# AN EXTENSION OF SIMONS' INEQUALITY AND APPLICATIONS\*

### Robert DEVILLE and Catherine FINET

#### Abstract

This article is devoted to an extension of Simons' inequality. As a consequence, having a pointwise converging sequence of functions, we get criteria of uniform convergence of an associated sequence of functions.

# I Introduction

Simons' inequality is a useful tool in Banach space geometry. Simons has observed in [S1] that this inequality allows to prove that if  $(f_n)$  is a uniformly bounded sequence of real valued continuous functions on a compact space which converges pointwise to a continuous function g, then there is a sequence of convex combinations of the  $f_n$ 's that converges uniformly to g. Later, Godefroy ([G]) found other applications of this inequality (see also [FG] and [GZ]). And more recently, Acosta and Galán ([AG]) improved James theorem in the case of smooth Banach spaces. Our main result is the following extension of Simons' inequality [S1]. We believe that this extension may have applications in non linear analysis.

Acknowledgement. The authors thank the referee for the remarks he made on this article.

<sup>\*</sup>The research presented in this paper was supported by a FNRS grant and La Banque Nationale de Belgique. The paper was written when the first author visited the Department of Mathematics of the University of Mons-Hainaut (Belgium).

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 46B20. Servicio de Publicaciones. Universidad Complutense. Madrid, 2001

<sup>95</sup> 

# II. Main result

**Theorem 1.** Let B be a set and C be a non empty subset of a linear normed space that is stable with respect to taking infinite convex combinations. Let  $f : C \times B \to \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded function such that the mappings  $x \to f(x, b)$  are convex and Lipschitz continuous, with a Lipschitz constant independent of b. Let us also assume that

$$(\star) \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for every } x \in C \text{ there is a } b \in B \text{ such that} \\ f(x,b) = \sup_{\beta \in B} f(x,\beta) \end{array} \right.$$

Then if  $(x_n)_n$  is a sequence in C, we have

$$\inf_{x \in C} \sup_{\beta \in B} f(x, \beta) \le \sup_{\beta \in B} \limsup_{n} f(x_n, \beta).$$

In particular, if we take as C a certain subset of  $\ell^{\infty}(B)$ , the Banach space of all bounded real functions on B, we get the "classical" Simons' inequality.

**Corollary.** (Simons' inequality). Let B be a set and C be a non empty bounded subset of  $\ell^{\infty}(B)$  that is stable with respect to taking infinite convex combinations. Let us assume that for every  $x \in C$ , there is a  $b \in B$  such that

$$x(b) = \sup_{\beta \in B} x(\beta)$$

Then if  $(x_n)$  is a sequence in C, we have

$$\inf_{x \in C} \sup_{\beta \in B} x(\beta) \le \sup_{\beta \in B} \limsup_{n} x_n(\beta)$$

Let us now discuss the assumption "C is stable by taking infinite convex combinations". This assumption is clearly satisfied if C is a closed convex subset of a Banach space. On the other hand, it is always satisfied by bounded convex subsets of a finite dimensional vector space V. This can be proved by induction. Indeed, this is clear if the dimension of the space is equal to 1. We can assume that  $0 \in C$ . C satisfies one of the following conditions: either C is contained in a linear proper subspace of V or C has non empty interior. In the first case, the statement follows

from our assumption. If C has non empty interior, let us assume that the result holds for vector spaces of dimension  $\leq n$ . Let C be a bounded convex subset of a vector space V of dimension n + 1. Let us assume that there exist points  $x_n$  in C and scalars  $\lambda_n > 0$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \lambda_n = 1$ 

and  $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \lambda_n x_n \notin C$ . By Hahn-Banach Theorem, there exists  $\varphi \in V^*$  such that

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\lambda_n x_n\right) = 1$$
 and  $\varphi(x) \le 1$  for  $x \in C$ 

There exists  $n_0$  such that  $\varphi(x_{n_0}) < 1$ . Indeed, otherwise the induction hypothesis would not be satisfied for the convex  $C \cap \{\varphi = 1\}$ . But

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\lambda_n x_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\lambda_n\varphi(x_n) < 1$$

and this gives us a contradiction.

