

Remark 7.2. Under conditions of theorem 7.1 and some other conditions of finitude and non-degeneracy, one can express the Euler characteristics $\chi_{*,?}$ in terms of signatures of suitable bilinear symmetric forms.

Proof. Use the previous remarks, the previous theorem and the fact that

7.1 Genericity of the finitude condition

In this section, we prove the "genericity" of the condition $\dim_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{R}[x]/I < +\infty$ where I is the ideal generated by F_1, \ldots, F_{n-2} and all minors

$$\frac{\partial(g_1g_2,F_1,\ldots,F_{n-2})}{\partial(x_{i_1},\ldots,x_{i_{n-1}})}.$$

We will need the following version of Sard's lemma (see [BCR], [BR]).

Lemma 7.3. Let $M \subset \mathbf{R}^N$ be a real constructible set and let $M_{\mathbf{C}}$ be its complexification. Assume that $M_{\mathbf{C}}$ is a smooth complex manifold of dimension k. Let $\Pi : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^k$ be a polynomial mapping and let $\Pi_{\mathbf{C}}$ be its complexification. Then for almost all $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}^k$, $\Pi_{\mathbf{C}}^{-1}(\alpha) \cap M_{\mathbf{C}}$ is a finite set of points.

Proof. Let $\Sigma_{\mathbf{C}}$ be the critical set of $\Pi_{\mathbf{C}|M_{\mathbf{C}}}$. Then $\Pi_{\mathbf{C}}(\Sigma_{\mathbf{C}})$ is a constructible set of \mathbf{C}^k of complex dimension at most k-1 and $\mathbf{R}^k \cap \Pi_{\mathbf{C}}(\Sigma_{\mathbf{C}})$ is a real constructible set of dimension at most k-1, so for $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}^k \setminus \Pi_{\mathbf{C}}(\Sigma_{\mathbf{C}})$, α is a regular value of $\Pi_{\mathbf{C}} : M_{\mathbf{C}} \to \mathbf{C}^k$.

In order to prove the genericity of the condition, we first recall that, by Lemma 4.1, a polynomial $g_{|W_{\mathbf{C}}}$ admits a critical point at $p \in W_{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \{M_{\mathbf{C}} = 0\}$ if and only if the minors

$$\frac{\partial(g, F_1, \dots, F_k)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})} \text{ and } \frac{\partial(g, F_1, \dots, F_k)}{\partial(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, x_n)}$$

vanish at p.

Let $g_1, g_2 : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$ be two polynomials. Let $(x_1, \ldots, x_n; t_{n-1}, t_n; u_{n-1}, u_n) = (x; t; u)$ be a coordinate system in \mathbf{R}^{n+4} and let

$$G_1(x,t,u) = g_1 + t_{n-1}x_{n-1} + t_nx_n,$$

$$G_2(x,t,u) = g_2 + u_{n-1}x_{n-1} + u_nx_n.$$

Let us consider the following polynomial map :

which we shall write, for convenience, $H = (F, \frac{\partial(G,F)}{\partial(x',x_{n-1})}, \frac{\partial(G,F)}{\partial(x',x_{n-1})})$. We have

$$H_1(x,t,u) = \frac{\partial(g_1G_2,F)}{\partial(x',x_{n-1})} + t_{n-1}MG_2 + t_{n-1}x_{n-1}\frac{\partial(G_2,F)}{\partial(x',x_{n-1})} + t_nx_n\frac{\partial(G_2,F)}{\partial(x',x_{n-1})},$$

$$H_2(x,t,u) = \frac{\partial(g_1G_2,F)}{\partial(x',x_n)} + t_{n-1}x_{n-1}\frac{\partial(G_2,F)}{\partial(x',x_n)} + t_nMG_2 + t_nx_n\frac{\partial(G_2,F)}{\partial(x',x_n)}.$$

The jacobian matrix Jac(H) has the following form

$$\operatorname{Jac}(H) = \begin{pmatrix} M & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & MG_2 + x_{n-1} \frac{\partial(G_2, F)}{\partial(x', x_{n-1})} & x_n \frac{\partial(G_2, F)}{\partial(x', x_{n-1})} & * & * \\ * & x_{n-1} \frac{\partial(G_2, F)}{\partial(x', x_n)} & MG_2 + x_n \frac{\partial(G_2, F)}{\partial(x', x_n)} & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence $Y = H^{-1}(0) \setminus \{MG_2(MG_2 + x_{n-1}\frac{\partial(G_2,F)}{\partial(x',x_{n-1})} + x_n\frac{\partial(G_2,F)}{\partial(x',x_n)}) = 0\}$ is a smooth manifold of dimension 4. Let

