

Orthonormal bases for spaces of continuous and continuously differentiable functions defined on a subset of \mathbb{Z}_p

Ann VERDOODT

Abstract

Let K be a non-archimedean valued field which contains \mathbb{Q}_p , and suppose that K is complete for the valuation $|\cdot|$, which extends the p -adic valuation. V_q is the closure of the set $\{aq^n | n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ where a and q are two units of \mathbb{Z}_p , q not a root of unity. $C(V_q \rightarrow K)$ (resp. $C^1(V_q \rightarrow K)$) is the Banach space of continuous functions (resp. continuously differentiable functions) from V_q to K . Our aim is to find orthonormal bases for $C(V_q \rightarrow K)$ and $C^1(V_q \rightarrow K)$.

1 Introduction

The main aim of this paper is to find orthonormal bases for the spaces $C(V_q \rightarrow K)$ of continuous and $C^1(V_q \rightarrow K)$ of continuously differentiable functions. Therefore we start by recalling some definitions and some previous results. Let E be a non-archimedean Banach space over a non-archimedean valued field L , E equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let f_1, f_2, \dots be a finite or infinite sequence of elements of E . We say that this sequence is orthogonal if $\|\alpha_1 f_1 + \dots + \alpha_k f_k\| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \{\|\alpha_i f_i\|\}$ for all k in \mathbb{N} (or for all k that do not exceed the length of the sequence) and for all $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ in L . An orthogonal sequence f_1, f_2, \dots is called orthonormal if $\|f_i\| = 1$ for all i . A sequence f_1, f_2, \dots of elements of E is an orthonormal base of E if the sequence is orthonormal and also a base. If M is a non-empty compact subset of L without isolated points,

then $C(M \rightarrow L)$ is the Banach space of continuous functions from M to L equipped with the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Let f be a function from M to L . The first difference quotient $\phi_1 f$ of the function f is the function of two variables given by $\phi_1 f(x, y) = \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y}$ defined on $M \times M \setminus \Delta$ where $\Delta = \{(x, x) | x \in M\}$. We say that f is continuously differentiable at a point $b \in M$ (f is C^1 at b) if $\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (b,b)} \phi_1 f(x, y)$ exists. The function f is called continuously differentiable (f is a C^1 function) if f is continuously differentiable at b for all b in M . If f is a function from M to L then f is continuously differentiable if and only if the function $\phi_1 f$ can (uniquely) be extended to a continuous function on $M \times M$. The set of all C^1 -functions from M to L is denoted by $C^1(M \rightarrow L)$, and $C^1(M \rightarrow L) \subset C(M \rightarrow L)$. For $f : M \rightarrow L$ we set $\|f\|_1 = \sup\{\|f\|_\infty, \|\phi_1 f\|_\infty\}$. The function $\|\cdot\|_1$ is a norm on $C^1(M \rightarrow L)$ making it into an L -Banach algebra. Since M is compact, $\|f\|_1 < \infty$ if f is an element of $C^1(M \rightarrow L)$ (these results concerning continuously differentiable functions can be found in [2] or [5], chapter 27).

Let \mathbb{Z}_p be the ring of p -adic integers, \mathbb{Q}_p the field of p -adic numbers, and K is a non-archimedean valued field, K containing \mathbb{Q}_p , and we suppose that K is complete for the valuation $|\cdot|$, which extends the p -adic valuation. \mathbb{N} denotes the set of natural numbers, and \mathbb{N}_0 is the set of natural numbers without zero. Let a and q be two units of \mathbb{Z}_p , q not a root of unity. We define V_q to be the closure of the set $\{aq^n | n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. For a description of the set V_q we refer to [7], section 2 or to [8], section 3. In section 3 our aim is to find orthonormal bases for the Banach space $C(V_q \rightarrow K)$. The results in section 3 can be seen as a sequel to the results in [9] and [8], sections 4, 5 and 6. In section 4 we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a function f in $C(V_q \rightarrow K)$ to be continuously differentiable, and we find an orthonormal base for the Banach space $C^1(V_q \rightarrow K)$.

Acknowledgement : I want to thank professor Van Hamme for the advice he gave me during the preparation of this paper.