Looking at the extension of Simons' inequality we got, it is natural to recall a Min-Max Theorem (see [A], see also [S2]) and to compare both results. Recall that if C is a convex subset of a vector space V, the finite topology on C is the strongest topology for which, for each n and for each n-uple  $K = (y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)$  of elements in C, the mappings  $f_K : C_n^+ \to C$  defined by  $f_K(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i y_i$  are continuous, where  $C_n^+$  is the set of all  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  for all i and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ .

**Min-max theorem.** Let B be a compact space and let C be a convex subset of a vector space V, supplied with the finite topology. Assume that

- i) for all  $x \in C$ ,  $b \to f(x, b)$  is upper semicontinuous on B,
- *ii)* for all  $b \in B$ ,  $x \to f(x, b)$  is convex.

Then there exists  $b_0 \in B$  such that

$$\inf_{x \in C} f(x, b_0) = \sup_{b \in B} \inf_{x \in C} f(x, b) = \sup_{c \in C(C, B)} \inf_{x \in C} f(x, c(x)).$$

ROBERT DEVILLE AND CATHERINE FINET

where  $\mathcal{C}(C, B)$  denotes the space of continuous functions from C to B.

The authors conjecture that this Min-Max Theorem should be deduced from Theorem 1.

**Proof of theorem 1.** Let us consider, for x in C,  $\sigma(x) = \sup_{b \in B} f(x, b)$  and let us put,

$$m = \inf \{ \sigma(x), x \in C \}$$
  
$$M = \sup \{ \sigma(x), x \in C \}.$$

Since f is bounded on  $C \times B$ , we have  $-\infty < m \le M < \infty$ . Let  $(x_n)_n$  be a sequence in C and put

$$C_p = \operatorname{conv} \left\{ x_n, n \ge p \right\}.$$

We can assume m > 0. Let  $0 < \delta < m$ . Let  $(a_p)$  be a sequence such that  $0 < a_p \leq 1$ ,  $\sum_{p \geq 1} a_p = 1$  and  $\sum_{p > n} a_p \leq \frac{\delta}{M} a_n$ , and let  $(\epsilon_n)$  be a sequence such that

$$0 < \epsilon_n \le \frac{a_{n+1}(a_n + a_{n+1})}{2A_{n+1}}\delta.$$

where  $A_n = \sum_{1 \le p \le n} a_p$ . Let  $y_1 \in C_1$  be such that  $\sigma(y_1) \le \inf_{y \in C_1} \sigma(y) + \epsilon_1$ .

If  $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_{n-1}$  have been chosen, we write  $z_{n-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_k y_k$  and take  $y_n$  in  $C_n$  such that

$$\sigma\left(\frac{z_n}{A_n}\right) \le \inf_{y \in C_n} \sigma\left(\frac{z_{n-1} + a_n y}{A_n}\right) + \epsilon_n$$

Now, put  $z = \sum_{p \ge 1} a_p y_p$ . Clearly,  $z \in C$ , so, by assumption, there exists b in B such that,  $f(z, b) = \sigma(z)$ . Since

$$z = A_{n-1} \frac{z_{n-1}}{A_{n-1}} + a_n y_n + \left(\sum_{p>n} a_p\right) \frac{\sum_{p>n} a_p y_p}{\sum_{p>n} a_p},$$

/ \_\_\_\_

by convexity of f with respect to the first variable, we get :

$$f(z,b) \leq A_{n-1}f\left(\frac{z_{n-1}}{A_{n-1}},b\right) + a_nf(y_n,b) + \left(\sum_{p>n}a_p\right)f\left(\frac{\sum\limits_{p>n}a_py_p}{\sum\limits_{p>n}a_p},b\right).$$

Therefore,

$$a_n f(y_n, b) \ge \sigma(z) - A_{n-1}\sigma\left(\frac{z_{n-1}}{A_{n-1}}\right) - \sum_{p>n} a_p M$$

