

# The Range of a Contractive Projection in $L_p(H)$

Yves RAYNAUD

Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu (CNRS),  
Projet Analyse Fonctionnelle (Case 186),  
Université Paris-VI,  
4, place Jussieu,  
75252 Paris Cedex 05, France.  
yr@ccr.jussieu.fr

Recibido: 21 de Noviembre de 2003  
Aceptado: 10 de Mayo de 2004

## ABSTRACT

We show that the range of a contractive projection on a Lebesgue-Bochner space of Hilbert valued functions  $L_p(H)$  is isometric to a  $\ell_p$ -direct sum of Hilbert-valued  $L_p$ -spaces. We explicit the structure of contractive projections. As a consequence for every  $1 < p < \infty$  the class  $\mathcal{C}_p$  of  $\ell_p$ -direct sums of Hilbert-valued  $L_p$ -spaces is axiomatizable (in the class of all Banach spaces).

*Key words:* Contractive projections, Vector-valued  $L_p$ -spaces.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary: 46B04, 46E40. Secondary: 46M07.

## Introduction

It was a remarkable achievement in the isometric theory of Banach spaces of the years 1960's to characterize the contractive linear projections of Lebesgue  $L_p$  spaces ( $p \neq 2$ ). In the case of  $L_p$  spaces of a probability space it was done by Douglas [4] in the case  $p = 1$  and Andô [1] in the case  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $p \neq 2$ . They showed that the range of such a contractive projection is itself isometric to a  $L_p$  space (for the same  $p$ , but a different measure space); if moreover the projection is positive then its range is a sublattice of the initial  $L_p$  space and is lattice isomorphically isometric to a  $L_p$  space. This was extended to the non-sigma-finite measure space setting by Tzafriri ([17]). In the case of a probability space, the structure of contractive projections is

elucidated by Douglas-Andô works: a general contractive projection  $P$  on  $L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  has the form

$$P = M_\varepsilon \widehat{P} M_\varepsilon^{-1} + V \quad (1)$$

where  $M_\varepsilon$  is the multiplication operator by a function  $\varepsilon$  with  $|\varepsilon| = \mathbf{1}$ ,  $\widehat{P}$  is a positive contractive projection, and  $V = 0$  if  $p > 1$ , while if  $p = 1$ , then  $V$  is a contraction from  $L_1$  into the range  $R(P)$  of  $P$  which vanishes on the band generated by  $R(P)$ . Moreover  $\widehat{P}$  is a weighted conditional expectation, i.e. there exist a sub-sigma algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ , an element  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and a nonnegative function  $w \in L^p$  such that  $\mathbb{E}(w^p \mid \mathcal{B}) = \mathbf{1}$  and

$$\widehat{P}f = w\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_B f \cdot w^{p-1} \mid \mathcal{B})$$

for every  $f \in L_p$  (in particular if  $P\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$  then  $P$  is a conditional expectation). This last formula can also be written

$$\widehat{P}f = w\mathbb{E}_\nu(\mathbf{1}_B f w^{-1} \mid \mathcal{B})$$

where  $\mathbb{E}_\nu$  is the conditional expectation relative to the measure  $\nu = w^p \cdot \mu$ . If we denote by  $S$  the isometric isomorphism  $f \mapsto w \cdot f$  of  $L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \nu)$  onto  $L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \nu)$  and by  $M_B$  the multiplication operator by the indicator function  $\mathbf{1}_B$ , we have:

$$\widehat{P} = SM_B\mathbb{E}_\nu(\mid \mathcal{B})S^{-1}. \quad (2)$$

The structure of contractive projections in the non-sigma finite case was treated by Bernau and Lacey ([3]); their main result can be rephrased in saying that if we assume (as we may) that the measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  is localizable ([7]) then formulas (1) and (2) are still valid; now  $w$  is some  $\Sigma$ -measurable positive function,  $\nu = w^p \cdot \mu$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is some semi-finite sigma-subalgebra of  $\Sigma$ .

The task of extending these results to various classical spaces was considered by numerous authors; see the recent survey paper [15] and the references inside. Here we are more specifically interested in the case of vector-valued Lebesgue  $L_p$  spaces, in particular mixed norm spaces  $L_p(L_q)$ . Since the survey paper [5] on this specific subject, several partial results appeared. In particular B. Randrianantoanina ([14]) succeeded in solving thoroughly the complex sequential case  $\ell_p(\ell_q)$  using hermitian operator techniques introduced in the subject by Kalton and Wood. More recently the case of finite dimensional real Banach spaces with  $C^2$  norm was considered by the authors of [12]; under some additional conditions on the dual norm (in particular it is assumed to be  $C^2$  on the complementary set of the coordinate hyperplanes associated to a distinguished basis) the contractively complemented subspaces are shown to be necessarily generated by a block-basis of the given basis. This can be applied in particular to the real spaces  $\ell_p^n(\ell_q^m)$ , when  $2 < p, q < \infty$  (or by duality when  $1 < p, q < 2$ ), obtaining the same description of their contractively complemented subspaces as in the complex case [16].

In the present paper we examine the case of Lebesgue spaces of Hilbert valued functions  $L_p(H)$ ; this is done in the most general case (without any assumption of

sigma-finiteness of  $L_p$ -space or separability of the Hilbert space; in fact we have in mind some applications to the ultrapowers of such spaces, which are neither separable nor sigma-finite). It turns out that the range of a contractive projection is a  $\ell_p$ -direct sum of spaces of the type  $L_p(H)$ . More precisely:

**Theorem 0.1.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $p \neq 2$ ;  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $L_p = L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ . The range of every contractive projection  $P : L_p(H) \rightarrow L_p(H)$  is isometric to a  $\ell_p$ -direct sum of Hilbert-valued  $L_p$ -spaces, i.e.*

$$R(P) \approx_1 \left( \bigoplus_{i \in I} L_p(\Omega_i, \mathcal{B}_i, \mu_i; H_i) \right)_{\ell_p}$$

where  $(\Omega_i)_i$  is a family of pairwise almost disjoint members of  $\Sigma$ , each  $\mathcal{B}_i$  is a sub-sigma-algebra of the trace  $\Sigma_i$  of  $\Sigma$  on  $\Omega_i$ ;  $\mu_i$  is the trace on  $\Omega_i$  of the measure  $\mu$ ; and the Hilbert spaces  $H_i$  have Hilbertian dimension not greater than the Hilbertian dimension of  $H$ .

Conversely a  $\ell_p$ -sum  $(\bigoplus_{i \in I} L_p(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i; H_i))_{\ell_p}$  embeds isometrically into  $L_p(H)$ , where  $L_p = (\bigoplus_{i \in I} L_p(\Omega_i, \mathcal{B}_i, \mu_i))_{\ell_p}$  and  $H = (\bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i)_{\ell_2}$ . Hence a contractively complemented subspace of a  $\ell_p$ -direct sum of Hilbert-valued  $L_p$ -spaces is still a  $\ell_p$ -direct sum of Hilbert-valued  $L_p$ -spaces. In other words:

**Corollary 0.2.** *The class  $\mathcal{C}_p$  of  $\ell_p$ -direct sums of Hilbert-valued  $L_p$ -spaces is stable under contractive projections.*

The structure of the contractive projection  $P$  can be easily explained in the case where the space  $H$  is separable (the non-separable case is analogous and will be described in Section 5). Recall that given two Banach spaces  $X, Y$ , a family of operators  $T_\omega : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be strong-operator  $\Sigma$ -measurable if for every  $x \in X$ , the map  $\omega \mapsto T_\omega x$  is  $\Sigma$ -measurable as a map  $\Omega \rightarrow Y$ . If moreover  $\text{Ess sup}_\omega \|T_\omega\| < \infty$ , such a measurable family induces a bounded linear map  $T$  from  $L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu; X)$  into  $L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu; Y)$  by the equation:

$$(Tf)(\omega) = T_\omega(f_\omega)$$

**Theorem 0.3.** *Under the conditions of Thm. 0.1, if moreover  $H$  is separable, then*

$$P = \sum_{i \in I} S_i(\tilde{P}_i \otimes \text{Id}_{H_i})S_i^\sharp M_{\Omega_i} + V$$

where  $\tilde{P}_i$  is a positive contractive projection in  $L_p(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i)$ ;  $S_i$  is an isometric embedding of  $L_p(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i; H_i)$  into  $L_p(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i; H)$  associated with a (strong-operator)-measurable family  $(S_{i,\omega})_{\omega \in \Omega_i}$  of isometric embeddings  $H_i \rightarrow H$ , while  $S_i^\sharp$  is associated with the adjoint family  $(S_{i,\omega}^*)_{\omega \in \Omega_i}$  of projections  $H \rightarrow H_i$ ;  $M_{\Omega_i} : L_p(\Omega; H) \rightarrow L_p(\Omega_i; H_i)$  is the multiplication operator by the indicator function  $\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_i}$ ; and  $V = 0$  if  $p > 1$ , while if  $p = 1$  then  $V$  is a contraction of  $L_1(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu; H)$  vanishing on every  $L_1(\Omega_i, \Sigma_i, \mu_i; H)$  and taking values in the range of  $P$ .

Let us present shortly an application of the Thm. 0.1 which was in fact our main motivation for starting this study. If  $X, Y$  are Banach spaces, we say that  $X$  is an *ultraroot* of  $Y$  if  $Y$  is isometric to some ultrapower of  $X$ . Recall that a Banach space  $X$  embeds canonically isometrically in every of its ultrapowers  $X_{\mathcal{U}}$ , and that if  $X$  is reflexive, then this canonical image is contractively complemented in  $X_{\mathcal{U}}$ . As a consequence of Thm. 0.1 we see that every ultraroot of a  $L_p(H)$  space,  $p > 1$  is a member of  $\mathcal{C}_p$ . By Cor. 0.2 the same is true for ultraroots of members of  $\mathcal{C}_p$ . On the other hand it was proved in [13] that every ultraproduct of  $L_p(H)$  spaces is isometric to a  $\ell_p$ -direct sum of Hilbert-valued  $L_p$ -spaces. More generally every ultraproduct of members of  $\mathcal{C}_p$  is itself isometric to a member of  $\mathcal{C}_p$ . Hence we obtain:

**Corollary 0.4.** *For every  $1 < p < \infty$  the class  $\mathcal{C}_p$  of  $\ell_p$ -direct sums of Hilbert-valued  $L_p$ -spaces is stable under ultraproducts and ultraroots.*

In other words the class  $\mathcal{C}_p$  is *axiomatizable* in the sense of Henson-Iovino [9] in their language of normed spaces structures (see [9], Thm. 13.8).

The paper is organized as follows: after a section devoted to definitions, notations and a general result on orthogonally complemented subspaces of  $L_p(H)$ , we have two sections of preliminary results distinguishing the case  $p = 1$  (Section 2) from the case  $p > 1$  (Section 3). In these sections it is proved that if  $f$  belongs to the range of a contractive projection  $P$ , then the whole subspace  $Z_f := \overline{L_\infty(\Omega) \cdot f}$  is preserved by  $P$  (i.e.  $PZ_f \subset Z_f$ ) which suggests clearly a possible reduction to the scalar case. It is also proved that the “orthogonal projection” onto  $Z_f$  preserves the range of  $P$ . This allows to find an “orthogonal system” in  $R(P)$  which generates  $Z_P := \overline{L_\infty(\Sigma) \cdot R(P)}$  over  $L_\infty(\Sigma)$  which will furnish the orthogonal bases of the Hilbert spaces  $H_i$  of Thm. 0.1. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Thm. 0.1; a key point consists in proving that the different subalgebras of  $\Sigma$  given by the scalar theorem (applied to each  $Z_f$ ) are induced by the same sigma-subalgebra  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\Sigma$ . Finally Thm 0.3 is proved in Section 5 (in a more general version not requiring separability).

## 1. General preliminaries

### 1.1. Definitions and notations

Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $H$  be an Hilbert space and  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a measure space. In the following we denote (when there is no ambiguity) by  $L_p(H)$  the Lebesgue-Bochner space  $L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu; H)$  of classes of  $H$ -valued  $p$ -integrable functions (for  $\mu$ -a.e. equality). Similarly  $L_\infty(H)$  will be the space of classes of Bochner measurable, essentially bounded  $H$ -valued functions. These spaces can be defined directly from the Banach lattices  $L_p$  (resp.  $L_\infty$ ) and the Hilbert space  $H$ , but we adopt the functional point of view for the simplicity of the exposition. In the case where  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  is not sigma-finite, it is preferable to suppose that this measure space is localizable: the measure  $\mu$  is semifinite (every set in  $\Sigma$  of positive measure contains a further one of positive and

finite measure) and  $L_\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  is order complete. In particular every family  $(A_i)_{i \in I}$  in  $\Sigma$  has a supremum  $A$ , denoted by  $\bigvee_{i \in I} A_i$ . The set  $A$  is defined (up to a  $\mu$ -null set) by the conditions:

$$A \dot{\supset} A_i \text{ for every } i \in I,$$

$$\text{If } B \in \Sigma \text{ and } B \dot{\supset} A_i \text{ for every } i \in I \text{ then } B \dot{\supset} A,$$

where  $B \dot{\supset} A$  means  $\mu(A \setminus B) = 0$  (define similarly  $A \dot{\subset} B$  and  $A \dot{=} B$ ). We say that  $B, C$  are almost disjoint if  $A \cap B \dot{=} \emptyset$ .