2 Preliminaries

Let us introduce the following :

$[n]! = [n][n-1] \dots [1]$ and $[0]! = 1$, where $[n] = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}$ if $n \geq 1$.

$$[n]_k = \frac{[n]!}{[k]![n-k]!} \text{ if } n \geq k, [n]_k = 0 \text{ if } n < k.$$

$$\{x\}_k = \frac{(x-a)(x-aq)\dots(x-aq^{k-1})}{(aq^k-a)(aq^k-aq)\dots(aq^k-aq^{k-1})} \text{ if } k \geq 1, \{x\}_0 = 1.$$

The sequence $(\{x\}_k)$ forms an orthonormal base for $C(V_q \rightarrow K)$ ([8], corollary to lemma 8), analogous to Mahler's base for $C(\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow K)$ ([4]).

We also have $[n]_k = \{x\}_k$ if $x = aq^n$. If x is an element of \mathcal{O}_p with Henselde-

velopment $x = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_j p^j$, we then put $x_n = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{n-1} a_j p^j$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$). We

write $m \triangleleft x$, if m is one of the numbers x_0, x_1, \dots and we say that " m is an initial part of x " or " x starts with m " (see [5], section 62). If n

belongs to \mathbb{N}_0 , $n = \sum_{j=0}^s a_j p^j$ where $a_s \neq 0$, then we put $n_- = \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} a_j p^j$.

We remark that $n_- \triangleleft n$. Let us now define the sequence of functions $(e_k(x))$ in the following way : write $k \in \mathbb{N}$ in the form $k = i + mj$, $0 \leq i < m$ ($i, j \in \mathbb{N}$). Then e_k is defined by

$$e_k(x) = e_{i+mj}(x) = 1 \text{ if } x = aq^{i_x}(q^m)^{\alpha_x} \text{ where } i_x = i, j \triangleleft \alpha_x, e_k(x) = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

The functions $(e_k(x))$ form an orthonormal base for $C(V_q \rightarrow K)$ ([9]), analogous to van der Put's base for $C(\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow K)$ (see [3] or [5], section 62).

We remark that $\{a_i^{aq^j}\} = e_i(aq^j) = 0$ if $j < i$ and that $\{a_i^{aq^i}\} = e_i(aq^i) = 1$. We shall use this frequently in the sequel.

We shall construct new orthonormal bases for $C(V_q \rightarrow K)$ using the bases $(\{x\}_k)$ and $(e_k(x))$. Therefore we introduce the following : For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let I_n be a subset of the set $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ (I_n can also be empty or can be equal to $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$). Let $p(x)$ be a continuous function of the following type $p(x) = \sum_{i \in I_n} a_i \{x\}_i + \sum_{i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \setminus I_n} a_i e_i(x)$ where each

$a_i \in K$. For example, if $I_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, then $p(x)$ is a polynomial. If I_n is the subset of $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ consisting of all the even numbers, and if $a_i = 1$ for all i , then $p(x) = \sum_{i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}, i \text{ even}} \{x\}_i + \sum_{i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}, i \text{ odd}} e_i(x)$

and one can think of several other examples. For functions of this type we can prove the following lemmas

Lemma 1. Let $p(x)$ be a continuous function of the type $p(x) = \sum_{i \in I_n} a_i \{x\}_i + \sum_{i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \setminus I_n} a_i e_i(x)$ ($a_i \in K$). Then the following are equivalent :

- 1) $|p(aq^n)| = 1$ and $|p(aq^k)| < 1$ if $0 \leq k < n$.
 2) $|a_n| = 1$ and $|a_k| < 1$ if $0 \leq k < n$.

Proof.

- 1) \Rightarrow 2) will be shown by induction. If $|p(a)| < 1$ then $|a_0| < 1$. Now suppose that $|a_k| < 1$ if $0 \leq k < n-1$. Then

$$\left| \sum_{i \in I_n \cap \{0,1,\dots,k+1\}} a_i \{i^{aq^{k+1}}\} + \sum_{i \in \{0,1,\dots,k+1\} \setminus I_n} a_i e_i(aq^{k+1}) \right| = |p(aq^{k+1})| < 1$$
 and by the induction hypothesis it follows that $|a_{k+1}| < 1$ and we can conclude $|a_i| < 1$ for all $0 \leq i < n$. Since

$$\left| \sum_{i \in I_n} a_i \{i^{aq^n}\} + \sum_{i \in \{0,1,\dots,n\} \setminus I_n} a_i e_i(aq^n) \right| = |p(aq^n)| = 1$$
 we have $|a_n| = 1$.
 2) \Rightarrow 1) is obvious.

