

Variations on Yano's Extrapolation Theorem

David E. EDMUNDS and Miroslav KRBEČ

Department of Mathematics
University of Sussex
Brighton BN1 9RF, U.K.
D.E.Edmunds@sussex.ac.uk

Institute of Mathematics
Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic
Žitná 25, 115 67 Prague 1
Czech Republic
krbecm@matsrv.math.cas.cz

Recibido: 24 de Mayo de 2004

Aceptado: 22 de Junio de 2004

ABSTRACT

We give very short and transparent proofs of extrapolation theorems of Yano type in the framework of Lorentz spaces. The decomposition technique developed in [4] enables us to obtain known and new results in a unified manner.

Key words: extrapolation, Lebesgue space, Orlicz space, Zygmund space, Zygmund-Lorentz space

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 46E30; Secondary 47A30, 47B37.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The well-known extrapolation theorem of Yano (see [11] and [12, Theorem XII.4.41]) states that if (Ω, μ) is a finite measure space and for all p near 1, $p > 1$, T is a bounded linear map from $L_p(\Omega)$ to $L_p(\Omega)$ with norm not exceeding $C(p-1)^{-\alpha}$ for some positive C and α , then T maps the Zygmund space $L(\log L)^\alpha(\Omega)$ boundedly into $L_1(\Omega)$. In fact, the result holds if T is quasilinear rather than linear; and the theorem can also be put into the framework of abstract extrapolation theory (see [7] and [9]). The aim of the present paper is, using the decompositions developed in [4] for the extrapolation characterisation of exponential Orlicz spaces, to give extremely simple proofs of theorems of Yano type in the setting of Lorentz spaces. These theorems include not only the classical Yano theorem and some recent variants of it, but also new results.

The support of a NATO Collaborative Research Grant and of the GA ČR grant No. 201/01/1201 is gratefully acknowledged.

Throughout the paper Ω will be a subset of the n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^n with finite Lebesgue measure $|\Omega|$; to simplify the formulae we shall suppose that $|\Omega| = 1$. Given a locally integrable (real-valued) function f on Ω , its distribution function m_f is defined by

$$m_f(\lambda) = |\{x \in \Omega : |f(x)| > \lambda\}|, \quad \lambda \geq 0,$$

and the non-increasing rearrangement f^* of f is given by

$$f^*(t) = \inf\{\lambda : m_f(\lambda) \leq t\}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ the Lebesgue space $L_p = L_p(\Omega)$ is defined in the usual way and the norm of a function f in this space will be denoted by $\|f\|_p$. If we need to specify the underlying set, say $A \subset \Omega$, then we write $\|f\|_{p,A}$ for the L_p norm of f over this set. Recall that f and f^* are equimeasurable and that $\|f\|_p = \|f^*\|_{p,(0,1)}$; for shortness we shall write $\|f^*\|_p$ for $\|f^*\|_{p,(0,1)}$. For $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ the Lorentz space $L_{p,q} = L_{p,q}(\Omega)$ is defined to be the space of all functions f such that the (quasi-)norm

$$\|f\|_{p,q} := \left(\int_0^1 \{t^{1/p} f^*(t)\}^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q}$$

(appropriately modified if $q = \infty$ and/or $p = \infty$) is finite. For any $\alpha > 0$, $L(\log L)^\alpha = L(\log L)^\alpha(\Omega)$ is the Orlicz space generated by any Young function equivalent to $t \mapsto t(\log t)^\alpha$ near infinity. It is well known that since the measure of Ω is finite, all such Young functions give the same space (up to equivalence of norms) and that one can introduce a quasinorm on $L(\log L)^\alpha$ by the formula

$$\|f\|_{L(\log L)^\alpha} = \int_0^1 f^*(t) \left(\log \frac{1}{t} \right)^\alpha dt.$$

For this we refer to [2].