Hence, by the choice of  $(a_n)$ ,

(1) 
$$a_n f(y_n, b) \ge \sigma(z) - A_{n-1}\sigma\left(\frac{z_{n-1}}{A_{n-1}}\right) - \delta a_n.$$

Since f is Lipschitz continuous with respect to the first variable, with Lipschitz constant independent of the second variable,  $\sigma$  is Lipschitz continuous. Therefore, since  $\lim_{n} A_n = 1$ , then  $\lim_{p} \sigma\left(\frac{z_p}{A_p}\right) - \sigma(z_p) = 0$ , and so  $\sigma(z) = \lim_{p} A_p \sigma\left(\frac{z_p}{A_p}\right)$ , and

$$\sigma(z) - A_{n-1}\sigma\left(\frac{z_{n-1}}{A_{n-1}}\right) = \sum_{p \ge n} \left[A_p\sigma\left(\frac{z_p}{A_p}\right) - A_{p-1}\sigma\left(\frac{z_{p-1}}{A_{p-1}}\right)\right]$$
$$\geq \sum_{p \ge n} \left(\left[A_{p-1}\left(\sigma\left(\frac{z_p}{A_p}\right) - \sigma\left(\frac{z_{p-1}}{A_{p-1}}\right)\right)\right] + a_pm\right).$$

Let us put  $\Delta_p = \sigma\left(\frac{z_p}{A_p}\right) - \sigma\left(\frac{z_{p-1}}{A_{p-1}}\right)$ . The following lemma will lead us to a good estimate of  $\sum_{p\geq n} A_{p-1}\Delta_p$ . We will give the proof of this lemma after the end of the proof of the theorem.

**Lemma.** We have, for every  $n \ge 2$ ,  $\Delta_n \ge -a_n \delta$ .

It follows from the lemma that

$$\sum_{p \ge n} A_{p-1} \Delta_p \ge -\delta \sum_{p \ge n} A_{p-1} a_p \ge -\delta \sum_{p \ge n} a_p$$

Therefore,

$$\sigma(z) - A_{n-1}\sigma\left(\frac{z_{n-1}}{A_{n-1}}\right) \ge \sum_{p \ge n} a_p(m-\delta) \ge a_n(m-\delta).$$

This estimate and (1) yield

$$f(y_n, b) \ge m - 2\delta.$$

As  $y_n \in C_n$ , by convexity of f in the first variable, for each n there exists  $k(n) \geq n$  such that  $f(x_{k(n)}, b) \geq m - 2\delta$ . So,  $\sup_{b} \limsup_{b} f(x_n, b) \geq m - 2\delta$ , for all  $\delta > 0$ . Thus the theorem is proved.

We now give the proof of the lemma:

**Proof of the lemma.** We first claim that :  $\Delta_2 \ge -\epsilon_1$  and for n > 2,  $\Delta_{n+1} \ge \gamma_n \Delta_n - 2\epsilon_n$ , where  $\gamma_n = \frac{a_n+1}{a_n} \frac{A_{n-1}}{A_{n+1}}$ .

Indeed,  $\Delta_2 = \sigma\left(\frac{z_2}{A_2}\right) - \sigma\left(\frac{z_1}{A_1}\right)$ . As  $\frac{z_2}{A_2} \in C_1$ ,  $\frac{z_1}{A_1} = y_1$ , by definition of  $y_1, \Delta_2 \ge -\epsilon_1$ . Let  $r_n = \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n}$  and  $y = \frac{y_n + r_n y_{n+1}}{1 + r_n}$ . Since  $y \in C_n$ , by the choice of  $z_n$ it holds

$$\sigma\left(\frac{z_n}{A_n}\right) \le \sigma\left(\frac{z_{n+1}+r_nz_{n-1}}{A_n\left(1+r_n\right)}\right) + \epsilon_n.$$

We have  $A_n (1 + r_n) = A_{n+1} + A_{n-1}r_n$ , so

$$\sigma\left(\frac{z_n}{A_n}\right) \le \sigma\left(\frac{A_{n+1}\frac{z_{n+1}}{A_{n+1}} + r_nA_{n-1}\frac{z_{n-1}}{A_{n-1}}}{A_{n+1} + r_nA_{n-1}}\right) + \epsilon_n.$$