Lemma 2. Let $p(x)$ be a continuous function of the type

$$p(x) = \sum_{i \in I_n} a_i \{i^x\} + \sum_{i \in \{0,1,\dots,n\} \setminus I_n} a_i e_i(x) \quad (a_i \in K).$$
 Then the following are equivalent :

- 1) $\|p\|_\infty \leq 1$.
 2) $|a_k| \leq 1$ for all k with $0 \leq k \leq n$.

Proof.

- 1) \Rightarrow 2) can be shown analogous as 1) \Rightarrow 2) of the previous lemma.
 2) \Rightarrow 1) is obvious.

Let m be the smallest integer such that $q^m \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ ($1 \leq m \leq p-1$). There exists a k_0 such that $q^m \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{k_0}}$, $q^m \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^{k_0+1}}$. If $(p, k_0) = (2, 1)$, i.e. $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then there exists a natural number N such that $q = 1 + 2 + 2^2\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1 2 + \varepsilon_2 2^2 + \dots$, $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_1 = \dots = \varepsilon_{N-1} = 1$, $\varepsilon_N = 0$. Then we have

Lemma 3.

- 1) Let $q^m \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{k_0}}$, $q^m \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^{k_0+1}}$ with $(p, k_0) \neq (2, 1)$. If $x, y \in V_q$, $|x - y| \leq p^{-(k_0+t)}$ then $e_n(x) = e_n(y)$ if $0 \leq n < mp^t$.
 2) Let $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $q = 1 + 2 + 2^2\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1 2 + \varepsilon_2 2^2 + \dots$, $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_1 = \dots = \varepsilon_{N-1} = 1$, $\varepsilon_N = 0$. If $x, y \in V_q$, $|x - y| \leq p^{-(N+2+t)}$ then $e_n(x) = e_n(y)$ if $0 \leq n < 2^t$ ($t \geq 1$).

Proof. This follows immediately from [8], lemmas 2 and 3.

Lemma 4. Suppose $p(x)$ is a continuous function with $\|p\|_\infty \leq 1$ of the following type : $p(x) = \sum_{i \in I_n} a_i \{x_i\} + \sum_{i \in \{0,1,\dots,n\} \setminus I_n} a_i e_i(x)$ ($a_i \in K$).

1) Let $q^m \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{k_0}}$, $q^m \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^{k_0+1}}$ with $(p, k_0) \neq (2, 1)$. If $x, y \in V_q$, $|x - y| \leq p^{-(k_0+t)}$ then if $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq n < mp^t$: $|p(x)^j - p(y)^j| \leq 1/p$ and $|x^j - y^j| \leq 1/p$.

2) Let $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $q = 1 + 2 + 2^2\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1 2 + \varepsilon_2 2^2 + \dots$, $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_1 = \dots = \varepsilon_{N-1} = 1$, $\varepsilon_N = 0$. If $x, y \in V_q$, $|x - y| \leq p^{-(N+2+t)}$ then if $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq n < 2^t$ ($t \geq 1$) : $|p(x)^j - p(y)^j| \leq 1/2$ and $|x^j - y^j| \leq 1/2$.

Proof. It is clear that $|a_s| \leq 1$ if $0 \leq s \leq n$ (lemma 2). Suppose that x, y and n are as in 1) (resp. 2)). Then $|p(x) - p(y)| \leq \max_{s \in I_n} \{ |a_s| |\{x_s\} - \{y_s\}| \} \leq 1/p$ (resp. $\leq 1/2$) by lemma 3 and [8], lemmas 11 and 12.

If $j > 1$ then $|p(x)^j - p(y)^j| = |p(x) - p(y)| \left| \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} p(x)^s p(y)^{j-1-s} \right| \leq 1/p$ (resp. $\leq 1/2$). So the lemma holds for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ (the case $j = 0$ is trivial). Further, if $j > 1$ then $|x^j - y^j| \leq |x - y| \left| \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} x^s y^{j-1-s} \right| \leq 1/p$ (resp. $\leq 1/2$) so $|x^j - y^j| \leq 1/p$ (resp. $\leq 1/2$) for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ J_n be a subset of the set $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. Then we can prove

Lemma 5. Let $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ be continuous functions with $\|p\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $\|q\|_\infty \leq 1$ of the form

$$p(x) = \sum_{i \in I_n} a_i \{x_i\} + \sum_{i \in \{0,1,\dots,n\} \setminus I_n} a_i e_i(x), \quad (a_i \in K)$$

$$q(x) = \sum_{i \in J_n} b_i \{x_i\} + \sum_{i \in \{0,1,\dots,n\} \setminus J_n} b_i e_i(x), \quad (b_i \in K).$$

1) Let $q^m \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{k_0}}$, $q^m \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^{k_0+1}}$ with $(p, k_0) \neq (2, 1)$. If $x, y \in V_q$, $|x - y| \leq p^{-(k_0+t)}$ then if $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq n < mp^t$: $|q(x)^i p(x)^j - q(y)^i p(y)^j| \leq 1/p$ and $|x^i p(x)^j - y^i p(y)^j| \leq 1/p$.