To every  $f \in L_p(H)$  we associate its “random norm”  $N(f) \in L_p^+$  defined by  $N(f)(\omega) = \|f(\omega)\|_H$ , its *vectorial function support*  $\mathbf{VS}(f) = \text{Supp}(N(f))$  and its “random direction”, i.e. the element  $u_f$  of  $L_\infty(H)$  defined by  $u_f(\omega) = \frac{f(\omega)}{N(f)(\omega)}$  if  $\omega \in \mathbf{VS}(f)$ ,  $= 0$  if  $\omega \notin \mathbf{VS}(f)$ . If  $M \subset L_p(H)$  we set  $\mathbf{VS}(M) = \bigvee \{\mathbf{VS}(f) \mid f \in M\}$ . If  $f \in L_p(H)$ ,  $g \in L_q(H)$  we define their random scalar product  $\langle\langle f, g \rangle\rangle \in L_r$  (where  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$ ) by  $\langle\langle f|g \rangle\rangle(\omega) = \langle f(\omega)|g(\omega) \rangle_H$ , where  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_H$  denotes the scalar product in  $H$  (which we suppose left linear, right antilinear in the complex case). When  $p, q$  are conjugate ( $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ), we obtain a sesquilinear pairing

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_\Omega \langle\langle f | g \rangle\rangle d\mu \tag{3}$$

which gives rise to a canonical antilinear identification of  $L_q(H)$  with  $L_p(H)^*$  (if  $1 < p, q < \infty$ ; the case  $p = 1, q = \infty$  is more delicate); it is the usual duality pairing in the real spaces case. We have also

$$\forall f \in L_p(H), \langle\langle f|u_f \rangle\rangle = N(f).$$

We say that two elements  $f, g \in L_p(H)$  are *orthogonal*, and we write  $f \perp g$  if  $\langle\langle f | g \rangle\rangle = 0$ . A related notation is the following. We set

$$\{ f \perp g \} = \{ \omega \in \Omega \mid \langle\langle f | g \rangle\rangle(\omega) = 0 \}$$

We have then  $f \perp g \iff \{ f \perp g \} \dot{=} \Omega$ .

Let  $H, K$  two Hilbert spaces. We say that a linear operator  $T : L_p(H) \rightarrow L_p(K)$  is  $\Sigma$ -*modular* iff  $T(\varphi \cdot f) = \varphi \cdot Tf$  for every  $f \in L_p(H)$  and  $\varphi \in L_\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ . It is *modularly contractive*, resp. *modularly isometric* iff  $N(Tf) \leq N(f)$ , resp.  $N(Tf) = N(f)$  for every  $f \in L_p(H)$ : it is then automatically  $\Sigma$ -modular (and, of course, contractive, resp. isometric). If  $H$  is separable, then a modularly contractive, resp. modularly isometric operator  $T$  is associated with a measurable family of contractions, resp. isometries  $T_\omega : H \rightarrow K$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a sub-sigma-algebra of  $\Sigma$ ; a linear subspace  $Z$  of  $L_p(H)$  is a  $L_\infty(\mathcal{F})$ -*submodule* iff  $\varphi \cdot f \in Z$  for every  $f \in Z$  and  $\varphi \in L_\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ . To every  $f \in L_p(H)$  we associate the bounded  $\Sigma$ -modular operator:

$$E_f : L_p(H) \rightarrow L_p(H), \quad g \mapsto \langle\langle g|u_f \rangle\rangle u_f.$$

We have  $N(E_f g) = |\langle g | u_f \rangle| \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f)} \leq N(g)$ , hence  $E_f$  is modularly contractive.

We have clearly  $E_f(f) = N(f)u_f = f$ . Consequently for every  $\varphi \in L_\infty$ , we have

$$E_f((\varphi N(f)) \cdot u_f) = E_f(\varphi f) = \varphi f = (\varphi N(f)) \cdot u_f$$

and by density we deduce that  $E_f(\psi \cdot u_f) = \psi \cdot u_f$  for every  $\psi \in L_p$ . In particular  $E_f(E_f g) = E_f g$ , so  $E_f$  is a projection (with range  $R(E_f) = L_p(\Omega) \cdot u_f$ ). It is not hard to see that  $R(E_f)$  is exactly the closed  $L_\infty(\Sigma)$ -submodule generated by  $f$ . Note also that if  $f, g \in L_p(H)$ ,

$$f \perp g \iff E_f g = 0 \iff E_g f = 0.$$

### 1.2. Orthogonal projections

We end this section by considering a special class of contractive projections, namely the orthogonal ones. A projection  $Q$  in  $L_p(H)$  is said to be *orthogonal* if  $(f - Qf) \perp Qf$  for every  $f \in L_p(H)$ . Such a projection is trivially modularly contractive since

$$N(f)^2 = N(Qf)^2 + N((I - Q)f)^2 \geq N(Qf)^2.$$

Note that by polarization we have for every  $f, g \in L_p(H)$ :

$$\langle\langle f | g \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle Qf | Qg \rangle\rangle + \langle\langle (I - Q)f | (I - Q)g \rangle\rangle$$

Replacing  $g$  by  $Qg$ , we have

$$\langle\langle f | Qg \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle Qf | Qg \rangle\rangle$$

that is  $(I - Q)f \perp Qg$ ; hence  $\ker Q = R(I - Q) \perp R(Q)$ .

Conversely if  $f \perp R(I - Q)$  then  $f - Qf \perp R(I - Q)$  and in particular  $f - Qf \perp f - Qf$ , i.e.  $f = Qf \in R(Q)$ . Hence  $R(Q) = \ker Q^\perp := \{f \in L_p(H) \mid f \perp \ker Q\}$  and similarly (exchanging the roles of  $Q$  and  $I - Q$ ) we have:  $\ker Q = R(Q)^\perp$ .

If  $A$  is a subset of  $L_p(H)$  then  $A^\perp$  is a closed  $L_\infty(\Sigma)$ -submodule of  $L_p(H)$ . In particular the range of any orthogonal projection in  $L_p(H)$  is a closed  $L_\infty(\Sigma)$ -submodule. The converse is true:

**Lemma 1.1.** *If  $Z$  is a closed  $L_\infty(\Sigma)$ -submodule of  $L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu; H)$  there exists a unique orthogonal projection  $Q_Z$  in  $L_p(H)$  with range  $Z$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  be a maximal family of pairwise orthogonal non zero elements of  $Z$ . For every family  $(\varphi_\alpha)_\alpha$  in  $L_p(\Omega)$  and every finite subset  $B$  of  $A$  we have

$$\left\| \sum_{\alpha \in B} \varphi_\alpha u_{f_\alpha} \right\|_{L_p(H)} = \left\| N \left( \sum_{\alpha \in B} \varphi_\alpha u_{f_\alpha} \right) \right\|_p = \left\| \left( \sum_{\alpha \in B} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f_\alpha)} |\varphi_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p.$$

Hence, by Cauchy's criterion,  $\sum_{\alpha \in A} \varphi_\alpha u_{f_\alpha}$  converges in  $L_p(H)$  iff  $(\sum_{\alpha \in A} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{vs}(f_\alpha)} |\varphi_\alpha|^2)^{1/2}$  exists in  $L_p$  and

$$\left\| \sum_{\alpha \in A} \varphi_\alpha u_{f_\alpha} \right\|_{L_p(H)} = \left\| \left( \sum_{\alpha \in A} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{vs}(f_\alpha)} |\varphi_\alpha|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p.$$

If now  $f \in L_p(H)$  and  $B$  is a finite subset of  $A$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} N\left(\sum_{\alpha \in B} \langle\langle f|u_{f_\alpha} \rangle\rangle u_{f_\alpha}\right)^2 &= \sum_{\alpha \in B} |\langle\langle f|u_{f_\alpha} \rangle\rangle|^2 \\ &= \left\langle\left\langle f, \sum_{\alpha \in B} \langle\langle f|u_{f_\alpha} \rangle\rangle u_{f_\alpha} \right\rangle\right\rangle \leq N(f) N\left(\sum_{\alpha \in B} \langle\langle f|u_{f_\alpha} \rangle\rangle u_{f_\alpha}\right), \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$N\left(\sum_{\alpha \in B} \langle\langle f|u_{f_\alpha} \rangle\rangle u_{f_\alpha}\right) = \left(\sum_{\alpha \in B} |\langle\langle f|u_{f_\alpha} \rangle\rangle|^2\right)^{1/2} \leq N(f),$$

so

$$\left(\sum_{\alpha \in A} |\langle\langle f|u_{f_\alpha} \rangle\rangle|^2\right)^{1/2} \leq N(f).$$

Consequently  $Qf := \sum_{\alpha \in A} \langle\langle f|u_{f_\alpha} \rangle\rangle u_{f_\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha \in A} E_{f_\alpha} f$  converges in  $L_p(H)$  (with  $\|Qf\| \leq \|f\|$ ). Since  $R(E_{f_\alpha})$  is the closed  $L_\infty(\Sigma)$ -submodule generated by  $f_\alpha$ , we have  $R(E_{f_\alpha}) \subset Z$  for each  $\alpha$  and consequently  $Qf \in Z$  for every  $f \in L_p(H)$ . The map  $Q$  is modular for the action of  $L_\infty(\Omega)$ , and clearly  $Qf_\beta = f_\beta$  for every  $\beta \in A$ . It results easily that  $Qf = f$  for every  $f = \sum_{\alpha \in A} \varphi_\alpha u_{f_\alpha}$  (when this series converges), i.e.  $Q$  is a contractive projection in  $L_p(H)$  with range

$$\begin{aligned} R(Q) &= \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in A} \varphi_\alpha u_{f_\alpha} \mid \left(\sum_{\alpha} |\varphi_\alpha|^2\right)^{1/2} \in L_p(\Omega) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in A} \psi_\alpha f_\alpha \mid \left(\sum_{\alpha} |\psi_\alpha|^2 N(f_\alpha)^2\right)^{1/2} \in L_p(\Omega) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since clearly  $\langle\langle Qf|f_\alpha \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle f|f_\alpha \rangle\rangle$  for every  $\alpha \in A$  we have  $(f - Qf) \perp f_\alpha$  for every  $\alpha \in A$ . By maximality of the system  $(f_\alpha)$  we deduce that

$$f = Qf \text{ for every } f \in Z$$

so  $R(Q)$  contains  $Z$ , hence coincides with  $Z$ . Note also that  $f - Qf \perp Z$  for all  $f \in L_p(H)$ , and so  $Q$  is orthogonal.

The unicity of the orthogonal projection onto  $Z$  is a consequence of the fact that its image and kernel are uniquely determined ( $R(Q) = Z$  and  $\ker Q = Z^\perp$ ).  $\square$

**2. Preliminary results: the case  $p = 1$**

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $P$  be a contractive projection in  $L_1(H)$ . Then for every  $f \in R(P)$  we have*

$$PE_f = E_fPE_f$$

*Proof.* For every  $\varphi \in L_1(\Omega)$  with  $0 \leq \varphi \leq N(f)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\| - \|\varphi \cdot u_f\| &= \int N(f) d\mu - \int N(\varphi u_f) d\mu = \int (N(f) - \varphi) d\mu \\ &= \|(N(f) - \varphi) \cdot u_f\| = \|f - \varphi \cdot u_f\| \\ &\geq \|P(f - \varphi \cdot u_f)\| = \|f - P(\varphi \cdot u_f)\| \\ &\geq \|f\| - \|P(\varphi \cdot u_f)\| \\ &\geq \|f\| - \|\varphi \cdot u_f\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence all the inequalities are equalities, and in particular

$$\|f - P(\varphi \cdot u_f)\| = \|f\| - \|P(\varphi \cdot u_f)\|,$$

that is,

$$\int N(f - P(\varphi \cdot u_f)) d\mu = \int [N(f) - N(P(\varphi \cdot u_f))] d\mu.$$

Note that the function in the left-hand integral is greater than the one in the right-hand integral. Thus,

$$N(f - P(\varphi \cdot u_f)) = N(f) - N(P(\varphi \cdot u_f))$$

(equality as elements of  $L_1(\Omega)$ ). Since  $H$  is strictly convex this implies that

$$P(\varphi \cdot u_f) = \alpha \cdot f$$

for some  $\alpha \in L_\infty^+(\Omega)$ . Hence

$$E_fP(\varphi \cdot u_f) = E_f(\alpha \cdot f) = \alpha \cdot f = P(\varphi \cdot u_f).$$

This property has been proved for  $\varphi \in L_1(\Omega)$  with  $0 \leq \varphi \leq N(f)$ ; it is extended by linearity and density to every  $\varphi \in L_1(\Omega)$ . In particular if we take  $\varphi = \langle\langle h|u_f \rangle\rangle$ , we obtain

$$\forall h \in L_1(H), \quad E_fPE_fh = PE_fh,$$

that is,  $E_fPE_f = PE_f$ . □

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $P$  be a contractive projection in  $L_1(H)$ . Then for every  $f, g \in R(P)$  we have:  $E_gf \in R(P)$ . In other words  $E_gP = PE_gP$ .*



*Proof.* We have  $(f - E_g f) \perp g$ , while (by Lemma 2.1)  $E_g f - PE_g f = E_g(f - PE_g f) \in L_1(\Omega) \cdot u_g$ . Hence  $(f - E_g f) \perp (E_g f - PE_g f)$ . It results that

$$N(f - PE_g f) = [N(f - E_g f)^2 + N((E_g f - PE_g f)^2)]^{1/2} \geq N(f - E_g f). \quad (4)$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - PE_g f\| &\geq \|f - E_g f\| \\ &\geq \|P(f - E_g f)\| \\ &= \|f - PE_g f\| \end{aligned}$$

Hence the inequalities are equalities. In view of (4), the equality  $\|f - PE_g f\| = \|f - E_g f\|$  implies

$$N(f - PE_g f) = [N(f - E_g f)^2 + N((E_g f - PE_g f)^2)]^{1/2} = N(f - E_g f),$$

which implies in turn that  $N(E_g f - PE_g f) = 0$ , that is  $E_g f = PE_g f$ . So  $E_g f \in R(P)$ .  $\square$

### 3. Preliminary results: the case $p > 1$

**Notations.** Let  $p_*$  be the conjugate exponent of  $p$ . If  $T : L_p(H) \rightarrow L_p(H)$  is a bounded operator, we define its adjoint  $T^* : L_{p_*}(H) \rightarrow L_{p_*}(H)$  by

$$\forall f \in L_{p_*}(H), \forall g \in L_p(H) \quad \langle T^* f, g \rangle = \langle f, Tg \rangle,$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the sesquilinear pairing given by eq. (3).