And, by convexity,

$$(A_{n+1}+r_nA_{n-1})\sigma\left(\frac{z_n}{A_n}\right) \leq A_{n+1}\sigma\left(\frac{z_{n+1}}{A_{n+1}}\right) + r_nA_{n-1}\sigma\left(\frac{z_{n-1}}{A_{n-1}}\right) + (A_{n+1}+r_nA_{n-1})\epsilon_n.$$

This inequality can be rewritten as follows :

$$A_{n+1}\left[\sigma\left(\frac{z_{n+1}}{A_{n+1}}\right) - \sigma\left(\frac{z_n}{A_n}\right)\right] \geq r_n A_{n-1}\left[\sigma\left(\frac{z_n}{A_n}\right) - \sigma\left(\frac{z_{n-1}}{A_{n-1}}\right)\right] - (A_{n+1} + r_n A_{n-1})\epsilon_n$$

ROBERT DEVILLE AND CATHERINE FINET

finally we get that

$$\Delta_{n+1} \ge r_n \frac{A_{n-1}}{A_{n+1}} \Delta_n - \left(1 + r_n \frac{A_{n-1}}{A_{n+1}}\right) \epsilon_n \ge \gamma_n \Delta_n - 2\epsilon_n$$

this proves the claim.

The lemma then follows easily by induction from the claim and from the choice of the sequence  $(\epsilon_n)$ .

**III** Applications

In this section, we present some applications of Theorem 1 which cannot be deduced from Simons' inequality. Recall that the convex hull of a sequence  $(x_n)$  is the set of finite combinations  $\sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n x_n$  with  $\lambda_n \ge 0$  for

all n and  $\sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_n = 1$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let B be a set, X be a Banach space, C be a closed convex subset of X and  $f: C \times B \to \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded function such that the mappings  $x \to f(x, b)$  are convex and Lipschitz continuous, with a Lipschitz constant independent of b. Let us assume that for every  $x \in C$ there exists a  $b \in B$  such that

$$f(x,b) = \sup_{\beta \in B} f(x,\beta)$$

If  $(x_n)$  is a sequence in C such that for every  $\beta \in B$ ,  $f(x_n, \beta) \ge 0$  and  $\lim_n f(x_n, \beta) = 0$ , then, for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists x in the convex hull of the sequence  $(x_n)$  such that

$$\sup_{\beta \in B} f(x,\beta) \le \epsilon$$

101 REVISTA MATEMÁTICA COMPLUTENSE (2001) vol. XIV, num. 1, 95-104

Of course, when f takes values in the positive real numbers, if for every  $\beta \in B$ ,  $f(x_n, \beta)$  converges pointwise to 0, the conclusion of Theorem 2 is that there exists a sequence  $(y_n)$  of convex combinations of  $(x_n)$  such that  $f(y_n, \beta)$  converges to 0 uniformly with respect to  $\beta$ .

**Proof.** Indeed, we have  $\sup_{\beta \in B} \limsup_{n} f(x_n, \beta) = 0$ . Let us denote  $\tilde{C}$  the closed convex hull of the sequence  $(x_n)$ . Theorem 1 shows that

$$\inf_{x\in \tilde{C}}\sup_{\beta\in B}f(x,\beta)\leq 0$$

Since the convex hull of the sequence  $(x_n)$  is dense in  $\hat{C}$ , the above inequality and the Lipschitz continuity of f with respect to the first variable imply Theorem 2.

If we take  $B = \mathbb{N}$  in the above theorem, we get the following result.