2) Let $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $q = 1 + 2 + 2^2\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1 2 + \varepsilon_2 2^2 + \dots$, $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_1 = \dots = \varepsilon_{N-1} = 1$, $\varepsilon_N = 0$. If $x, y \in V_q$, $|x - y| \leq p^{-(N+2+t)}$ then if $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq n < 2^t$ ($t \geq 1$) : $|q(x)^i p(x)^j - q(y)^i p(y)^j| \leq 1/2$ and $|x^i p(x)^j - y^i p(y)^j| \leq 1/2$.

Proof. Let x, y, n, i and j be as in 1) (resp. 2)) then

$$\begin{aligned}
|q(x)^i p(x)^j - q(y)^i p(y)^j| &\leq \max\{|q(x)^i p(x)^j - q(x)^i p(y)^j|, |q(x)^i p(y)^j - q(y)^i p(y)^j|\} \\
&\leq \max\{|q(x)^i||p(x)^j - p(y)^j|, |p(y)^j||q(x)^i - q(y)^i|\} \\
&\leq 1/p \text{ (resp. } \leq 1/2) \text{ by lemma 5 and analogous} \\
|x^i p(x)^j - y^i p(y)^j| &\leq \max\{|x^i p(x)^j - x^i p(y)^j|, |x^i p(y)^j - y^i p(y)^j|\} \\
&\leq \max\{|x^i||p(x)^j - p(y)^j|, |p(y)^j||x^i - y^i|\} \\
&\leq 1/p \text{ (resp. } \leq 1/2) \text{ by lemma 5}
\end{aligned}$$

We shall need lemmas 6 and 7 for the construction of an orthonormal base for $C^1(V_q \rightarrow K)$:

Lemma 6.

$$\begin{bmatrix} i+j \\ n \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{s=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} j \\ n-s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i \\ s \end{bmatrix} q^{-(n-s)(-i+s)}$$

Proof. This follows immediately from [8], lemma 10 by putting first $s = n - k$ and then interchanging i and j .

Definition. We define the sequence (ρ_n) as follows :

$$\rho_n = (q^m)^{i-i} - 1 \text{ if } n = im + j, 0 \leq j < m \text{ and } i > 0, \rho_n = 1 \text{ if } n < m.$$

Lemma 7.

$$|\rho_n| = \min_{1 \leq s \leq n} \{|q^s - 1|\}. \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

Proof. This follows immediately from [8], lemmas 2 and 3.

3 Orthonormal bases for $C(V_q \rightarrow K)$

Using the lemmas 1-5 in section 2, we can make orthonormal bases for $C(V_q \rightarrow K)$ with the aid of the following theorem :

Theorem 1. Let $(p_n(x))$ and $(q_n(x))$ be sequences of continuous functions of the following form :

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{for each } n \text{ } p_n(x) \text{ is of the form } p_n(x) &= \sum_{i \in I_n} a_{n,1}\{x_i\} + \\
&\sum_{i \in \{0,1,\dots,n\} \setminus J_n} a_{n,i} e_i(x) \text{ with } |a_{n,n}| = 1 \text{ and with } |a_{n,i}| < 1 \\
\text{if } 0 \leq i < n \text{ } (a_{n,i} \in \mathcal{Q}_p), \text{ and for each } n \text{ we have} \\
q_n(x) &= \sum_{i \in J_n} b_{n,i}\{x_i\} + \sum_{i \in \{0,1,\dots,n\} \setminus J_n} b_{n,i} e_i(x) \text{ with } |q_n(aq^n)| = 1 \text{ and}
\end{aligned}$$

$|b_{n,i}| \leq 1$ if $0 \leq i \leq n$ ($b_{n,i} \in \mathbb{Q}_p$). If (j_n) is a sequence in \mathbb{N} and if (k_n) is a sequence in \mathbb{N}_0 , then the sequences $(q_n(x)^{j_n} p_n(x)^{k_n})$ and $(x^{j_n} p_n(x)^{k_n})$ form orthonormal bases for $C(V_q \rightarrow K)$.