If  $f \in L_p(H)$ ,  $f \neq 0$ , let  $Jf \in L_{p_*}(H)$  be the unique norm-one element such that  $\langle f, Jf \rangle = \|f\|$ . In fact it will be easier to consider the  $(p-1)$ -homogeneous functional  $J_p(h) = \|h\|^{p-1} J(h)$ . We have  $J_p(h) = N(h)^{p-1} \cdot u_h = N(h)^{p-2} h$ , hence  $J_p$  is random direction preserving. Note that  $pJ_p$  is the derivative of the  $p^{\text{th}}$  power of the norm.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $p \neq 2$ , and  $P$  be a contractive projection in  $L_p(H)$ . Then for every  $f, g \in R(P)$  the function  $F(f, g) := \text{sgn}\langle g | f \rangle f + \gamma_p \mathbf{1}_{\{f \perp g\}} N(f) u_g$  belongs to  $R(P)$ , where  $\gamma_p$  is a positive constant depending only on  $p$ .*

*Proof.* a) Case  $2 < p < \infty$ .

Recall that since  $L_p(H)$  is smooth the duality map  $J$  maps  $R(P)$  into  $R(P^*)$  (see e.g. [6, Lemma 4.8]); hence  $J_p(f + tg) \in R(P^*)$  for every  $t \geq 0$ . The derivative  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} J_p(f + tg)$  exists at  $t = 0$  (since the norm to the power  $p$  is twice differentiable) and it belongs to  $R(P^*)$  too. We have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} J_p(f + tg) = N(f + tg)^{p-2} g + \left( \frac{p-2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} N(f + tg)^2 \right) N(f + tg)^{p-4} (f + tg).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} A(f, g) &:= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} J_p(f + tg) \Big|_{t=0} = N(f)^{p-2}g + (p - 2) \operatorname{Re}(\langle f \mid g \rangle) N(f)^{p-4}f \\ &= N(f)^{p-2}[g + (p - 2) \operatorname{Re}(\langle u_f, g \rangle) u_f] \in R(P^*) \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

In the complex case, replacing  $f$  by  $if$ , we obtain

$$B(f, g) := N(f)^{p-2}[g - i(p - 2) \operatorname{Im}(\langle u_f, g \rangle) u_f] \in R(P^*) \tag{5bis}$$

adding

$$N(f)^{p-2}[2g + (p - 2)\langle g, u_f \rangle u_f] \in R(P^*)$$

With  $E_f g = \langle g, u_f \rangle u_f$  we obtain

$$N(f)^{p-2}[2(g - E_f g) + pE_f g] \in R(P^*).$$

In the case of a real space (5) is valid without the symbol  $\operatorname{Re}$  and we obtain

$$N(f)^{p-2}[(g - E_f g) + (p - 1)E_f g] \in R(P^*).$$

If  $h \in R(P^*)$  then  $J_{p^*} h = N(h)^{p^*-1} u_h \in R(P)$ , hence if we set  $Tg = \alpha_p(g - E_f g) + E_f g$ , with  $\alpha_p = \frac{2}{p}$  in the complex case,  $\alpha_p = \frac{1}{p-1}$  in the real case, we obtain:

$$\Phi(g) := N(f)^{(p-2)(p^*-1)} N(Tg)^{(p^*-1)} u_{Tg} \in R(P).$$

Since  $T$  is  $\Sigma$ -modular we have  $u_{T(\varphi \cdot u_h)} = \mathbf{1}_{\operatorname{Supp} \varphi} \cdot u_{Th}$  for every  $h \in L_p(H)$  and  $\varphi \in L_p$ , and more generally  $u_{T^k(\varphi \cdot u_h)} = \mathbf{1}_{\operatorname{Supp} \varphi} \cdot u_{T^k h}$  for every  $k \geq 1$ . It is easily deduced that:  $u_{T^k \Phi(g)} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{vs}(f)} \cdot u_{T^{k+1}g}$  for every  $k \geq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\Phi^n(g)} &= u_{\Phi(\Phi^{n-1}(g))} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{vs}(f)} \cdot u_{T\Phi^{n-1}(g)} \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{vs}(f)} \cdot u_{T\Phi(\Phi^{n-2}(g))} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{vs}(f)} \cdot u_{T^2\Phi^{n-2}(g)} \cdots \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{vs}(f)} \cdot u_{T^n g} \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

for every  $n \geq 1$ . If  $E_f g(\omega) \neq 0$  we have

$$u_{T^n g}(\omega) = \frac{\alpha_p^n (g - E_f g)(\omega) + E_f g(\omega)}{N(\alpha_p^n (g - E_f g) + E_f g)(\omega)} \longrightarrow \frac{E_f g(\omega)}{N(E_f g)(\omega)} = u_{E_f g}(\omega) \tag{7}$$

(norm convergence in  $H$ ) while if  $E_f g(\omega) = 0$

$$u_{T^n g}(\omega) = \frac{(g - E_f g)(\omega)}{N((g - E_f g)(\omega))} = u_{(g - E_f g)}(\omega) = u_g(\omega). \tag{7'}$$

Since  $g - E_f g \perp E_f g$  we have  $N(Tg) \leq N(g)$ . Hence

$$N(\Phi(g)) = N(f)^{2-p^*} N(Tg)^{p^*-1} \leq N(f)^{2-p^*} N(g)^{p^*-1}. \tag{8}$$

In particular

$$N(\Phi(g)) \leq \max(N(f), N(g)). \tag{9}$$

Reiterating (8) we obtain for every  $n \geq 1$

$$N(\Phi^n(g)) \leq N(f)^{(2-p_*)\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (p_*-1)^k} N(g)^{(p_*-1)^n} = N(f)^{1-(p_*-1)^n} N(g)^{(p_*-1)^n}.$$

Since  $0 < p_* - 1 < 1$  we obtain

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(\Phi^n(g)) \leq \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(g)} N(f). \tag{10}$$

We try now to be more precise. If  $E_f g(\omega) = 0$  we have  $N(Tg)(\omega) = \alpha_p N(g)(\omega)$ . Hence

$$N(\Phi(g))(\omega) = N(f)(\omega)^{2-p_*} (\alpha_p N(g)(\omega))^{p_*-1}.$$

Moreover, since in this case  $u_{\Phi^n(g)}(\omega) = u_g(\omega)$ , we have  $E_f \Phi^n(g)(\omega) = 0$  for every  $n$ , and we can reiterate. We obtain

$$N(\Phi^n(g))(\omega) = (\alpha_p^{p_*-1} N(f)(\omega)^{(2-p_*)\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (p_*-1)^k} N(g)(\omega)^{(p_*-1)^n}).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(\Phi^n(g))(\omega) &= \alpha_p^{(p_*-1)/(2-p_*)} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(g)}(\omega) N(f)(\omega) \\ &= \alpha_p^{1/(p-2)} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(g)}(\omega) N(f)(\omega). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

If now  $E_f(g)(\omega) \neq 0$ , we have also  $E_f(\Phi^n(g))(\omega) \neq 0$  for every  $n \geq 0$ . Set

$$\beta_n(\omega) = \frac{N(E_f \Phi^n(g))(\omega)}{N(\Phi^n(g))(\omega)}$$

We have then

$$N(T\Phi^n(g))(\omega) \geq \beta_n(\omega) N(\Phi^n(g))(\omega)$$

and consequently:

$$N(\Phi^{n+1}(g))(\omega) \geq N(f)^{2-p_*} (\beta_n(\omega) N(\Phi^n(g))(\omega))^{p_*-1}. \tag{12}$$

On the other hand

$$\beta_n(\omega) = |\langle u_{\Phi^n(g)}, u_f \rangle(\omega)| = |\langle u_{T^n(g)}, u_f \rangle(\omega)| = \frac{N(E_f T^n(g))(\omega)}{N(T^n(g))(\omega)} = \frac{N(E_f g)(\omega)}{N(T^n(g))(\omega)}$$

and since  $N(T^n g) = (\alpha_p^{2n} N(g - E_f g)^2 + N(E_f g)^2)^{1/2} \searrow N(E_f g)$  pointwise (as  $\alpha_p < 1$ ) we have  $\beta_n(\omega) \nearrow 1$  on the set  $\{\omega \mid E_f g(\omega) \neq 0\}$ . Reiterating (12) from the step  $n = n_0$  we obtain then

$$\underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(\Phi^n(g))(\omega) \geq (\beta_{n_0}(\omega))^{1/(p-2)} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(\Phi_{n_0}(g))}(\omega) N(f)(\omega)$$

and letting  $n_0 \rightarrow \infty$ , we have, since  $\mathbf{VS}(\Phi_n(g)) = \mathbf{VS}(g) \cap \mathbf{VS}(f)$  for every  $n$ ,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(\Phi^n(g))(\omega) \geq \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(g)}(\omega)N(f)(\omega). \tag{13}$$

From (6), (7), (7'), and (11), (10), (13) we deduce that

$$\Phi^n(g) \rightarrow N(f)[u_{E_f(g)} + \alpha_p^{1/(p-2)} \mathbf{1}_{\{f \perp g\}} u_g] \tag{14}$$

almost everywhere in  $H$ -norm, hence in  $L_p(H)$ -norm by (9) and Lebesgue's Theorem. Hence the right-hand member of (14) belongs to  $R(P)$ . Since  $u_{E_f g} = \text{sgn}\langle\langle g | f \rangle\rangle u_f$  the right member of (14) can be written

$$\text{sgn}\langle\langle g | f \rangle\rangle f + \gamma_p \mathbf{1}_{\{f \perp g\}} N(f) u_g = F_p(f, g) \tag{15}$$

where we have set  $\gamma_p = \alpha_p^{1/(p-2)}$ .

b) Case  $1 < p < 2$ .

This case is treated by duality. Set  $\gamma_p = \gamma_{p^*}^{p^*-1}$  and define  $F_p(f, g)$  by the formula (15). If  $g = J_{p^*} g'$ ,  $f = J_{p^*} f'$  with  $f', g' \in L_{p^*}(H)$  we have

$$\text{sgn}\langle\langle g | f \rangle\rangle = \text{sgn}\langle\langle g' | f' \rangle\rangle.$$

Hence

$$\text{sgn}\langle\langle g | f \rangle\rangle f = J_{p^*}(\text{sgn}\langle\langle g' | f' \rangle\rangle f')$$

and similarly

$$N(f) = N(J_{p^*} f') = N(f')^{p^*-1}.$$

Hence

$$N(f) u_g = N(f')^{p^*-1} u_g = J_{p^*}(N(f') u_{g'}).$$

Finally, since  $\{f \perp g\} = \{f' \perp g'\}$  and  $J_{p^*}$  is additive on elements with disjoint functional supports, and positively homogeneous of degree  $p^* - 1$ ,

$$F_p(f, g) = J_{p^*}(F_{p^*}(f', g')).$$

Then since  $f' = J_p f, g' = J_p g$  belong to  $R(P^*)$ , the function  $F_{p^*}(f', g')$  belongs to  $R(P^*)$  too by the case (a), and  $F_p(f, g)$  belongs to  $R(P)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $p$  and  $P$  be as in Lemma 3.1. Then for every  $f, g \in R(P)$  the three elements  $\text{sgn}\langle\langle g | f \rangle\rangle f$ ,  $\mathbf{1}_{\{f \perp g\}} f$  and  $\mathbf{1}_{\{f \perp g\}} N(f) u_g$  belong to  $R(P)$ .*

*Proof.* The set  $\Lambda$  of scalars  $\lambda$  such that the set  $\{\omega \in \mathbf{VS}(f) \mid \frac{\langle\langle g | f \rangle\rangle(\omega)}{\langle\langle f | f \rangle\rangle(\omega)} = -\lambda\}$  has positive measure is at most countable. This set is also the set of  $\lambda$ 's such that  $\{(g + \lambda f) \perp f\} \cap \mathbf{VS}(f)$  has positive measure. Choose a sequence  $(\varepsilon_n)$  of positive numbers not in  $\Lambda \cup (-\Lambda)$  which converges to 0. Then by Lemma 3.1

$$\text{sgn}\langle\langle g \pm \varepsilon_n f | f \rangle\rangle f \in R(P)$$

for every  $n \geq 1$ . Since

$$\operatorname{sgn}\langle\langle g \pm \varepsilon_n f \mid f \rangle\rangle(\omega) \rightarrow \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}\langle\langle g \mid f \rangle\rangle(\omega) & \text{if } \langle\langle g \mid f \rangle\rangle(\omega) \neq 0, \\ \pm 1 & \text{if } \langle\langle g \mid f \rangle\rangle(\omega) = 0 \text{ and } f(\omega) \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\operatorname{sgn}\langle\langle g \mid f \rangle\rangle f \pm \mathbf{1}_{\{f \perp g\}} f = \lim_n \operatorname{sgn}\langle\langle g \pm \varepsilon_n f \mid f \rangle\rangle f \in R(P)$$

and consequently  $\operatorname{sgn}\langle\langle g \mid f \rangle\rangle f$  and  $\mathbf{1}_{\{f \perp g\}} f$  belong to  $R(P)$ . Then  $F_p(f, g) - \operatorname{sgn}\langle\langle g \mid f \rangle\rangle f = \gamma_p \mathbf{1}_{\{f \perp g\}} N(f) u_g$  belongs to  $R(P)$  too.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $p$  and  $P$  be as in Lemma 3.1. Then for every  $f, g \in R(P)$  we have  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{vs}(g)} f \in R(P)$ .*