**Corollary.** Let C be a closed convex subset of a Banach space X and  $(f_n)$  be a sequence of convex continuous functions from C to  $\mathbb{R}$ , which is uniformly bounded and uniformly Lipschitz on C. Let us assume that for every  $x \in C$  there exists a  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$f_{n_0}(x) = \sup_n f_n(x)$$

If  $(x_n)$  is a sequence in C such that for every  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_p(x_n) \ge 0$  and  $\lim_n f_p(x_n) = 0$ ; then, for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists x in the convex hull of the sequence  $(x_n)$  such that

$$\sup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} f_p(x) \le \epsilon$$

**Remark.** The hypothesis of the convexity of  $(f_n)$  cannot be dropped. Indeed, consider  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f_n(x) = \inf \{(x+n)^+, 1\}$  and a sequence  $(x_n)$  tending to  $-\infty$ . On the other hand, if you take  $f_n(x) = (x+n)^+$ , you see that the hypothesis of the uniform boundedness of the sequence  $(f_n)$  also cannot be dropped.

Let us recall the following result (see [S1, Corollary 10]). Let K be a compact space and  $(f_n)_n$  be a uniformly bounded sequence of continuous functions on K. If the sequence  $(f_n)$  converges pointwise to zero on K then it converges weakly to zero.

ROBERT DEVILLE AND CATHERINE FINET

We now give a vector-valued extension of this result.

**Proposition.** Let K be a compact space, X be a Banach space and  $(f_n)_n$  be a uniformly bounded sequence of continuous functions from K to X. If the sequence  $(f_n)$  converges pointwise to 0 on K then there exists a sequence of linear convex combinations of  $(f_n)$  which is uniformly convergent on K.

**Proof.** Let us denote C the closed convex hull of the functions  $f_n$  in the Banach space C(K, X) of continuous functions from K into X. The function  $F : C \times K \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $F(f, x) := \|f(x)\|_X$  is bounded, convex and continuous with respect to the first variable, and, for every  $f \in C$ , there exists  $x \in K$  such that  $\|f(x)\|_X = \sup_{y \in K} \|f(y)\|_X$ . By assumption,  $\sup_{x \in K} \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{x \in K} \|f_n(x)\|_X = 0$ . According to Theorem 1,

$$\inf_{f \in C} \sup_{x \in K} \|f(x)\|_X \le 0$$

This proves the proposition.

Let us mention that, by a remark of the referee, this result is also a consequence of Simon's inequality. The subset  $K \times B_{X^*}$  is a boundary of C(K, X). If  $(f_n)$  converges pointwise to zero on K, it converges pointwise on the boundary and so, it converges weakly to zero.

#### References

- [AG] M. D. Acosta and M. Ruiz Galán, New characterizations of the reflexivity in terms of the set of norm attaining functionals. Canad. Math. Bull. (41) 1998, 279-289.
- [A] J.P. Aubin, Mathematical methods of game and economic theory. Studies in Mathematics and its applications. Vol. 7. North Holland, 1979.
- [DGZ] R. Deville, G. Godefroy and V. Zizler, Smoothness and renormings in Banach spaces. Pitman monographs and Surveys in Pure and Appl. Math.. Longman Scientific & Technical, 1993.
- [FG] M. Fabian and G. Godefroy, The dual of every Asplund space admits a projective resolution of identity. Studia Math. (91) 1988, 141-151.

- [G] G. Godefroy, Boundaries of a convex set and interpolation sets. Math. Ann. (277) 1987, 173-184.
- [GZ] G. Godefroy and V. Zizler, Roughness properties of norms on non-Asplund spaces. Michigan Math. J. (38) 1991, 461-466.
- [J] R.C. James, Weakly compact sets. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (113) 1964, 129-140.
- [O] E. Oja, A proof of Simons' inequality, preprint.
- [S1] S. Simons, A convergence theorem with boundary. Pacific J. Math. (40), 1972, 703-708.
- [S2] S. Simons, Minimax and monotonicity. Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 1693, Springer Verlag, 1998.

Robert Deville Université de Bordeaux I Mathématiques Pures de Bordeaux Cours de la Libération, 351, F 33405 Talence Cedex (France) *E-mail:* deville@math.u-bordeaux.fr

Catherine Finet Université de Mons-Hainaut Institut de Mathématique et d'Informatique "Le Pentagone" Avenue du Champ de Mars, 6 B 7000 Mons (Belgique) *E-mail:* catherine.finet@umh.ac.be

Recibido: 17 de Noviembre de 1999 Revisado: 3 de Julio de 2000