For $k \in \mathbf{N}$ let $I_k = (e^{-k}, e^{-k+1})$. In [4] we used the behaviour of f^* on the intervals I_k to characterise exponential Orlicz spaces; see also [3] and [5] for use of this localisation technique. It turns out that this is also useful in the present extrapolation context. We need to find functions f_k such that $f = \sum_1^\infty f_k$ and f_k^* has appropriate behaviour. To this end, observe that if $0 \leq a < b < \infty$, then

$$|\{x \in \Omega : a \leq |f(x)| \leq b\}| = |\{t \geq 0 : a \leq f^*(t) \leq b\}|.$$

Hence for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$, the measure $|I_k|$ of I_k is not greater than the measure of $A_k := \{x \in \Omega : f^*(e^{-k+1}) \leq |f(x)| \leq f^*(e^{-k})\}$. Since the function $r \mapsto |B(0, r) \cap A_1|$ is continuous (here $B(0, r)$ is the open ball in \mathbf{R}^n with centre 0 and radius r), there exists $r_1 > 0$ such that the measure of $\Omega_1 := B(0, r_1) \cap A_1$ equals $|I_1|$. Put $\tilde{\Omega}_2 = A_2 \setminus \Omega_1$. Repeating the above continuity argument for the set $B(0, r) \cap \tilde{\Omega}_2$, we find $r_2 > 0$

such that $\Omega_2 := B(0, r_2) \cap \tilde{\Omega}_2$ has measure equal to $|I_2|$. In this way we generate a sequence $\{\Omega_k\}$ of disjoint subsets of Ω , with union differing from Ω by only a set of zero measure, such that for each $k \in \mathbf{N}$, $|\Omega_k| = |I_k|$. Now let $f_k := f\chi_{\Omega_k}$ ($k \in \mathbf{N}$), where χ_{Ω_k} denotes the characteristic function of Ω_k . On Ω_k , $|f(x)| \leq f^*(e^{-k})$ and so if $\lambda > f^*(e^{-k})$, then $m_{f_k}(\lambda) = 0$; thus $f_k^*(t) \leq f^*(e^{-k})$ for all $t > 0$. On the other hand, if $\lambda < f^*(e^{-k+1})$ and $0 < t < |I_k|$, then

$$|\{x \in \Omega_k : |f(x)| > \lambda\}| = |\Omega_k| = |I_k| > t;$$

hence $f_k^*(t) \geq f^*(e^{-k+1})$ if $0 < t < |I_k|$. Moreover, if $t \geq |I_k|$, then plainly $f_k^*(t) = 0$. The corresponding decomposition $f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k$ of f thus has the property that for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and all $t \in (0, |I_k|)$, $f_k^*(t) \in [f^*(e^{-k+1}), f^*(e^{-k})]$. This will be crucial in what follows.

We write $A \lesssim B$ if $A \leq cB$ for some positive constant c independent of appropriate quantities involved in the expressions A and B , and $A \sim B$ if $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$.

2. The classical setting

Throughout we shall assume that T is a sublinear operator, which means that its domain is the set of all scalar-valued measurable functions on Ω occurring in the assumptions of the respective theorems, and that for all such functions f, g , $|T(f+g)| \leq |Tf| + |Tg|$. To explain the basic idea we start with the classical Yano theorem. Unlike the procedure in e.g. [12, Chapter 12] we directly discretise the rearrangement-invariant quasinorm in the operator domain.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that for all p near 1 with $p > 1$, $T : L_p \rightarrow L_p$ is bounded, with $\|T | L_p \rightarrow L_p\| \leq C(p - 1)^{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and C independent of p . Then $T : L(\log L)^\alpha \rightarrow L_1$ is bounded.*

Proof. Use of our decomposition makes the proof is very simple. Let $f \in L \log L$, write $f = \sum f_k$ as above, and observe that if $t \in I_k$ then $\log(1/t) \sim k$, where the constants implicit in the equivalence estimates can be chosen independent of $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Hence the norm of f in $L \log L$ is

$$\int_0^1 f^*(t) \left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^\alpha dt \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^\alpha e^{-k} f^*(e^{-k}).$$