$$\Pi : \mathbf{R}^{n+4} \to \mathbf{R}^4$$
$$(x;t;u) \mapsto (t;u).$$

Using the above lemma, we can choose $(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n, \beta_{n-1}, \beta_n) \in \mathbf{R}^4$ close to (0, 0, 0, 0) such that $\Pi_{\mathbf{C}}^{-1}((\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n, \beta_{n-1}, \beta_n)) \cap Y_{\mathbf{C}}$ is finite. Call $\tilde{g}_1 = g_1 + \alpha_{n-1}x_{n-1} + \alpha_n x_n$ and $\tilde{g}_2 = g_2 + \beta_{n-1}x_{n-1} + \beta_n x_n$. We have shown that outside the algebraic set $A = \{M\tilde{g}_2(M\tilde{g}_2 + x_{n-1}\frac{\partial(\tilde{g}_2,F)}{\partial(x',x_{n-1})} + x_n\frac{\partial(\tilde{g}_2,F)}{\partial(x',x_n)}) = 0\}$, the system $F = 0, H_1 = 0, H_2 = 0$ has a finite number of solutions. Since outside $A, M_{\mathbf{C}} \neq 0$, this means that, by the above remark, $\tilde{g}_1 \times \tilde{g}_2$ has a finite number of critical points on $W_{\mathbf{C}}$ outside the set $\{M\tilde{g}_2(M\tilde{g}_2 + x_{n-1}\frac{\partial(\tilde{g}_2,F)}{\partial(x',x_{n-1})} + x_n\frac{\partial(\tilde{g}_2,F)}{\partial(x',x_n)}) = 0\}$. The jacobian matrix Jac (H) may also be written

$$\operatorname{Jac} (H) = \begin{pmatrix} M & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & MG_1 + x_{n-1} \frac{\partial(G_1, F)}{\partial(x', x_{n-1})} & x_n \frac{\partial(G_1, F)}{\partial(x', x_{n-1})} \\ * & * & * & x_{n-1} \frac{\partial(G_1, F)}{\partial(x', x_n)} & MG_1 + x_n \frac{\partial(G_1, F)}{\partial(x', x_n)} \end{pmatrix},$$

and so, $T = H^{-1}(0) \setminus \{MG_1(MG_1 + x_{n-1}\frac{\partial(G_1,F)}{\partial(x',x_{n-1})} + x_n\frac{\partial(G_1,F)}{\partial(x',x_n)}) = 0\}$ is also a smooth manifold of dimension 4. Repeating the above argument, we can choose $(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n, \beta_{n-1}, \beta_n) \in \mathbf{R}^4$ such that $\tilde{g}_1 \times \tilde{g}_2$ has a finite number of critical points on $W_{\mathbf{C}}$ outside the set $\{M\tilde{g}_1(M\tilde{g}_1 + x_{n-1}\frac{\partial(\tilde{g}_1,F)}{\partial(x',x_{n-1})} + x_n\frac{\partial(\tilde{g}_1,F)}{\partial(x',x_n)}) = 0\}.$

a finite function of critical points on the end of the

$$\begin{array}{rcccc} T & : & \mathbf{R}^{n+4} & \to & \mathbf{R}^n \\ & & (x,t,u) & \mapsto & (F,G_1,G_2) \end{array}$$

Its jacobian matrix Jac(T) has the following form

Jac
$$(T) = \begin{pmatrix} M & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & x_{n-1} & x_n & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 & x_{n-1} & x_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$Z = T^{-1}(0) \setminus \{\{M = 0\} \cup \{x_{n-1} = 0, x_n = 0\}\}\$$

is a analytic manifold of dimension 4 and, as we did previously, for almost all $(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n, \beta_{n-1}, \beta_n) \in \mathbf{R}^4$, $\{F = 0\} \cap \{\tilde{g_1} = 0\} \cap \{\tilde{g_2} = 0\}$ is a finite set outside $\{M = 0\} \cup \{x_{n-1} = 0, x_n = 0\}$. Let $U : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$ be defined by $U = (F, x_{n-1}, x_n)$. The jacobian of U is exactly M so if $p \in U^{-1}(0) \cap \{M \neq 0\}$, p is a simple zero of U so is isolated. This implies that $\{F = 0\} \cap \{M \neq 0\} \cap \{x_{n-1} = x_n = 0\}$ is finite and so, $\{F = \tilde{g_1} = \tilde{g_2} = 0\} \cap \{M \neq 0\}$ is also finite.