Proof. This proof is analogous to the proof of [8], theorem 5. We remark that for all n we have $\|p_n\|_\infty \leq 1$ and $\|q_n\|_\infty \leq 1$ (lemma 2), and that $p_n(x)$ and $q_n(x)$ are elements of $C(V_q \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p)$. By [1], 3.4.1 or [6], p. 123-133 it suffices to prove that $(q_n(x)^{j_n} p_n(x)^{k_n})$ and $(x^{j_n} p_n(x)^{k_n})$ form orthonormal bases for $C(V_q \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p)$ and by [1] proposition 3.1.5' p. 82 it suffices to prove that $(\overline{q_n(x)^{j_n} p_n(x)^{k_n}})$ and $(\overline{x^{j_n} p_n(x)^{k_n}})$ form vectorial bases for $C(V_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p)$ (where $f(x)$ stands for the canonical projection on $C(V_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p)$, if f is in $C(V_q \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p)$ with $\|f\|_\infty \leq 1$). We distinguish two cases.

1) Let $q^m \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{k_0}}$, $q^m \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p^{k_0+1}}$ with $(p, k_0) \neq (2, 1)$, define C_t the space of the functions from V_q to \mathbb{F}_p constant on balls of the type $\{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p : |x - \alpha| \leq p^{-(k_0+t)}\}$, $\alpha \in V_q$. Since $C(V_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p) = \bigcup_{t \geq 0} C_t$ ([8], lemma 4 and its proof) it suffices to prove that $(\overline{q_n(x)^{j_n} p_n(x)^{k_n}}|_{n < mp^t})$ and $(\overline{x^{j_n} p_n(x)^{k_n}}|_{n < mp^t})$ form bases for C_t . By the proof of [8], lemma 4, we can write V_q as the union of mp^t disjoint balls with radius $p^{-(k_0+t)}$ and with centers $aq^r(q^m)^n$, $0 \leq r \leq m-1$, $0 \leq n < p^t$. Let χ_i be the characteristic function of the ball with center aq^i . Using lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{q_n(x)^{j_n} p_n(x)^{k_n}} &= \sum_{i=0}^{mp^t-1} \chi_i(x) \overline{q_n(aq^i)^{j_n} p_n(aq^i)^{k_n}} \\ &= \sum_{i=n}^{mp^t-1} \chi_i(x) \overline{q_n(aq^i)^{j_n} p_n(aq^i)^{k_n}} \end{aligned}$$

since $|q_n(aq^i)^{j_n} p_n(aq^i)^{k_n}| < 1$ if $i < n$ (lemma 1) and hence the transition matrix from $(\chi_n|_{n < mp^t})$ to $(\overline{q_n(x)^{j_n} p_n(x)^{k_n}}|_{n < mp^t})$ is triangular since $|q_n(aq^n)^{j_n} p_n(aq^n)^{k_n}| = 1$ (lemma 1), so $(\overline{q_n(x)^{j_n} p_n(x)^{k_n}}|_{n < mp^t})$ forms a base for C_t . The proof for $(\overline{x^{j_n} p_n(x)^{k_n}})$ is analogous.

2) Let $q^m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $q = 1 + 2 + 2^2\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_1 2 + \varepsilon_2 2^2 + \dots$, $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_1 = \dots = \varepsilon_{N-1} = 1$, $\varepsilon_N = 0$, define C_t the space of the functions from V_q to \mathbb{F}_2 constant on balls of the type $\{x \in \mathbb{Z}_2 : |x - \alpha| \leq 2^{-(N+2+t)}\}$, $\alpha \in V_q$. Since $C(V_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2) = \bigcup_{t \geq 1} C_t$ ([8], lemma 5 and its proof) it

suffices to prove that $(\overline{q_n(x)^{j_n} p_n(x)^{k_n}}|_n < 2^t)$ and $(\overline{x^{j_n} p_n(x)^{k_n}}|_n < 2^t)$ form bases for C_t . By the proof of [8], lemma 5, we can write V_q as the union of 2^t disjoint balls with radius $2^{-(N+2+t)}$ and with centers aq^n , $0 \leq n < 2^t$. From now on the proof is analogous to the proof of 1).

Some examples.

1) If $(p_n(x))$ is a sequence of polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{Q}_p such that for all n we have that the degree of p_n is n , $|p_n(aq^n)| = 1$ and $|p_n(aq^i)| < 1$ if $0 \leq i < n$, and if (k_n) is a sequence in \mathbb{N}_0 , then $(p_n(x)^{k_n})$ forms an orthonormal base for $C(V_q \rightarrow K)$. This follows immediately from lemma 1 and theorem 1, by putting $j_n = 0$ and $I_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ and this for all n . The case $k_n = 1$ for all n can also be found in [8], theorem 4.