*Proof.* By Cor. 3.2,  $h := G(f, g) := \mathbf{1}_{\{f \perp g\}} N(f) u_g$  belongs to  $R(P)$ . Then  $G(h, f) = \mathbf{1}_{\{f \perp g\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{u_g \neq 0\}} N(f) u_f = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{vs}(g) \cap \{f \perp g\}} f$  belongs to  $R(P)$  too. By Cor. 3.2,  $f - \mathbf{1}_{\{f \perp g\}} f = \mathbf{1}_{\{f \not\perp g\}} f \in R(P)$ , thus  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{vs}(g)} f = \mathbf{1}_{\{f \not\perp g\}} f + \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{vs}(g) \cap \{f \perp g\}} f \in R(P)$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.4.* In the complex case, for every  $f, g \in R(P)$  the elements  $\operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Re}\langle\langle g \mid f \rangle\rangle) f$  and  $\mathbf{1}_{\{\operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Re}\langle\langle g \mid f \rangle\rangle)=0\}} f$  belong to  $R(P)$  too. Indeed,  $L_p(H)$  is a real Hilbert-valued  $L_p(K)$  space, where  $K$  is the real vector space  $H$  equipped with the scalar product  $(x, y)_K = \operatorname{Re}(x \mid y)_H$ . As a consequence, the element  $\mathbf{1}_{\{\operatorname{Re}\langle\langle g \mid f \rangle\rangle > 0\}} f = \frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{sgn} \operatorname{Re}\langle\langle g \mid f \rangle\rangle + \mathbf{1}_{\{\operatorname{sgn}(\operatorname{Re}\langle\langle g \mid f \rangle\rangle) \neq 0\}}) f$  belongs to  $R(P)$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $p$  and  $P$  be as in Lemma 3.1. For every  $f, g \in R(P)$  denote by  $\Sigma_{f,g}$  the  $\sigma$ -field generated by the element  $\frac{\langle\langle g \mid f \rangle\rangle}{\langle\langle f \mid f \rangle\rangle}$ . Then for every  $\Sigma_{f,g}$ -measurable function  $\varphi$  such that  $\varphi \cdot N(f) \in L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ , the element  $\varphi \cdot f$  belongs to  $R(P)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $R(P)$  is a closed linear subspace, it is sufficient to prove this for indicator functions of  $\Sigma_{f,g}$ -measurable sets. The sigma-algebra  $\Sigma_{f,g}$  is generated by the sets  $\{\frac{\operatorname{Re}\langle\langle g \mid f \rangle\rangle}{\langle\langle f \mid f \rangle\rangle} > \lambda\}$ ,  $\{-\frac{\operatorname{Re}\langle\langle g \mid f \rangle\rangle}{\langle\langle f \mid f \rangle\rangle} > \lambda\}$ ,  $\{\frac{\operatorname{Im}\langle\langle g \mid f \rangle\rangle}{\langle\langle f \mid f \rangle\rangle} > \lambda\}$ , and  $\{-\frac{\operatorname{Im}\langle\langle g \mid f \rangle\rangle}{\langle\langle f \mid f \rangle\rangle} > \lambda\}$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . If  $A_{f,g,\lambda} = \{\frac{\operatorname{Re}\langle\langle g \mid f \rangle\rangle}{\langle\langle f \mid f \rangle\rangle} > \lambda\}$  we have  $A_{f,g,\lambda} = \{\operatorname{Re}\langle\langle g - \lambda f \mid f \rangle\rangle > 0\}$ , hence  $\mathbf{1}_{A_{f,g,\lambda}} f \in R(P)$  by Rem. 3.4. The conclusion is the same for the three others kinds of sets (replacing  $g$  by  $-g$  or  $\pm ig$ ). Now if  $\mathbf{1}_B f \in R(P)$  then  $A_{f,g,\lambda} \cap B = A_{f',g,\lambda}$  with  $f' = \mathbf{1}_B f$ , hence  $\mathbf{1}_{A_{f,g,\lambda} \cap B} f = \mathbf{1}_{A_{f',g,\lambda}} f' \in R(P)$ . It results that the class  $\mathcal{C}$  of the sets  $A \in \Sigma$  such that  $\mathbf{1}_A f \in R(P)$  contains finite intersections of sets of the four preceding types. Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed by complementation and monotone limits, it contains the sigma-algebra  $\Sigma_{f,g}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $p$  and  $P$  be as in Lemma 3.1. For every  $f \in R(P)$  we have  $E_f P = P E_f$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $g \in R(P)$ . Applying Lemma 3.5 to the function  $\varphi = \frac{\langle\langle g|f \rangle\rangle}{\langle\langle f|f \rangle\rangle}$  we obtain that  $E_f g \in R(P)$ . Hence for every  $h \in L_p(H)$ , we have  $E_f P h \in R(P)$ , i.e.  $E_f P h = P E_f P h$ ; thus  $E_f P = P E_f P$ . Similarly, reasoning with the contractive projection  $P^*$  in  $L_{p^*}(H)$ , and the element  $J_p f$  of  $R(P^*)$ , we have  $E_{J_p f} P^* = P^* E_{J_p f} P^*$ . Dualizing we obtain  $P E_{J_p f}^* = P E_{J_p f}^* P$ . We claim that  $E_f^* = E_{J_p f}$ . This will show that  $P E_f = P E_f P = E_f P$ . Let us show this claim. Since  $u_{J_p f} = u_f$ , we have for every  $g \in L_p(H)$  and  $h' \in L_{p^*}(H)$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E_f g, h' \rangle &= \int \langle\langle E_f g, h' \rangle\rangle d\mu = \int \langle\langle \langle\langle g, u_f \rangle\rangle u_f, h' \rangle\rangle d\mu \\ &= \int \langle\langle g, u_f \rangle\rangle \langle\langle u_f, h' \rangle\rangle d\mu \\ &= \int \langle\langle g, \langle\langle h', u_f \rangle\rangle u_f \rangle\rangle d\mu \\ &= \int \langle\langle g, E_{J_p f} h' \rangle\rangle d\mu = \langle g, E_{J_p f} h' \rangle \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

*Remark.* The preceding proof of Cor. 3.6 is essentially a real one. In the complex case it can be replaced by a shorter one, of more algebraic nature, due to Arazy and Friedman in the context of spaces  $C_p$  (see [2]). It seemed interesting to us to reproduce this proof in the Annex (see §6), after simplifying it considerably by eliminating the unnecessary non-commutative apparatus.

#### 4. The range of a contractive projection

This section is devoted to the proof of Thm. 0.1, which consists in four lemmas.

**Lemma 4.1.** *The closed  $L_\infty(\Sigma)$ -module  $Z$  generated by  $R(P)$  in  $L_p(H)$  is generated (as  $L_\infty$ -module) by a family  $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  of pairwise orthogonal elements of  $R(P)$ . We have in fact a Schauder (orthogonal) decomposition*

$$Z = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} L_p(\Omega) \cdot u_{f_\alpha}$$

*Proof.* Let  $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  be a maximal family of pairwise orthogonal non zero elements of  $R(P)$  and  $Z_0$  be the closed  $L_\infty(\Sigma)$ -submodule generated by the family  $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ . Let  $Q_{Z_0}$  be the orthogonal projection onto  $Z_0$ . By the proof of Lemma 1.1 we know that  $Q_{Z_0} = \sum_{\alpha \in A} E_{f_\alpha}$  (convergence in strong operator topology). Hence, by Lemma 2.2 if  $p = 1$ , resp. Cor. 3.6 if  $p > 1$ ,  $Q_{Z_0} f \in R(P)$  for every  $f \in R(P)$ . Since  $Q_{Z_0}$  is orthogonal and  $f_\alpha \in R(Q_{Z_0})$  we have  $(f - Q_{Z_0} f) \perp f_\alpha$  for every  $\alpha \in A$ . By maximality of the system  $(f_\alpha)$  we deduce that

$$f = Q_{Z_0} f \text{ for every } f \in R(P)$$

i.e.  $Q_{Z_0}P = P$ . Then  $Z_0 = R(Q_{Z_0})$  is a closed  $L_\infty$ -module containing  $R(P)$  and generated by a subset of  $R(P)$ ; hence it coincides with the closed  $L_\infty$ -module generated by  $R(P)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *There exists a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\Sigma$  containing the vectorial function supports of all elements of  $R(P)$  such that for every  $f \in R(P)$  and  $\varphi \in L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ , the product  $\varphi \cdot u_f$  belongs to  $R(P)$  iff  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f)}N(f)^{-1}\varphi$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable. In particular  $R(P)$  is a  $L_\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ -submodule.*

*Proof.* Since  $PE_f = E_fPE_f$  by Lemma 2.1 (if  $p = 1$ ) or by Cor. 3.6 (if  $p > 1$ ), we have  $P(\varphi \cdot u_f) \in L_p(\Omega) \cdot u_f$  for every  $f \in R(P)$  and  $\varphi \in L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ . We may write  $P(\varphi \cdot u_f) = (\tilde{P}_f\varphi) \cdot u_f$ , with  $\text{Supp}(\tilde{P}_f\varphi) \subset \mathbf{VS}(f)$ . Clearly  $\tilde{P}_f$  is linear,  $\tilde{P}_f^2 = \tilde{P}_f$  and

$$\|\tilde{P}_f\varphi\|_p = \|P(\varphi \cdot u_f)\| \leq \|\varphi \cdot u_f\| \leq \|\varphi\|_p,$$

hence  $\tilde{P}_f$  is a contractive projection in  $L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ . Moreover  $\tilde{P}_f(N(f)) = N(f)$  and  $\tilde{P}_f\psi = 0$  for every  $\psi \in L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  disjoint from  $N(f)$ .

It results from Douglas' theorem (in case  $p = 1$ ) or Andô's theorem (in case  $p > 1$ ) that  $\tilde{P}_f$  is positive and

$$\tilde{P}_f(\varphi) = N(f)\mathbb{E}_{\nu_f}^{\mathcal{F}_f} \left( \frac{\mathbf{1}_{\text{Supp}(N(f))}\varphi}{N(f)} \right)$$

where  $\mathbb{E}_{\nu_f}^{\mathcal{F}_f}$  is the conditional expectation with respect to some subalgebra  $\mathcal{F}_f$  of  $\Sigma$  containing  $\mathbf{VS}(f)$  and to the measure  $\nu_f = N(f)^p d\mu$ . (We may assume that  $\Omega \setminus \mathbf{VS}(f)$  is an atom of  $\mathcal{F}_f$ ). In particular  $L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu) \cdot u_f \cap R(P) = L_p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_f, \nu_f) \cdot f$  is a  $L_\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_f, \mu)$ -module.

Let us denote  $\mathbb{E}^f\psi = \mathbb{E}_{\nu_f}^{\mathcal{F}_f}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f)}\psi)$ , we have then  $P(\psi \cdot f) = \mathbb{E}^f(\psi) \cdot f$  for every  $\psi \in L_\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ . Let now  $f, g \in R(P)$ . If  $g = h \cdot u_f$  with  $h \in L^p(\Omega)$  then  $\frac{h}{N(f)}$  is  $\mathcal{F}_f$ -measurable and for every  $\varphi \in L_\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}^g(\varphi) \cdot g = P(\varphi h \cdot u_f) = N(f)\mathbb{E}^f \left( \frac{\varphi \cdot h}{N(f)} \right) \cdot u_f = h\mathbb{E}^f(\varphi) \cdot u_f = \mathbb{E}^f(\varphi) \cdot g,$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{E}^g(\varphi) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(g)} \cdot \mathbb{E}^f(\varphi) = \mathbf{1}_{\text{Supp } h} \mathbb{E}^f(\varphi). \tag{16}$$

Let now  $g$  be a general element of  $R(P)$ . For every  $\varphi \in L_\infty(\Omega)$  the equation

$$P(\varphi \cdot (f + g)) = P(\varphi \cdot f) + P(\varphi \cdot g)$$

is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{E}^{f+g}(\varphi) \cdot (f + g) = \mathbb{E}^f(\varphi) \cdot f + \mathbb{E}^g(\varphi) \cdot g. \tag{17}$$

Let  $g = h \cdot u_f + g'$  be the orthogonal decomposition, i.e.  $h = \langle\langle g \mid u_f \rangle\rangle$  and  $g' \perp f$ . Note that  $h \cdot u_f = E_f g \in R(P)$ . Set  $A = \mathbf{VS}(f)$ ,  $B = \mathbf{VS}(g)$  and  $B' = \mathbf{VS}(g')$ . Taking the images of both sides of (17) by the orthogonal projection  $I - E_f$  we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}^{f+g}(\varphi) \cdot g' = \mathbb{E}^g(\varphi) \cdot g',$$

hence  $\mathbf{1}_{B'} \mathbb{E}^{f+g}(\varphi) = \mathbf{1}_{B'} \mathbb{E}^g(\varphi)$ . Then by (17) again,  $\mathbf{1}_{B'} \mathbb{E}^{f+g}(\varphi) f = \mathbf{1}_{B'} \mathbb{E}^f(\varphi) f$  and finally

$$\mathbf{1}_{A \cap B'} \mathbb{E}^{f+g}(\varphi) = \mathbf{1}_{A \cap B'} \mathbb{E}^f(\varphi) = \mathbf{1}_{A \cap B'} \mathbb{E}^g(\varphi). \tag{18}$$