Put $p_k = 1 + \frac{1}{k}$ ($k \in \mathbf{N}$). Then using the subadditivity (see [2, Theorem 2.3.4]) of the operation $g \mapsto g^{**}$, where $g^{**}(t) = t^{-1} \int_0^t g^*(s) ds$, together with the properties of T

and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (Tf)^*(t) dt &\leq \sum_k \int_0^1 (Tf_k)^*(t) dt \leq \sum_k \left(\int_0^1 ((Tf_k)^*(t))^{p_k} \right)^{1/p_k} \\ &\leq C \sum_k (p_k - 1)^{-\alpha} \|f_k\|_{p_k} \lesssim \sum_k k^\alpha \|f_k^*\|_{p_k} \\ &\leq \sum_k k^\alpha e^{-k/p_k} f^*(e^{-k}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $k/p_k = k - k/(k + 1)$, we conclude that

$$\int_0^1 (Tf)^*(t) dt \lesssim \sum_k k^\alpha e^{-k} f^*(e^{-k}) \lesssim \int_0^1 f^*(t) \left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^\alpha dt,$$

and the proof is complete. □

Remark 2.2. The proof makes it plain that the target space L_p in the assumption on T can be replaced by L_1 . This result is known: see [7] for a proof using the machinery of abstract extrapolation theory. For convenience we formulate this separately.

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose that for all p near 1 with $p > 1$, $T : L_p \rightarrow L_1$ is bounded, with $\|T | L_p \rightarrow L_1\| \leq C(p - 1)^{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and C independent of p . Then $T : L(\log L)^\alpha \rightarrow L_1$ is bounded.*

Remark 2.4. These theorems (as well as those in the next sections) have obvious dual counterparts. We shall not state these explicitly.

3. Variations in the assumed target spaces

We shall need the following simple lemma on the embedding of $L_{p,q}$ in L_1 .

Lemma 3.1. *If $p, q \in (1, \infty)$, then for all $f \in L_{p,q}$,*

$$\|f\|_1 \leq \left(\frac{p}{q'(p-1)}\right)^{1/q'} \|f\|_{p,q},$$

where $1/q' = 1 - 1/q$.

Proof. If $f \in L_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_1 &= \int_0^1 t^{1/p} f^*(t) \cdot t^{1-1/p} dt \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^1 (t^{1/p} f^*(t))^q \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q} \left(\int_0^1 t^{(1-1/p)q'} \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q'}, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to the desired result. □

This immediately gives

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that for some $q \in (1, \infty)$ and all p near 1 with $p > 1$, $T : L_p \rightarrow L_{p,q}$ is bounded, with $\|T | L_p \rightarrow L_{p,q}\| \leq C(p-1)^{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and C independent of p . Then $T : L(\log L)^{\alpha+1/q'} \rightarrow L_1$ is bounded.*

Proof. Let $f \in L(\log L)^{\alpha+1/q'}$. Then

$$\|Tf\|_1 \lesssim (p-1)^{-1/q'} \|Tf\|_{p,q} \lesssim (p-1)^{-\alpha-1/q'} \|f\|_p$$

and the conclusion follows from Theorem 2.3. □

To deal with the end point cases $q = 1$ and $q = \infty$ we proceed as follows.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that for all p near 1 with $p > 1$, $T : L_p \rightarrow L_{p,\infty}$ is bounded, with $\|T | L_p \rightarrow L_{p,\infty}\| \leq C(p-1)^{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and C independent of p . Then $T : L(\log L)^{\alpha+1} \rightarrow L_1$ is bounded.*

Proof. As before, put $p_k = 1 + \frac{1}{k}$ ($k \in \mathbf{N}$). Then for all $f \in L(\log L)^{\alpha+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_1 &= \int_0^1 (Tf)^*(t) dt \leq \sum_k \int_0^1 (Tf_k)^*(t) dt \\ &= \sum_k \int_0^1 t^{1/p_k} (Tf_k)^*(t) \cdot t^{-1/p_k} dt \lesssim \sum_k (p_k - 1)^{-1} \|Tf_k\|_{p_k,\infty} \\ &\lesssim \sum_k (p_k - 1)^{-\alpha-1} \|f_k\|_{p_k} \lesssim \sum_k k^{\alpha+1} e^{-k/p_k} f^*(e^{-k}) \\ &\lesssim \int_0^1 f^*(t) \left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^{\alpha+1} dt. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that for all p near 1, $p > 1$, $T : L_p \rightarrow L_{p,1}$ is bounded, with $\|T | L_p \rightarrow L_{p,1}\| \leq C(p-1)^{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and C independent of p . Then $T : L(\log L)^\alpha \rightarrow L_1$ is bounded.*

Proof. Since $\|Tf\|_p \leq \|Tf\|_{p,1}$ (see [2, Proposition 4.4.2]), the claim follows immediately from Theorem 2.1. □