Now we check that $\{F = 0\} \cap \{\tilde{g}_1 = 0\} \cap \{M\tilde{g}_2 + x_{n-1}\frac{\partial(\tilde{g}_2, F)}{\partial(x', x_{n-1})} + x_n\frac{\partial(\tilde{g}_2, F)}{\partial(x', x_n)} = 0\}$ is a finite set outside $\{M = 0\}$ for almost all $(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n, \beta_{n-1}, \beta_n) \in \mathbf{R}^4$. Let

$$T' : \mathbf{R}^{n+4} \to \mathbf{R}^{n} \\ (x,t,u) \mapsto (F,G_1,MG_2 + x_{n-1}\frac{\partial(G_2,F)}{\partial(x',x_{n-1})} + x_n\frac{\partial(G_2,F)}{\partial(x',x_n)}) ,$$

and let Jac (T') be its jacobian matrix. We have

$$\operatorname{Jac} (T') = \begin{pmatrix} M & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & x_{n-1} & x_n & 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 & 0 & 2Mx_{n-1} & 2Mx_n \end{pmatrix}$$

We can conclude in an obvious way. Similarly we can prove that $\{F = 0\} \cap \{\tilde{g}_2 = 0\} \cap \{M\tilde{g}_1 + x_{n-1}\frac{\partial(\tilde{g}_1,F)}{\partial(x',x_{n-1})} + x_n\frac{\partial(\tilde{g}_1,F)}{\partial(x',x_n)} = 0\}$ and $\{F = 0\} \cap \{M\tilde{g}_1 + x_{n-1}\frac{\partial(\tilde{g}_1,F)}{\partial(x',x_{n-1})} + x_n\frac{\partial(\tilde{g}_1,F)}{\partial(x',x_n)} = 0\} \cap \{M\tilde{g}_2 + x_{n-1}\frac{\partial(\tilde{g}_2,F)}{\partial(x',x_{n-1})} + x_n\frac{\partial(\tilde{g}_2,F)}{\partial(x',x_n)} = 0\}$ are finite sets outside $\{M_{\mathbf{C}} = 0\}$. Thus we have shown that for almost all $(\alpha_{n-1},\alpha_n,\beta_{n-1},\beta_n)$, $\tilde{g}_1\tilde{g}_{2|W_{\mathbf{C}}}$ admits a finite set of critical points outside $\{M_{\mathbf{C}} = 0\}$.

It remains to prove the "genericity" for the entire manifold $W_{\mathbf{C}}$. We still have two polynomials $g_1, g_2 : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$. For each pair of (n-2)-tuples $\alpha' = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-2})$ and $\beta' = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n-2})$, let us consider the two polynomials

$$g_{1,(\alpha',0,0)} = g_1 + \alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_{n-2} x_{n-2},$$

$$g_{2,(\beta',0,0)} = g_2 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_{n-2} x_{n-2}.$$

The previous study implies that for almost all $(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_n, \beta_{n-1}, \beta_n) \in \mathbf{R}^4$ the function $g_{1,(\alpha',\alpha_{n-1},\alpha_n)} \times g_{2,(\beta',\beta_{n-1},\beta_n)}$ admits a finite number of critical points in $W_{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \{M_{\mathbf{C}} = 0\}$ where

$$g_{1,(\alpha',\alpha_{n-1},\alpha_n)} = g_{1,(\alpha',0,0)} + \alpha_{n-1}x_{n-1} + \alpha_n x_n,$$

$$g_{2,(\beta',\beta_{n-1},\beta_n)} = g_{2,(\beta',0,0)} + \beta_{n-1}x_{n-1} + \beta_n x_n$$

Now let $S_{n-1,n}$ be the set of points $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$ such that $g_{1,\alpha} \times g_{2,\beta|W_{\mathbf{C}}}$ does not admit a finite number of critical points in $W_{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \{M_{\mathbf{C}} = 0\}$. We have shown that each "horizontal slice" $S_{n-1,n} \cap \{\alpha'\} \times \mathbf{R}^2 \times \{\beta'\} \times \mathbf{R}^2$ has measure zero. By Fubini's theorem, $S_{n-1,n}$ has measure zero in $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$. Now $W_{\mathbf{C}}$ can be covered by all open sets

$$U_{i_1,\ldots,i_{n-2}} = W_{\mathbf{C}} \setminus \left\{ \frac{\partial(F_1,\ldots,F_{n-2})}{\partial(x_{i_1},\ldots,x_{i_{n-2}})} = 0 \right\}.$$

Since these open sets are in a finite number, by the above study, for almost all $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$, $g_{1,\alpha} \times g_{2,\beta|W_{\mathbf{C}}}$ admits a finite number of critical points in each $U_{i_1,\ldots,i_{n-2}}$, which implies that for almost all $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$, $g_{1,\alpha} \times g_{2,\beta|W_{\mathbf{C}}}$ has a finite number of critical points. This is equivalent to the finitude of the algebra

$$\frac{\mathbf{R}[x]}{(F_1,\ldots,F_{n-2},\frac{\partial(\tilde{g}_1\tilde{g}_2,F_1,\ldots,F_{n-2})}{\partial(x_{i_1},\ldots,x_{i_{n-1}})})}$$

where $\tilde{g}_1 = g_{1,\alpha}$ and $\tilde{g}_2 = g_{2,\beta}$.