On the other hand similarly to (17) we have

$$\mathbb{E}^{h \cdot u_f - g}(\varphi) \cdot (h \cdot u_f - g) = \mathbb{E}^{hu_f}(\varphi) \cdot hu_f - \mathbb{E}^g(\varphi) \cdot g.$$

Since  $h \cdot u_f - g = -g'$  we deduce that

$$\mathbf{1}_{\Omega \setminus B'} \mathbb{E}^{hu_f}(\varphi) \cdot hu_f = \mathbf{1}_{\Omega \setminus B'} \mathbb{E}^g(\varphi) \cdot g,$$

hence

$$\mathbf{1}_{B \setminus B'} \mathbb{E}^{hu_f}(\varphi) = \mathbf{1}_{B \setminus B'} \mathbb{E}^g(\varphi). \tag{19}$$

We have  $\mathbb{E}^{hu_f}(\varphi) = \mathbf{1}_{\text{Supp } h} \mathbb{E}^f(\varphi)$  by eq. (16). Hence since  $B \setminus B' \subset \text{Supp } h$ , eq. (19) gives

$$\mathbf{1}_{B \setminus B'} \mathbb{E}^f(\varphi) = \mathbf{1}_{B \setminus B'} \mathbb{E}^g(\varphi)$$

which together with eq. (18) gives

$$\mathbf{1}_{A \cap B} \mathbb{E}^f(\varphi) = \mathbf{1}_{A \cap B} \mathbb{E}^g(\varphi)$$

for every  $\varphi \in L_\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ . In particular

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f) \cap \mathbf{VS}(g)} &= \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f) \cap \mathbf{VS}(g)} \mathbb{E}^g(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(g)}) \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f) \cap \mathbf{VS}(g)} \mathbb{E}^f(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(g)}) \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f) \cap \mathbf{VS}(g)} \mathbb{E}^f(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f) \cap \mathbf{VS}(g)}), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\mathbb{E}^f(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f) \cap \mathbf{VS}(g)}) \geq \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f) \cap \mathbf{VS}(g)}$$

and since  $\mathbb{E}^f$  is a contraction in  $L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, N(f)^p \cdot \mu)$  we have in fact

$$\mathbb{E}^f(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f) \cap \mathbf{VS}(g)}) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f) \cap \mathbf{VS}(g)},$$

that is,  $\mathbf{VS}(f) \cap \mathbf{VS}(g) \in \mathcal{F}_f$ . In particular  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(g)} \cdot f = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f) \cap \mathbf{VS}(g)} \cdot f \in R(P)$ . More generally for every  $A \in \mathcal{F}_g$  its trace  $\mathbf{VS}(f) \cap A$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_f$  (as is easily seen by treating separately the cases  $A \subset \mathbf{VS}(g)$  and  $A = \Omega \setminus \mathbf{VS}(g)$ ). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra consisting of sets  $A \in \Sigma$  such that  $A \cap \mathbf{VS}(f)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_f$  for every  $f \in R(P)$ . Then for every  $f \in R(P)$  and  $\varphi \in L_0(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  the function  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f)} \varphi$  is  $\mathcal{F}$  measurable iff it is  $\mathcal{F}_f$ -measurable, and the Lemma follows.  $\square$



**Lemma 4.3.** *There is a weight  $w \in L_0(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  with support  $\mathbf{VS}(R(P))$  such that for every  $f \in R(P)$ ,  $w^{-1}N(f)$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable.*

*Proof.* a) First we claim that for every  $f, g \in R(P)$  then  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f)} \frac{N(g)}{N(f)}$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable. Since  $E_f g = \langle\langle g \mid u_f \rangle\rangle u_f \in R(P)$  by Lemma 2.2, it results from Lemma 4.2 that  $N(f)^{-1} \langle\langle g \mid u_f \rangle\rangle = N(f)^{-2} \langle\langle g \mid f \rangle\rangle$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable; hence its absolute value  $N(f)^{-2} |\langle\langle g \mid f \rangle\rangle|$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable, and similarly  $N(g)^{-2} |\langle\langle f \mid g \rangle\rangle|$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable too. Then the ratio of these functions, that is  $\mathbf{1}_{\text{Supp}\langle\langle g \mid f \rangle\rangle} N(g)^2 N(f)^{-2}$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable, and so is its square root  $\mathbf{1}_{\text{Supp}\langle\langle g \mid f \rangle\rangle} N(g) N(f)^{-1}$ . Replacing  $g$  by  $g_\varepsilon = g + \varepsilon f$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  we obtain that  $\mathbf{1}_{\text{Supp}\langle\langle g_\varepsilon \mid f \rangle\rangle} N(g_\varepsilon) N(f)^{-1}$  is  $\mathcal{F}$  measurable. When  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  we have  $g_\varepsilon \rightarrow g$ ,  $N(g_\varepsilon) \rightarrow N(g)$  (in  $L_p$ -norm) and  $\text{Supp}\langle\langle g_\varepsilon \mid f \rangle\rangle \rightarrow \text{Supp} N(f) = \mathbf{VS}(f)$  (in probability). At the limit  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f)} \frac{N(g)}{N(f)}$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable.

b) Let  $(f_i)_{i \in I}$  be a maximal family of non zero elements in  $R(P)$  with pairwise almost disjoint functional supports  $\mathbf{VS}(f_i)$ . Then  $\mathbf{VS}(R(P)) = \bigvee_{i \in I} \mathbf{VS}(f_i)$ : if  $f \in R(P)$  then, since  $S = \bigvee_{i \in I} \mathbf{VS}(f_i)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ , so does its complementary set  $S^c$ , and thus  $\mathbf{1}_{S^c} f \in R(P)$ ; then, by maximality of the family  $(f_i)$ , we have  $\mathbf{1}_{S^c} f = 0$ , that is,  $f = \mathbf{1}_S \cdot f$ . We set  $w = \sum_{i \in I} N(f_i)$  (which converges in  $L_0(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ ): this is a  $\Sigma$ -measurable weight with support  $\mathbf{VS}(R(P))$ . For every  $f \in R(P)$  and every  $i \in I$ ,  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f_i)} w^{-1} N(f) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f_i)} N(f_i)^{-1} N(f)$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable; hence  $w^{-1} N(f) = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f_i)} w^{-1} N(f)$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable.  $\square$

We can now give the

*Proof of the Thm. 0.1.* Consider the new measure  $\nu = w^p \cdot \mu$ , which has support  $\Omega_P = \mathbf{VS}(R(P))$  and set  $T : L_p(\Omega_P, \Sigma_P, \mu) \rightarrow L_p(\Omega_P, \Sigma_P, \nu)$ , defined by  $Tf = w^{-1} f$  (we denote by  $\Sigma_P$  the trace of  $\Sigma$  on  $\Omega_P$ ). Then  $T$  is an isometry;  $Y := (T \otimes \text{Id}_H)(R(P))$  is a  $L_\infty(\mathcal{F}_P)$ -module isometric to  $R(P)$  and for every  $f \in Y$  its new random norm  $\tilde{N}(f) = w^{-1} N(f)$  belongs to  $L_p(\Omega_P, \mathcal{F}_P, \nu)$ . It results from an argument in [13] that  $Y$  is isometric to  $(\bigoplus_{i \in I} L_p(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_{|\Omega_i}, \nu_{|\Omega_i}; H_i))_{\ell_p}$ , for some families  $(\Omega_i)$  of pairwise almost disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $(H_i)$  of Hilbert spaces. Set then  $\hat{w}_i = (\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_i} \cdot w^p \mid \mathcal{F}))^{1/p}$ , and define an isometry  $S_i : L_p(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_{|\Omega_i}, \nu_{|\Omega_i}) \rightarrow L_p(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_{|\Omega_i}, \mu_{|\Omega_i})$  by  $S_i f = \hat{w}_i \cdot f$ . Then each  $S_i \otimes \text{Id}_H$  is an onto isometry  $L_p(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_{|\Omega_i}, \nu_{|\Omega_i}; H_i) \rightarrow L_p(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_{|\Omega_i}, \mu_{|\Omega_i}; H_i)$ ; the collection of these isometries induces an isometry of the corresponding  $\ell_p$ -direct sums. The proof of Thm. 0.1 is complete.  $\square$

Let us finally adapt to the present situation the argument of [13] for the commodity of the reader (and for further reference in Section 5).

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \nu)$  be a localizable measure space,  $\mathcal{F}$  be a sub-sigma algebra such that  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \nu)$  is still localizable and  $H$  be a Hilbert space. Let  $Y$  be a closed  $L_\infty(\mathcal{F})$ -submodule of  $L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \nu; H)$  such that for every  $f \in Y$  its random norm  $N(f)$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable. Then there exist a family  $(\Omega_i)_{i \in I}$  of pairwise almost disjoint members of  $\mathcal{F}$ , a family  $(\mathcal{H}_i)$  of Hilbert spaces (of lower Hilbertian dimension than  $H$ ) and a random norm preserving isometry from  $Y$  onto  $(\bigoplus_{i \in I} L_p(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_{|\Omega_i}, \nu_{|\Omega_i}; \mathcal{H}_i))_{\ell_p}$ .*

*Proof.* Note that by an elementary polarization argument all the scalar products  $\langle\langle f | g \rangle\rangle$ ,  $f, g \in Y$  are  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable. Hence for every  $f \in Y$ , the projection  $E_f$  restricts to a projection from  $Y$  onto  $L_p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \cdot u_f$ . It results that for every closed  $L_\infty(\mathcal{F})$ -submodule  $Z$  of  $Y$  there is an orthogonal projection from  $Y$  onto  $Z$  (which is the restriction of the orthogonal projection from  $L_p(\Omega, \Sigma; H)$  onto the closed  $L_\infty(\Sigma)$ -submodule generated by  $Z$ ). In particular  $Y = Z \oplus (Z^\perp \cap Y)$ .

Remark that if  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  is  $\nu$ -sigma-finite and  $M \subset Y$  is a closed  $L_\infty(\mathcal{F})$ -submodule such that  $\mathbf{VS}(M) \supset A$  then there exists  $g \in M$  such that  $\mathbf{VS}(g) = A$ : take a maximal family  $(g_n)$  in  $M$  of norm-one elements with almost disjoint functional supports included in  $A$ ; this family is necessarily at most countable and  $\bigvee_n \mathbf{VS}(g_n) = A$ ; then set  $g = \sum_n 2^{-n} g_n$ .

Now we claim that for every  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $A \subset \mathbf{VS}(Y)$  with positive measure, there exists a  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable subset  $B$  of  $A$  of positive measure and a family of pairwise orthogonal element  $(f_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma_B}$ , such that  $\mathbf{VS}(f_\gamma) = B$  for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma_B$ , which generates  $\mathbf{1}_B \cdot Y$  as closed  $L_\infty(\mathcal{F})$ -submodule. For, let  $A' \subset A$  be a sigma-finite  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable subset with positive measure, and  $(g_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  be a maximal family of pairwise orthogonal elements of  $Y$  with  $\mathbf{VS}(g_\gamma) = A'$ . If this family generates  $\mathbf{1}_{A'} \cdot Y$  as closed  $L_\infty(\mathcal{F})$ -submodule we can take  $B = A'$ . If not, consider the set  $M = \{f \in Y \mid f \perp g_\gamma, \forall \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ . Then  $M$  is a closed  $L_\infty(\mathcal{F})$ -submodule of  $Y$ , and  $\mathbf{VS}(M) \not\subset A'$  by the maximality of  $(g_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  (and the preceding remark). Let  $B = A' \setminus \mathbf{VS}(M)$ , then  $(\mathbf{1}_B g_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is a maximal family in  $\mathbf{1}_B \cdot Y$  of nonzero, pairwise orthogonal elements of  $\mathbf{1}_B \cdot Y$ . Consequently it generates  $\mathbf{1}_B \cdot Y$  as  $L_\infty(\mathcal{F})$ -submodule, and moreover  $\mathbf{VS}(\mathbf{1}_B g_\gamma) = B$  for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

Let now  $(\Omega_i)_{i \in I}$  be a maximal family of  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable almost disjoint subsets of  $\mathbf{VS}(Y)$  of positive measure, such that there exists for each  $i \in I$  a family  $(f_\gamma^i)_{\gamma \in \Gamma_i}$  of pairwise orthogonal elements with  $\mathbf{VS}(f_\gamma^i) = \Omega_i$  for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma_i$ , which generates  $\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_i} \cdot Y$  as closed  $L_\infty(\mathcal{F})$ -submodule. By the claim, we have  $\bigvee_{i \in I} \Omega_i = \mathbf{VS}(Y)$ . Every  $f \in \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_i} \cdot Y$  can be written  $f = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_i} \varphi_\gamma f_\gamma^i$  with  $\varphi_\gamma \in L_0((\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_{|\Omega_i}, \nu)$ ; then  $N(f) = (\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_i} |\varphi_\gamma|^2 N(f_\gamma^i)^2)^{1/2} \in L_p(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_{|\Omega_i}, \nu)$ .