Corollary 3.5. *Let $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and suppose that for all p near 1 with $p > 1$, $T : L_p \rightarrow L_{p,q}$ is bounded, with $\|T | L_p \rightarrow L_{p,q}\| \leq C(p-1)^{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and C independent of p . Then $T : L(\log L)^{\alpha+1/q'} \rightarrow L_1$ is bounded, where $1/q' = 1 - 1/q$ ($1' = \infty$ and $\infty' = 1$).*

4. Variations in the assumed domain space

Here we shall look at what happens if T operates on $L_{p,\infty}$ instead of L_p .

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that for all p near 1 with $p > 1$, $T : L_{p,\infty} \rightarrow L_1$ is bounded, with $\|T | L_{p,\infty} \rightarrow L_1\| \leq C(p - 1)^{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and C independent of p . Then $T : L(\log L)^\alpha \rightarrow L_1$ is bounded.*

Proof. Proceeding as before, if $f \in L(\log L)^\alpha$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |Tf(x)| dx &\leq \sum_k \|Tf_k\|_1 \lesssim \sum_k (p_k - 1)^{-\alpha} \|f_k\|_{p_k,\infty} \\ &= \sum_k k^\alpha \sup_{0 < t < |I_k|} \{ t^{1/p_k} f_k^*(t) \} \leq \sum_k k^\alpha e^{k-k/p_k} e^{-k} f^*(e^{-k}), \end{aligned}$$

and this last series is equivalent to the quasinorm on $L(\log L)^\alpha$. □

Lemma 4.1 gives a corresponding result for all target spaces embedded in L_1 and in particular for $L_{p,1}$. Nevertheless, just as in the last section we can do better and summarise the position in the following Theorem. Since the proofs are similar to those already given we omit them.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that for some $q \in [1, \infty]$ and all p near 1 with $p > 1$, $T : L_{p,\infty} \rightarrow L_{p,q}$ is bounded, with $\|T | L_{p,\infty} \rightarrow L_{p,q}\| \leq C(p - 1)^{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and C independent of p . Then $T : L(\log L)^{\alpha+1/q'} \rightarrow L_1$ is bounded.*

Remark 4.3. Our techniques do not seem to give a short proof of the result of Soria [10] (see also [7, 5.7]) that if $T : L_{p,1} \rightarrow L_{p,\infty}$ is bounded with norm blowing up like $(p - 1)^{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$, then $T : L(\log L)^\alpha(\log \log L) \rightarrow L_{1,\infty}$ is bounded. We shall return to this point in a forthcoming paper.

5. More logarithms

It is well known (see, for example, [9, 2.4]) that some of the theorems we have been discussing continue to hold if the initial and target spaces are further logarithmically tuned. For example, if for all p near 1, $p > 1$, $T : L_p \rightarrow L_p$ is bounded, with norm $\|T | L_p \rightarrow L_p\| \leq C(p - 1)^{-\alpha}$ for some $\alpha > 0$, then for all $\beta > 0$, $T : L(\log L)^{\alpha+\beta} \rightarrow L_1(\log L)^\beta$ is bounded. Our theorems in Sections 2, 3 and 4 all have conclusions to the effect that T maps a space of the form $L(\log L)^\gamma$ boundedly into L_1 , and it turns out that they can be refined in this way by the addition of logarithms.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose that the hypotheses of any one of the preceding theorems hold, and that consequently there exists $\gamma \geq 0$ such that $T : L(\log L)^\gamma \rightarrow L_1$ is bounded. Then for all $\beta > 0$, $T : L(\log L)^{\gamma+\beta} \rightarrow L(\log L)^\beta$ is bounded.*