References

- [AVG] ARNOLD, V.I., VARCHENKO, A.N., GUSEIN-ZADE, S.M. : Singularities of differentiable maps, (vol.1) *Birkhauser* (1988).
- [BCRS] BECKER, E., CARDINAL, J.P., ROY, M.F., SZAFRANIEC, S.: Multivariate Bezoutians, Kronecker symbol and Eisenbud & Levine formula, Algorithms in Algebraic Geometry and Applications Progress in Mathematics 143, 79-104 Birkhauser (1996).
- [BR] BENEDETTI, R., RISLER, J.J. : Real algebraic and semi-algebraic sets, Hermann (1990).
- [BCR] BOCHNAK, J., COSTE, M., ROY, M.F. : Géométrie algébrique réelle, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik* **12**, Springer-Verlag (1987).
- [Ca] CARDINAL, J.P. : Dualité et algorithmes itératifs pour le résolution des systèmes polynomiaux, *Thèse, Université de Rennes 1* (1993).
- [Dut1] DUTERTRE, N. : An algebraic formula for the Euler characteristic of some semi-algebraic sets, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 139, 41-60 (1999).

- [Dut2] DUTERTRE, N. : Sur la fibre d'un polynôme de \mathbb{R}^n à points critiques isolés, *Manuscripta Mathematica* **100** 437-454 (1999).
- [Dut3] DUTERTRE, N. : About the Milnor fiber of a real map-germ, Prépublication de l'Institut de Recherche Mathématique de Rennes **99-04** (1999).
- [Ei] EISENBUD, D. : An algebraic approach to the topological degree of a smooth map, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 84, 751-764 (1978).
- [EL] EISENBUD, D., LEVINE, H.I. : An algebraic formula for the degree of a C^{∞} map-germ, Annals of Mathematics **106**, 19-44 (1977).
- [GRRT] GONZALEZ-VEGA, L., ROUILLIER, F., ROY, M.F., TRUJILLO, G. : Symbolic Recipes for Polynomials System Solving in Some Tapas of Computer Algebra, Springer-Verlag (ed. Cohen, Cuypers and Sterk) (1999).
- [HL] HAMM, H., LE DUNG TRANG : Un théorème de Zariski du type de Lefschetz, Ann. Sci. Ecol. Norm. Sup. (3) 6, 317-355 (1973).
- [Ku] KUNZ, E. : Kahler Differentials, *Advanced Lectures in Mathematics*, Braunschweig, Wuesbaden : Vieweg (1986).
- [Mi] MILNOR, J. : Morse theory, Ann. Math. Stud. 51, Princeton University Press (1963).
- [PRS] PEDERSEN, P., ROY M.F., SZPIRGLAS A. : Counting real zeroes in the multivariate case, *Computational algebraic geometry*, Eyssette et Galligo, eds. Prog. Math. **109**, 203-224, Birkhäuser, Boston 1993.
- [Ro] ROY, M.F. : Basic algorithms in real algebraic geometry and their complexity: from Sturm's theorem to the existential theory of reals, *Lectures in Real Geometry*, Berlin : de Gruyter, De Gruyter Expo. Math. 23 1-67 (1996).
- [SS] SCHEJA, G., STORCH, U.: Uber Spurfinktionen bei vollstandingen Durschnitten, Journal reine angew Math 278/279, 174-190 (1975).
- [Sz1] SZAFRANIEC, Z. : The Euler characteristic of algebraic complete intersections, *Jour. reine angew Math.* **397**, 194-201 (1989).
- [Sz2] SZAFRANIEC, Z. : A formula for the Euler characteristic of a real algebraic manifold, manuscripta mathematica **85**, 345-360 (1994).
- [Sz3] SZAFRANIEC, Z. : Topological degree and quadratic forms, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 141, 299-314 (1999).

ABOUT THE EULER-POINCARÉ CHARACTERISTIC OF ...

IMR, Université de Rennes 1 Campus de Beaulieu 35042 Rennes (FRANCE) *E-mail:* dutertre@maths.univ-rennes1.fr

Recibido: 20 de Febrero de 2000 Revisado: 24 de Octubre de 2000