Note that, by refining if necessary the “partition”  $(\Omega_i)$  we may suppose that each  $\Omega_i$  has finite  $\nu$ -measure. Then, replacing each  $f_\gamma^i$  by  $u_{f_\gamma^i} = N(f_\gamma^i)^{-1} f_\gamma^i$ , we may assume that  $N(f_\gamma^i) = \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_i}$ . We have then  $N(f) = (\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_i} |\varphi_\gamma|^2)^{1/2}$  for each  $f = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_i} \varphi_\gamma f_\gamma^i$  in  $\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_i} \cdot Y$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_i = \ell^2(\Gamma_i)$ . Then  $T_i : L_p(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_{|\Omega_i}, \nu; \mathcal{H}_i) \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_i} \cdot Y$ ,  $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_i} \varphi_\gamma e_\gamma \mapsto \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_i} \varphi_\gamma u_{f_\gamma^i}$  is an (onto) isometry (preserving the random norm), and finally  $Y$  is isometric to  $(\bigoplus_{i \in I} L_p(\Omega_i; \mathcal{H}_i))_{\ell_p}$ .

For proving the assertion about the Hilbertian dimension of  $\mathcal{H}_i$ , suppose that for some  $i \in I$ , the Hilbertian dimension  $d_H$  of  $H$  is strictly smaller than that of  $\mathcal{H}_i$ ,  $d_{\mathcal{H}_i}$ . We distinguish two cases:

- (i) if  $H$  is finite dimensional: select a finite subset  $\Gamma'_i$  of  $\Gamma_i$  with cardinality  $d_H + 1$ ; since  $\langle\langle f_\gamma^i | f_\delta^i \rangle\rangle = 0$  for every  $\gamma \neq \delta \in \Gamma'_i$ , there exists  $\omega \in \Omega$  such that  $\langle\langle f_\gamma^i | f_\delta^i \rangle\rangle(\omega) = 0$ , i.e. the vectors  $f_\gamma^i(\omega)$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma'_i$  of  $H$  are pairwise orthogonal: a

contradiction.

- (ii) if  $H$  is infinite dimensional: for every  $x \in H$  the set  $\{\gamma \in \Gamma_i \mid \langle\langle f_\gamma^i \mid \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_i} x \rangle\rangle \neq 0\}$  is at most countable (since  $\sum_\gamma |\langle\langle f_\gamma^i \mid \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_i} x \rangle\rangle|^2 \leq N(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_i} \cdot x)^2 = \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_i} \|x\|^2$ ), hence if  $D$  is a dense set in  $H$  of cardinality  $d_H$ , the set  $\{\gamma \in \Gamma_i \mid \exists x \in D, \langle\langle f_\gamma^i \mid \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_i} x \rangle\rangle \neq 0\}$  has cardinality  $d_H < d_{\mathcal{H}_i} = \#\Gamma_i$ . Hence there exists some  $\gamma \in \Gamma_i$  such that  $f_\gamma^i \perp \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_i} x$  for every  $x \in D$ , and thus for every  $x \in H$ , which means  $f_\gamma^i = 0$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

*Remark 4.5.* The final argument in the proof of Lemma 4.4 shows indeed that if  $L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \nu; \mathcal{H})$  embeds in  $L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \nu; H)$  by a modularly isometric map then  $\dim \mathcal{H} \leq \dim H$ .

*Remark 4.6.* In a forthcoming paper ([10]) it will be proved that contractively complemented sublattices of  $L_p(L_q)$  are isometric to “abstract  $L_p(L_q)$  spaces”, i.e. bands in  $L_p(L_q)$  spaces. Let us show how this permits to deduce shortly the essence of Thm. 0.1 from Lemma 4.1.

As in the proof of Lemma 4.1 let  $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  be a maximal family of non zero, pairwise orthogonal elements of  $R(P)$  and  $Z = \bigoplus_\alpha L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu) \cdot u_{f_\alpha}$  be the closed  $L_\infty(\Sigma)$ -submodule generated by  $R(P)$ . There is clearly a  $\Sigma$ -modular isometry  $U$  from the closed submodule  $Z$  onto a band  $Y$  of the Banach lattice  $L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu; \mathcal{H})$  where  $\mathcal{H}$  is the discrete Banach lattice  $\ell_2(A)$ , such that  $Ue_\alpha = N(f_\alpha)e_\alpha$ , where  $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  is a Hilbertian basis of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $P|_Z$  is similar by  $U$  to a contractive projection  $\hat{P}$  of  $Y$  which preserves the spaces  $Y_\alpha = L_p(A_\alpha) \cdot e_\alpha$  (where  $A_\alpha = \text{Supp } N(f_\alpha)$ ) by Lemma 2.1 if  $p = 1$  and Cor. 3.6 if  $p > 1$ , as well as the elements  $N(f_\alpha) \cdot e_\alpha$ . By the classical (scalar) theorem of Douglas if  $p = 1$ , and if  $p > 1$ ,  $\hat{P}|_{Y_\alpha}$  is positive and its image is a sublattice of  $Y_\alpha$ . Since  $Y = \bigoplus_\alpha Y_\alpha$  is a decomposition in disjoint subbands,  $\hat{P}$  is itself positive and its range is a sublattice of  $Y$ , hence of  $L_p(\mathcal{H})$ . By the analysis of contractive projections on sublattices in  $L_p(L_q)$ -spaces developed in [10], the range  $R(\hat{P})$  is an abstract  $L_p(L_2)$ -space, hence by [13] it is Banach-isometric to a  $\ell_p$ -direct sum  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} L_p(\Omega_i, H_i)$ , where the  $H_i$  are Hilbert spaces.  $\square$

### 5. Structure of the contractive projections

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $p \neq 2$ . For every contractive projection  $P$  of  $L_p(H)$  there exist a family  $(u_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  of pairwise orthogonal elements of  $L_\infty(H)$ , a positive contractive projection  $\tilde{P}$  of  $L_p(\Omega)$  and, if  $p = 1$ , a contractive linear operator  $V : L_1(H) \rightarrow L_1(H)$  verifying  $\ker V \supset \mathbf{1}_A L_1(H)$  where  $A = \bigvee_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathbf{V}\mathbf{S}(u_\gamma)$ , and  $R(V) \subset \sum_\gamma R(\tilde{P}) \cdot u_\gamma$ , such that:*

$$Pf = \begin{cases} \sum_\gamma \tilde{P}(\langle\langle f \mid u_\gamma \rangle\rangle) u_\gamma & \text{if } p \neq 1, \\ \sum_\gamma \tilde{P}(\langle\langle f \mid u_\gamma \rangle\rangle) u_\gamma + V(f) & \text{if } p = 1, \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

for every  $f \in L_p(H)$ .

Conversely for every family  $(u_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  of pairwise orthogonal elements of  $L_\infty(H)$ , every positive contractive projection  $\tilde{P}$  of  $L_p(\Omega)$  [and every linear contraction  $V$  of  $L_1(H)$  satisfying the previous conditions of kernel and range in the case  $p = 1$ ], the formula (20) defines a contractive projection  $P$  of  $L_p(H)$ .

Moreover if  $p \neq 1$  the inequality  $N(Pf) \leq \tilde{P}(N(f))$  holds for every  $f \in L_p(H)$  [this happens also for a contractive projection of  $L_1(H)$  for which the operator  $V$  of formula (20) is zero]. ( $\tilde{P}$  is a “majorizing  $L_p$ -contraction” for  $P$  in the terminology of [8]).

The proof of Thm. 5.1 will require the two following Lemmas, the first of which is specific to the  $p = 1$  case:

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $P$  be a contractive projection in  $L_1(H)$ . Then  $Pf = 0$  for every  $f \in L_1(H)$  with  $\mathbf{VS}(f) \subset \mathbf{VS}(R(P))$  and  $f \perp R(P)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $f \perp R(P)$  and  $\mathbf{VS}(f) \subset \mathbf{VS}(h)$  for some  $h \in R(P)$ . Then  $g := Pf + \mathbf{1}_{(\mathbf{VS}(Pf))^c} h$  belongs to  $R(P)$  and  $\mathbf{VS}(g) \supset \mathbf{VS}(f) \cup \mathbf{VS}(Pf)$ . We have for every  $t > 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \int (N(g)^2 + t^2 N(f)^2)^{1/2} d\mu &= \|g + tf\| \\ &\geq \|P(g + tf)\| = \|g + tPf\| \\ &= (1 + t)\|Pf\| + \|\mathbf{1}_{(\mathbf{VS}(Pf))^c} \cdot h\| \\ &= \|g\| + t\|Pf\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$\|Pf\| \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\|g + tf\| - \|g\|}{t} \right) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int \frac{(N(g)^2 + t^2 N(f)^2)^{1/2} - N(g)}{t} d\mu = 0. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $P$  be a contractive projection in  $L_p(H)$ . There exists a positive contractive projection  $\tilde{P}$  on  $L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  such that  $P(\varphi \cdot u_f) = (\tilde{P}\varphi) \cdot u_f$  for every  $f \in R(P)$  and  $\varphi \in L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Lemma 4.2 and  $w$  be the weight of Lemma 4.3. Define  $\tilde{P}_f(\varphi)$  as in the proof of Lemma 4.2. Recall that for every  $f \in R(P)$  the function  $w^{-1}N(f)$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable. We have then for every  $h \in L_\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \int \tilde{P}_f(\varphi) h N(f)^{p-1} d\mu &= \int \mathbb{E}_{N(f)^p, \mu}^{\mathcal{F}}(N(f)^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f)} \varphi) h N(f)^p \cdot d\mu \\ &= \int \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f)} \varphi \cdot h N(f)^{p-1} \cdot d\mu \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(R(P))} w^{-1} \varphi) \cdot (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f)} h(w^{-1} N(f))^{p-1}) w^p \cdot d\mu \\ &= \int \mathbb{E}_{w^p \mu}^{\mathcal{F}} (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(R(P))} w^{-1} \varphi) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f)} h(w^{-1} N(f))^{p-1} w^p \cdot d\mu \\ &= \int \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f)} w \mathbb{E}_{w^p \mu}^{\mathcal{F}} (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(R(P))} w^{-1} \varphi) h N(f)^{p-1} d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\tilde{P}_f \varphi = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f)} \tilde{P} \varphi$  if we set  $\tilde{P} \varphi = w \mathbb{E}_{w^p \mu}^{\mathcal{F}} (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(R(P))} w^{-1} \varphi)$  for every  $\varphi \in L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ . Then  $\tilde{P}$  is a positive contractive projection in  $L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  and  $P(\varphi \cdot u_f) = \tilde{P}(\varphi) \cdot u_f$  for every  $f \in R(P)$  and  $\varphi \in L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Thm. 5.1.* Let  $Q$  be the orthogonal projection from  $L_p(H)$  onto the closed submodule generated by  $R(P)$ . It results from Lemma 4.1 that if  $(f_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$  is a maximal family of pairwise orthogonal elements of  $R(P)$  then  $Q = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} E_{f_\gamma}$  (convergence for s.o.t.), hence  $PQ = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} PE_{f_\gamma}$ . If  $p > 1$  we know by Cor. 3.6 that  $E_{f_\gamma} P = PE_{f_\gamma}$  for every  $\gamma$ , hence  $P = QP = PQ$ . If  $p = 1$  let  $\Pi : L_1(H) \rightarrow L_1(H)$  the projection defined by  $\Pi f = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(R(P))} \cdot f$ , then  $\Pi$  and  $I - \Pi$  are contractive. We have  $Q\Pi = \Pi Q = Q$  and it results from the preceding Lemma 5.2 that  $P(I - Q)\Pi = 0$ . Hence  $P = PQ + V$ , where  $V = P(I - \Pi)$ .

Let us express now  $PE_f$  when  $f \in R(P)$ . If  $\tilde{P}$  is the positive projection in  $L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  defined in Lemma 5.3 we have for every  $g \in L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu; H)$

$$PE_f g = P(\langle\langle g | u_f \rangle\rangle \cdot u_f) = \tilde{P}(\langle\langle g | u_f \rangle\rangle) \cdot u_f$$

The formula (20) in Thm. 5.1 is now clear if we set  $u_\gamma = u_{f_\gamma}$ .