Proof. In all cases we use the same decomposition idea. For shortness we simply give the proof for the case in which T maps L_p boundedly into $L_{p,\infty}$ for all p near 1, $p > 1$, with blow-up of the norms of T of order $(p - 1)^{-\alpha}$, for some $\alpha > 0$. We therefore know that $L(\log L)^{\alpha+1} \rightarrow L_1$ is bounded. As before we write $p_k = 1 + 1/k$ ($k \in \mathbf{N}$) and use the decomposition $f = \sum f_k$ of $f \in L_1(\log L)^\beta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (Tf)^*(t)(\log(1/t))^\beta dt &\leq \sum_k \int_0^1 t^{1/p_k} (Tf_k)^*(t)t^{-1/p_k} (\log(1/t))^\beta dt \\ &\leq \sum_k \|Tf_k\|_{p_k,\infty} \int_0^1 t^{-1/p_k} (\log(1/t))^\beta dt \\ &\lesssim \sum_k (p_k - 1)^{-\alpha} \|f_k\|_{p_k} \int_0^1 t^{-1/p_k} (\log(1/t))^\beta dt \\ &\leq \sum_k k^{\alpha+\beta} e^{-k/p_k} f^*(e^{-k}) \left(\int_0^1 t^{-1/p_k} (\log(1/t))^\beta dt \right) k^{-\beta} e^{k(1-1/p_k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Change of variables gives

$$\int_0^1 t^{-1/p_k} (\log(1/t))^\beta dt \leq ck^{\beta+1}\Gamma(\beta + 1),$$

where Γ is the Gamma function. Hence

$$\int_0^1 (Tf)^*(t)(\log(1/t))^\beta dt \lesssim \sum_k k^{\beta+\alpha+1} e^{-k/p_k} f^*(e^{-k})\Gamma(\beta + 1),$$

and this last expression is equivalent to the quasinorm on $L(\log L)^{\beta+\alpha+1}$ since $e^{-k/p_k} \sim e^{-k}$ and $\Gamma(\beta)$ is a finite positive constant. \square

Remark 5.2. It is clear from the above proof that in some cases the range for β can be bigger than stated. In particular, the assumptions for which the proof is given permit $\beta > -1$ provided that $L(\log L)^\beta$ is defined as the space of f such that $\int_0^1 f^*(t)(\log(e/t))^\beta dt$ for $\beta < 0$.

Remark 5.3. The decomposition technique can be also used to prove extrapolation results for couples of spaces (X, Y) , where X and Y result from logarithmic or Lorentz (perhaps both) tuning. For instance, an amalgam of proofs of theorems in sections 3 and 4 with the proof of Theorem 5.1 (with some technical changes) easily yields $T : L(\log L)^{\alpha+\beta} \rightarrow L_r(\log L)^\beta$ provided $T : L_{p,1} \rightarrow L_{r,p}$ for some fixed $r \in [1, \infty)$, $p > 1$ and close to 1, and with the blow-up $(p - 1)^\alpha$. This is a generalization of the archetypal extrapolation theorem from [6], which was generalized in the recent paper [8]. Problems of this type will be dealt with in detail elsewhere.

References

- [1] C. Bennett and K. Rudnick, *On Lorentz-Zygmund spaces*, *Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.)* **175** (1980), 1–72.
- [2] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of operators*, *Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 129, Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.
- [3] D. Cruz-Uribe and M. Krbeč, *Localization and extrapolation in Lorentz-Orlicz spaces*, *Function spaces, interpolation theory and related topics*, 2002, pp. 389–401. Proceedings of the conference held in Lund (Sweden), August 17-22, 2001, in honour of Jaak Peetre on his 65th birthday.
- [4] D. E. Edmunds and M. Krbeč, *On decomposition in exponential Orlicz spaces*, *Math. Nachr.* **213** (2000), 77–88.
- [5] ———, *Decomposition and Moser's lemma*, *Rev. Mat. Complut.* **15** (2002), no. 1, 57–74.
- [6] A. Fiorenza and M. Krbeč, *On an optimal decomposition in Zygmund spaces*, *Georgian Math. J.* **9** (2002), no. 2, 271–286.
- [7] B. Jawerth and M. Milman, *Extrapolation theory with applications*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **89** (1991), no. 440.
- [8] G. E. Karadzhov and M. Milman, *Extrapolation theory: new results and applications*, 2003, preprint.
- [9] M. Milman, *Extrapolation and optimal decompositions with applications to analysis*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 1580, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [10] F. Soria, *On an extrapolation theorem of Carleson-Sjölin with applications to a.e. convergence of Fourier series*, *Studia Math.* **94** (1989), no. 3, 235–244.
- [11] S. Yano, *Notes on Fourier analysis. XXIX. An extrapolation theorem*, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **3** (1951), 296–305.
- [12] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1959.