Conversely given  $(u_\gamma)$ ,  $\tilde{P}$  and  $V$ , let us prove first that  $P$  is a contraction. We have for every finite subset  $G$  of  $\Gamma$  (using the positivity of  $\tilde{P}$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} N\left(\sum_{\gamma \in G} \tilde{P}(\langle\langle f | u_\gamma \rangle\rangle) u_\gamma\right) &= \left(\sum_{\gamma \in G} |\tilde{P}(\langle\langle f | u_\gamma \rangle\rangle)|^2\right)^{1/2} \\ &= \bigvee \left\{ \left| \sum_{\gamma \in G} a_\gamma \tilde{P}(\langle\langle f | u_\gamma \rangle\rangle) \right| \mid a_\gamma \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_{\gamma \in G} |a_\gamma|^2 \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\leq \tilde{P} \left( \bigvee \left\{ \left| \sum_{\gamma \in G} a_\gamma \langle\langle f | u_\gamma \rangle\rangle \right| \mid a_\gamma \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_{\gamma \in G} |a_\gamma|^2 \leq 1 \right\} \right) \\ &= \tilde{P} \left( \left( \sum_{\gamma \in G} |\langle\langle f | u_\gamma \rangle\rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\|\sum_{\gamma \in G} \tilde{P}(\langle\langle f | u_\gamma \rangle\rangle) u_\gamma\|^p \leq \int (\sum_{\gamma \in G} |\langle\langle f | u_\gamma \rangle\rangle|^2)^{p/2} d\mu$  and the sum  $P_0 f := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \tilde{P}(\langle\langle f | u_\gamma \rangle\rangle) u_\gamma$  converges in  $L_p(H)$ . Moreover

$$N(P_0 f) \leq \tilde{P} \left( \left( \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\langle\langle f | u_\gamma \rangle\rangle|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right) \leq \tilde{P}(N(\mathbf{1}_A \cdot f))$$

(see section 1.2 and the proof of Lemma 1.1) and

$$\|P_0 f\| \leq \|\mathbf{1}_A \cdot f\|$$

where  $A = \bigvee_{\gamma} \mathbf{V}\mathbf{S}(u_{\gamma})$ . That  $P_0$  is a projection follows immediately from the fact that  $\tilde{P}$  is. If  $p = 1$  we have to care with the contraction  $V$ . Since  $\|Vf\| \leq \|\mathbf{1}_{A^c} \cdot f\|$  we obtain  $\|Pf\| \leq \|\mathbf{1}_A \cdot f\| + \|\mathbf{1}_{A^c} \cdot f\| = \|f\|$ . Then since  $VP_0 = 0$ ,  $P_0V = V$ , it follows clearly that  $P = P_0 + V$  is a projection.  $\square$

We can now give the structure theorem for contractive projections:

**Theorem 5.4.** *For every contractive projection  $P$  of  $L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu; H)$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $p \neq 2$ ) there exist:*

- a modularly isometric automorphism  $W$  of  $L_p(H)$ ;
- a family  $(\Omega_i)_{i \in I}$  of pairwise almost disjoint  $\Sigma$ -measurable subsets of  $\Omega$  of positive measure;
- a family  $(\mathcal{H}_i)_{i \in I}$  of Hilbert spaces;
- for every  $i \in I$  a (strong operator) measurable family  $(U_{i,\omega})_{\omega \in \Omega}$  of isometric embeddings of  $\mathcal{H}_i$  into  $H$ ;
- a positive contractive projection  $\tilde{P}$  of  $L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  commuting with the band projections associated with the sets  $\Omega_i$ ;
- and if  $p = 1$  a contraction  $V$  from  $L_1(S, \Sigma|_S, \mu|_S; H)$  into  $R(P)$ , where  $S = \Omega \setminus \bigvee_i \Omega_i$

such that (setting  $V = 0$  if  $p > 1$ ):

$$P = WU \left( \sum_i \tilde{P}M_{\Omega_i} \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{H}_i} \right) U^{\sharp} W^{-1} + V$$

where  $M_{\Omega_i}$  denotes the multiplication operator by the characteristic function  $\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_i}$ ;  $U$  is the modularly isometric embedding of  $\bigoplus L_p(\Omega_i, \Sigma|_{\Omega_i}, \mu|_{\Omega_i}; \mathcal{H}_i)$  into  $L_p(H)$  naturally associated with the family  $(U_{i,\omega})_{i \in I, \omega \in \Omega}$  by mean of the formula:

$$(Uf)(\omega) = U_{i,\omega}(f(\omega)) \quad \text{when } \omega \in \Omega_i$$

and similarly  $U^{\sharp} : L_p(H) \rightarrow \bigoplus L_p(\Omega_i, \Sigma|_{\Omega_i}, \mu|_{\Omega_i}; \mathcal{H}_i)$  is the modularly contractive map associated with the family  $(U_{i,\omega}^*)_{i \in I, \omega \in \Omega}$ .

*Remark 5.5.* In fact the families  $(U_{i,\omega})_{\omega \in \Omega}$  may be chosen locally constant, i.e. there is a partition of  $\Omega_i$  in  $\Sigma$ -measurable subsets of positive measure on which  $U_{i,\omega}$  is constant.

*Remark 5.6.* In the case where  $H$  is separable, it is a standard (and easy) fact that every modularly isometric automorphism  $W$  of  $L_p(H)$  is associated with a measurable family  $(W_\omega)_{\omega \in \Omega}$  of unitary operators on  $H$ ; so we recover the Theorem 0.3 of the Introduction.

*Proof.* By the proof of Thm. 0.1 in Section 4, there are a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\Sigma$ , a family  $(\Omega_i)_{i \in I}$  of pairwise almost disjoint elements of  $\mathcal{F}$ , a positive weight  $w$  on  $\Omega$  with support  $\bigvee_{i \in I} \Omega_i$ , a family  $(\mathcal{H}_i)_{i \in I}$  of Hilbert spaces and for every  $i \in I$  an isometry  $T_i$  from  $L_p(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}|_{\Omega_i}, \nu|_{\Omega_i}; \mathcal{H}_i)$  into  $L_p(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}|_{\Omega_i}, \nu|_{\Omega_i}; H)$  such that  $R(P) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} w \cdot R(T_i)$  and moreover  $N(T_i f) = N(f)$  for all  $f \in L_p(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}|_{\Omega_i}, \nu|_{\Omega_i}; \mathcal{H}_i)$  (recall that  $\nu = w^p \cdot \mu$ ). Moreover  $P$  commutes with the action of  $L_\infty(\mathcal{F})$ , in particular with the multiplication operators  $M_{\Omega_i}$ .

Each  $T_i$  extends uniquely to a modularly isometric map  $\tilde{T}_i$  from  $L_p(\Omega_i, \Sigma|_{\Omega_i}, \nu|_{\Omega_i}; \mathcal{H}_i)$  onto the closed  $L_\infty(\Sigma)$ -submodule generated by  $R(T_i)$  in  $L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \nu; H)$ : set simply  $\tilde{T}_i(\sum_k \varphi_k f_k) = \sum_k \varphi_k T_i(f_k)$  when  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in L_\infty(\Omega_i, \Sigma|_{\Omega_i})$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in L_p(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}|_{\Omega_i}, \nu|_{\Omega_i}; \mathcal{H}_i)$  and verify that  $N(\sum_k \varphi_k \tilde{T}_i(f_k)) = N(\sum_k \varphi_k f_k)$  (since  $T_i$  preserves the random scalar products).

Now define  $S_i : L_p(\Omega_i, \Sigma|_{\Omega_i}, \mu|_{\Omega_i}; \mathcal{H}_i) \rightarrow L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu; H)$  by  $S_i f = w \tilde{T}_i(w^{-1} f)$ : the range  $R(S_i) = w R(\tilde{T}_i)$  is exactly  $\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_i} \cdot Z$ , where  $Z$  is the closed  $L_\infty(\Sigma)$ -submodule generated by  $R(P)$ . We can glue up the maps  $S_i$  and obtain a modularly isometric embedding  $S$  from  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} L_p(\Omega_i, \Sigma|_{\Omega_i}, \mu|_{\Omega_i}; \mathcal{H}_i)$  into  $L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu; H)$ , with range  $R(S) = Z$ .

By Lemma 5.3 there exists a positive projection  $\tilde{P}$  on  $L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  such that  $P(\varphi \cdot u_f) = (\tilde{P}\varphi) \cdot u_f$  for every  $f \in R(P)$  and  $\varphi \in L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ . Note that  $\tilde{P}$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -modular, in particular it commutes with every multiplication operator  $M_{\Omega_i}$ ,  $i \in I$ .

If  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  is a  $\nu$ -integrable subset of  $\Omega_i$  and  $e \in \mathcal{H}_i$  we have  $S_i(\mathbf{1}_A w \otimes e) = w T_i(\mathbf{1}_A \otimes e) \in R(P)$ , and  $N(S_i(\mathbf{1}_A \cdot w \otimes e)) = N(\mathbf{1}_A \cdot w \otimes e) = \mathbf{1}_A \cdot w$ , and consequently for  $f = S_i(\mathbf{1}_A w \otimes e)$  we have  $f = w \cdot u_f$ . Thus for every  $\psi \in L_\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu) \cap L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} P S_i(\psi \mathbf{1}_A w \otimes e) &= P(\psi \cdot w u_f) = \tilde{P}(\psi \cdot w \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_i}) \cdot u_f = \tilde{P}(\psi \cdot w \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_i}) \cdot w^{-1} S_i(\mathbf{1}_A w \otimes e) \\ &= S_i(\tilde{P}(\psi \cdot w \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_i}) \cdot w^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{1}_A w \otimes e) = S_i(\tilde{P}(\psi \cdot w \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_i}) \mathbf{1}_A \otimes e), \end{aligned}$$

hence by linearity and density we have for every  $\varphi \in L_p(\Omega_i, \Sigma|_{\Omega_i}, \mu|_{\Omega_i})$  and  $e \in \mathcal{H}_i$ :

$$P S_i(\varphi \otimes e) = S_i(\tilde{P}(\varphi) \otimes e),$$

that is, the restriction of  $P$  to  $\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_i} \cdot Z$  is similar by  $S_i$  to the projection  $\tilde{P} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_i}$ ; consequently the restriction of  $P$  to  $Z$  is similar by  $S$  to the projection  $\sum_{i \in I} \tilde{P} M_{\Omega_i} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_i}$ .

In the case where  $Z = L_p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu; H)$  we have necessarily  $\dim H = \dim \mathcal{H}_i$  for every  $i \in I$  since  $S_i$  is a modularly isometric map from  $L_p(\Omega_i; \mathcal{H}_i)$  onto  $\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_i} \cdot Z =$

$L_p(\Omega_i; H)$  (see Remark 4.5). Thus we may assume that  $\mathcal{H}_i = H$  and the conclusion of Thm. 5.4 is obtained with  $W = S$  and  $U = \text{Id}$ .

In the general case we apply Lemma 4.4 to the  $L_\infty(\Sigma)$  submodule  $Z^\perp$ . We find a family  $(\Omega'_j)_{j \in J}$  of pairwise almost disjoint members of  $\Sigma$ , a family  $(\mathcal{K}_j)_{j \in J}$  of Hilbert spaces and a modularly isometric map  $S'$  from  $(\bigoplus_{j \in J} L_p(\Omega'_j, \Sigma|_{\Omega'_j}, \mu|_{\Omega'_j}; \mathcal{K}_j))_{\ell_p}$  onto  $Z^\perp$ . Note that now the sets  $\Omega'_j$  have no reason to belong to the smaller  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$ . We have  $\bigvee_j \Omega'_j = \mathbf{VS}(Z^\perp)$ . For the commodity of the notation we may assume  $\bigvee_j \Omega'_j = \Omega$ , adding if necessary one extra set  $\Omega'_0 = \Omega \setminus \bigvee_j \Omega'_j$  for which we set  $\mathcal{K}_0 = \{0\}$ , the 0-dimensional Hilbert space. Similarly, up to the cost of adding one extra set  $\Omega_0 = \Omega \setminus \Omega_P$  and setting  $\mathcal{H}_0 = \{0\}$ , we may assume that  $\bigvee_i \Omega_i = \Omega$ . We may also refine the partition  $(\Omega'_j)$  by setting  $\Omega'_{ij} = \Omega_i \cap \Omega'_j$  and removing the  $\Omega'_{ij}$  which are almost void. This operation gives a doubly indexed family  $(\Omega'_{ij})_{i \in I, j \in J_i}$ .

For every  $i \in I, j \in J_i$ , set  $L_{ij} = \mathcal{H}_i \oplus \mathcal{K}_j$  (direct Hilbertian sum). Then  $L_p(\Omega'_{ij}; \mathcal{H}_i)$  and  $L_p(\Omega'_{ij}; \mathcal{K}_j)$  identify naturally to a pair of mutually orthogonal  $L_\infty(\Sigma)$ -submodules of  $L_p(\Omega'_{ij}; L_{ij})$ : if  $u_{ij}^0$  and  $u_{ij}^0$  are the inclusion maps of  $\mathcal{H}_i$ , resp.  $\mathcal{K}_j$  into  $L_{ij}$  then  $U_{ij}^0 = \text{id} \otimes u_{ij}^0$  and  $U_{ij}^0 = \text{id} \otimes u_{ij}^0$  are the corresponding embeddings of  $L_p(\Omega'_{ij}; \mathcal{H}_i)$  and  $L_p(\Omega'_{ij}; \mathcal{K}_j)$  into  $L_p(\Omega'_{ij}; L_{ij})$ . Since  $u_{ij}^{0*}$  and  $u_{ij}^{0*}$  are the orthogonal projections  $L_{ij} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_i$ , resp.  $L_{ij} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_j$ , we see that  $U_{ij}^{0\#}$  and  $U_{ij}^{0\#}$  are the orthogonal projections (in the sense given in Section 1.2) onto  $L_p(\Omega'_{ij}; \mathcal{H}_i)$ , resp.  $L_p(\Omega'_{ij}; \mathcal{K}_j)$ .

Now define  $W_{ij}^0 : L_p(\Omega'_{ij}; L_{ij}) \rightarrow L_p(\Omega'_{ij}; H)$  by  $W_{ij}^0 f = S_i(U_{ij}^{0\#} f) + S'_j(U_{ij}^{0\#} f)$ : we have

$$N(W_{ij}^0 f)^2 = N(S_i(U_{ij}^{0\#} f))^2 + N(S'_j(U_{ij}^{0\#} f))^2 = N(U_{ij}^{0\#} f)^2 + N(U_{ij}^{0\#} f)^2 = N(f)^2$$

since  $S_i$  and  $S'_j$  are modularly isometric and have values in orthogonal subspaces  $Z$ , resp.  $Z^\perp$ . Hence  $W_{ij}^0$  is modularly isometric and  $R(W_{ij}^0) = \mathbf{1}_{\Omega'_{ij}} Z + \mathbf{1}_{\Omega'_{ij}} Z^\perp = L_p(\Omega'_{ij}; H)$ .

We know by the proof of Thm. 5.1 that  $P = PQ + V$ , where  $Q$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $Z$ . Since  $V$  satisfies the requirements of the theorem, we look only for a representation of  $P_0 = PQ$ . From the first part of the proof we know that  $P_0 S_i = S_i(\tilde{P} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_i})$ ; on the other hand  $P_0 S'_j = 0$  since  $R(S'_j) \subset Z^\perp = \ker Q$ . Hence, for every  $f \in L_p(\Omega_{ij}; L_{ij})$ ,

$$P_0 W_{ij}^0 f = P_0 S_i U_{ij}^{0\#} f + P_0 S'_j U_{ij}^{0\#} f = S_i(\tilde{P} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_i}) U_{ij}^{0\#} f = W_{ij}^0 U_{ij}^0 (\tilde{P} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_i}) U_{ij}^{0\#} f$$

i.e.  $P_0$  is similar by  $W_{ij}^0$  to  $U_{ij}^0 (\tilde{P} M_{\Omega'_{ij}} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_i}) U_{ij}^{0\#}$ .

Since  $L_p(\Omega_{ij}; L_{ij})$  is modularly isometric to  $L_p(\Omega_{ij}; H)$  (by  $W_{ij}^0$ ), we have  $\dim L_{ij} = \dim H$  by Rem. 4.5, so we may identify  $L_{ij}$  with  $H$  by an isomorphism  $\theta_{ij}$ . This isomorphism induces in turn a modular isometry  $\Theta_{ij} = \text{Id} \otimes \theta_{ij}$  from  $L_p(\Omega'_{ij}; L_{ij})$  onto  $L_p(\Omega'_{ij}; H)$ . Set  $W_{ij} = W_{ij}^0 \Theta_{ij}^{-1}$ : then  $W_{ij}$  is a modular automorphism of  $L_p(\Omega'_{ij}; H)$ . Let also  $u_{ij} = \theta_{ij} \circ u_{ij}^0$  be the embedding of  $\mathcal{H}_i$  into  $H$  resulting from this identification



and  $U_{ij} = \text{id}_{L_p(\Omega_{ij})} \otimes u_{ij} = \Theta_{ij} U_{ij}^0$  be the associated embedding of  $L_p(\Omega_{ij}; \mathcal{H}_i)$  into  $L_p(\Omega_{ij}; \mathcal{H})$ . Since  $\Theta_{ij}^{-1} = \Theta_{ij}^\sharp$  we see that  $P_0$  is similar by  $W_{ij}$  to  $U_{ij}(\tilde{P}M_{\Omega'_{ij}} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_i})U_{ij}^\sharp$ .

Finally we glue up the automorphisms  $W_{ij}$  to an automorphism  $W$  of  $L_p(\Omega; \mu; H)$  by setting

$$Wf = \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J_i} W_{ij} M_{\Omega'_{ij}} f$$

and similarly we glue up the embeddings  $U_{ij}$  to an embedding  $U$  of  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} L_p(\Omega_i; \mathcal{H}_i)$  into  $L_p(\Omega; H)$ . The maps  $W$  and  $U$  are still modularly isometric and  $P_0$  is similar by  $W$  to  $U(\sum_{i \in I} \tilde{P}M_{\Omega_i} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_i})U^\sharp$ .  $\square$

### 6. Annex: a proof of Corollary 3.6 specific to the complex case

The following proof is an adaptation of that of Thm. 4.1 in [2]. We assume that  $2 < p < \infty$  (the case  $1 < p < 2$  follows by duality).

If  $f \in R(P)$  we introduce besides the projection  $E_f$  (defined in §1) the operators  $F_f$  and  $G_f$  defined by

$$F_f g = \mathbf{1}_{\text{vs}(f)^c} g ; G_f g = \mathbf{1}_{\text{vs}(f)} g - E_f g.$$

Then  $E_f, G_f$  and  $F_f$  are commuting modularly contractive projections in  $L_p(H)$  with  $E_f + F_f + G_f = I$ .

Let  $f, g \in R(P)$ , then the elements  $A(f, g)$  and  $B(f, g)$  defined in §3 (eqs. (5) and (5bis)) belong to the range of  $P^*$ ; so do the sum and difference:  $M_f(g) := A(f, g) + B(f, g)$  and  $\Gamma_f(g) := \frac{p}{p-2}[A(f, g) - B(f, g)]$  belong to  $R(P^*)$ . Set

$$Q_f g = \langle\langle u_f, g \rangle\rangle u_f.$$

We have then

$$\begin{aligned} M_f(g) &= N(f)^{p-2}[2g + (p-2)E_f g] \\ \Gamma_f(g) &= pN(f)^{p-2}Q_f g \end{aligned}$$

Then  $M_f$ , resp.  $\Gamma_f$  are bounded linear, resp. antilinear operators from  $L_p(H)$  into  $L_{p^*}(H)$ , and  $Q_f$  is a contractive antilinear endomorphism of  $L_p(H)$  such that  $Q_f^2 = E_f$ ; moreover:

$$M_f P = P^* M_f P, \quad \Gamma_f P = P^* \Gamma_f P. \tag{21}$$

Consider the positive symmetric bounded bilinear form defined on  $L_p(H)$  by

$$(g, h)_f := \langle M_f(g), h \rangle = \int N(f)^{p-2} \langle\langle (2I + (p-2)E_f)g \mid h \rangle\rangle d\mu$$

Note that  $\Gamma_f = M_f Q_f = Q_{J_p, f} M_f$  and  $Q_f^* = Q_{J_p, f}$ ; then  $Q_f$  is hermitian for  $(\cdot, \cdot)_f$  since

$$\begin{aligned} (Q_f g, h)_f &= \langle M_f Q_f g, h \rangle = \langle Q_f g, M_f h \rangle \\ &= \langle Q_{J_p, f} M_f h, g \rangle = \langle M_f Q_f h, g \rangle = (Q_f h, g)_f \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand  $P$  is hermitian for  $(\cdot, \cdot)_f$  since (using (21))

$$\begin{aligned} (P g, h)_f &= \langle M_f P g, h \rangle = \langle P^* M_f P g, h \rangle = \langle M_f P g, P h \rangle = (P g, P h)_f \\ &= \overline{(P h, P g)_f} = \overline{(P h, g)_f} = (g, P h)_f \end{aligned}$$

Let  $N_f$  be the kernel of the form  $(\cdot, \cdot)_f$ : we have  $g \in N_f$  iff  $(g, g)_f = 0$  iff  $(g, h)_f = 0$  for all  $h \in L_p(H)$  (by Cauchy-Schwartz inequality). Then  $P N_f \subset N_f$  since

$$(P g, P g)_f = (g, P g)_f = 0 \quad \text{if } g \in N_f$$

On the other hand the operator  $2 \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f)} + (p-2)E_f$  maps  $L_p(H)$  onto  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f)} L_p(H)$ ; hence  $g \in N_f$  iff  $\langle N(f)^{p-2} g, h \rangle = 0$  for every  $h \in \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f)} L_p(H)$  iff  $N(f)^{p-2} g = 0$  iff  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{VS}(f)} g = 0$ .

We have thus  $R(F_f) = N_f$  and consequently

$$P F_f = F_f P F_f$$

Since  $L_p(H)$  is a strictly convex Banach space as well as its dual, we have by the auxiliary Lemma 6.1 below:

$$P F_f = F_f P$$

Let us show that  $Q_f P$  is hermitian for  $(\cdot, \cdot)_f$ , using eq. (21) again:

$$\begin{aligned} (Q_f P g, h)_f &= \langle M_f Q_f P g, h \rangle = \langle \Gamma_f P g, h \rangle \\ &= \langle P^* \Gamma_f P g, h \rangle = \langle \Gamma_f P g, P h \rangle \\ &= (Q_f P g, P h)_f = (Q_f P h, P g)_f \\ &= (Q_f P h, g)_f \end{aligned}$$

Since  $Q_f$  and  $P$  are separately hermitian for  $(\cdot, \cdot)_f$  we have

$$(Q_f P g, h)_f = (P Q_f h, g)_f,$$

hence  $(P Q_f - Q_f P)h \in N_f$ , i.e.  $(I - F_f)P Q_f = (I - F_f)Q_f P$ . Composing on the left by  $G_f$  and on the right by  $Q_f$ , or conversely, we obtain

$$G_f P E_f = 0 = E_f P G_f.$$

Since, on the other hand,

$$F_f P E_f = P F_f E_f = 0 = E_f F_f P = E_f P F_f,$$

we obtain

$$PE_f = E_fPE_f = E_fP. \quad \square$$

We state now and give a proof of the announced auxiliary Lemma.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $X$  be a strictly convex Banach space with strictly convex dual, and  $P, Q$  two contractive projections on  $X$ . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $PQ$  is a projection.
- (ii)  $PQ = QPQ$ .
- (iii)  $PQ = PQP$ .

*If moreover the complementary projection  $Q^\perp$  is contractive too then  $PQ = QP$ .*

*Proof.* If (ii) is verified then  $(PQ)^2 = PQPQ = P \cdot PQ = PQ$ ; while if (iii) is verified then  $(PQ)^2 = PQPQ = PQ \cdot Q = PQ$ . Hence both (ii) and (iii) imply (i) (without any contractiveness assumption). Conversely if (i) is verified then for every  $x \in R(PQ)$  we have  $x = Qx = PQx$  (by [2, Prop. 1.1 (iii)]); only the strict convexity of  $X$  is needed so  $x \in R(P) \cap R(Q)$ . Since the converse is trivial, we see that  $R(PQ) = R(P) \cap R(Q)$ ; in particular  $QPQ = PQ$  and (ii) is verified. Dualizing we have that  $P^*, Q^*$  and  $Q^*P^*$  are contractive projections in  $X^*$ ; hence  $Q^*P^* = P^*Q^*P^*$ , so  $PQ = PQP$  and (iii) is verified. Now (iii) implies  $PQ^\perp = PQ^\perp P$ , and if  $Q^\perp$  is contractive this implies  $PQ^\perp = Q^\perp PQ^\perp$  by the preceding. Then

$$Q = PQ + PQ^\perp = QPQ + Q^\perp PQ^\perp$$

which in turn implies  $QP = PQ = QPQ$ . □

*Remark.* The final assertion  $PQ = QP$  of Lemma 6.1 is stated in [2] (for  $X = C_p$ ) as Cor. 1.7 without the assumption that the complementary projection  $Q^\perp$  is contractive. This statement is not correct: if  $p \neq 2$  it is easy to construct rank 1 contractive projections  $P, Q$  in  $X = \ell_p$  or  $C_p$  such that  $PQ = 0 \neq QP$ : choose non zero elements  $a, b \in X$  such that their norming functionals  $Ja, Jb$  verify  $\langle Ja, b \rangle = 0$  and  $\langle Jb, a \rangle \neq 0$  and set  $P = a \otimes Ja, Q = b \otimes Jb$ .

**Acknowledgements.** The author thanks warmly C. W. Henson for spending time in discussions on ultraroots and axiomatizability in Functional Analysis.

## References

- [1] T. Andô, *Contractive projections in  $L_p$  spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **17** (1966), 391–405.
- [2] J. Arazy and Y. Friedman, *Contractive projections in  $C_p$* , Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** (1992).
- [3] S. J. Bernau and H. E. Lacey, *The range of a contractive projection on an  $L_p$ -space*, Pacific J. Math. **53** (1974), 21–41.
- [4] R. G. Douglas, *Contractive projections on an  $L_1$  space*, Pacific J. Math. **15** (1965), 443–462.
- [5] I. Doust, *Contractive projections on Lebesgue-Bochner spaces*, Function Spaces (Edwardsville, IL, 1994), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 172, Dekker, New York, 1995, pp. 101–109.
- [6] P. G. Dodds, C. B. Huijsmans, and B. de Pagter, *Characterizations of conditional expectation-type operators*, Pacific J. Math. **141** (1990), 55–77.
- [7] D. H. Fremlin, *Topological Riesz spaces and measure theory*, Cambridge University Press, London, 1974.
- [8] S. Guerre and Y. Raynaud, *Sur les isométries de  $L^p(X)$  et le théorème ergodique vectoriel*, Canad. J. Math. **40** (1988), 360–391.
- [9] C. W. Henson and J. Iovino, *Ultraproducts in analysis*, Analysis and Logic (Mons, 1997) (C. Finet and C. Michaux, eds.), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 262, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2002, pp. 1–110.
- [10] C. W. Henson and Y. Raynaud, *On the theory of  $L_p(L_q)$  Banach lattices*, in preparation.
- [11] H. E. Lacey, *The isometric theory of classical Banach spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.
- [12] B. Lemmens and O. van Gaans, *On one-complemented subspaces of Minkowski spaces with smooth Riesz norms*, Eurandom report (2002), preprint.
- [13] M. Levy and Y. Raynaud, *Ultrapuissances de  $L^p(L^q)$* , Seminar on Functional Analysis, 1983/1984, Publ. Math. Univ. Paris VII, vol. 20, Univ. Paris VII, Paris, 1984, pp. 69–79.
- [14] B. Randrianantoanina, *1-complemented subspaces of spaces with 1-unconditional bases*, Canad. J. Math. **49** (1997), 1242–1264.
- [15] ———, *Norm-one projections in Banach spaces*, Taiwanese J. Math. **5** (2001), 35–95.
- [16] ——— (2003), private communication.
- [17] L. Tzafriri, *Remarks on contractive projections in  $L_p$ -spaces*, Israel J. Math. **7** (1969), 9–15.