2) If (k_n) is a sequence in \mathbb{N}_0 , then $(\{x_n\}^{k_n})$ forms an orthonormal base for $C(V_q \rightarrow K)$. Put therefore $p_n(x) = \{x_n\}$ in 1). If f is an element of $C(V_q \rightarrow K)$, and if s is a natural number different from zero, there

exists a uniformly convergent expansion $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n^{(s)} \{x_n\}^s$ and we are

able to give an expression for the coefficients $\beta_n^{(s)}$. This can be found in [8], proposition 1.

3) If $(p_n(x))$ is a sequence in $C(V_q \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p)$ such that for all n we have

$$p_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_{n,i} e_i(x) \text{ with } |p_n(aq^n)| = 1 \text{ and } |p_n(aq^i)| < 1 \text{ if } 0 \leq i < n,$$

and if (k_n) is a sequence in \mathbb{N}_0 , then $(p_n(x)^{k_n})$ forms an orthonormal base for $C(V_q \rightarrow K)$. This follows immediately from lemma 1 and theorem 1, by putting $j_n = 0$ and by putting I_n equal to the empty set. The case $k_n = 1$ for all n can also be found in [9], theorem 2.

Remark. We can make an analogous result for the space $C(\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow K)$: if we replace the polynomials $(\{x_i\})$ by $(\binom{x}{i})$ (Mahler's base) and the functions $(e_i(x))$ by van der Put's base, then we can prove the following (we shall denote van der Put's base by $(g_i(x))$):

Let $(p_n(x))$ and $(q_n(x))$ be sequences of continuous functions on \mathbb{Z}_p of the following form: for each n $p_n(x)$ is of the form

$$p_n(x) = \sum_{i \in I_n} a_{n,i} \binom{x}{i} + \sum_{i \in \{0,1,\dots,n\} \setminus I_n} a_{n,i} g_i(x) \text{ with } |a_{n,n}| = 1 \text{ and with}$$

$|a_{n,i}| < 1$ if $0 \leq i < n$ ($a_{n,i} \in \mathbb{Q}_p$), and for each n we have

$q_n(x) = \sum_{i \in J_n} b_{n,i} \{x_i\} + \sum_{i \in \{0,1,\dots,n\} \setminus J_n} b_{n,i} g_i(x)$ with $|q_n(n)| = 1$ and $|b_{n,i}| \leq 1$ if $0 \leq i \leq n$ ($b_{n,i} \in \mathcal{Q}_p$). If (j_n) is a sequence in \mathbb{N} and if (k_n) is a sequence in \mathbb{N}_0 , then the sequence $(q_n(x)^{j_n} p_n(x)^{k_n})$ forms an orthonormal base for $C(\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow K)$.

4 Continuously differentiable functions on V_q

In this section we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a continuous function defined on V_q to be continuously differentiable, and we find an orthonormal base for the space $C^1(V_q \rightarrow K)$. The result we'll find is analogous to the result for continuously differentiable functions on \mathbb{Z}_p ([5], theorem 53.5) where we replace Mahler's base by the base $(\{x_n\})$. We remark that there is a one-to-one correspondence between $(u, v) \in V_q \times V_q$ and $(\frac{yx}{a}, x)$ with $(x, y) \in V_q \times V_q$ (see [7], section 2). We shall use this several times in this section. Let ρ_n be as defined in section 2, then we can prove the following :

Proposition 1. *Let f be an element of $C(V_q \rightarrow K)$ with uniformly convergent expansion $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \{x_n\}$. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n(\rho_n)^{-1}| = 0$, then f is an element of $C^1(V_q \rightarrow K)$.*

Proof. Let f be in $C(V_q \rightarrow K)$ with uniformly convergent expansion $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \{x_n\}$. Analogous to [5], theorems 53.4 and 53.5, we want to find an expression for $\phi_1 f(u, v)$ for special values for u and v . Therefore, let x, y be in $\{aq^n | n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $x = aq^i$, $y = aq^j$ and suppose $y \neq a$ (i.e. $j \neq 0$). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1 f\left(\frac{yx}{a}, x\right) &= \phi_1 f\left(x, \frac{yx}{a}\right) = \frac{f\left(\frac{yx}{a}\right) - f(x)}{\frac{yx}{a} - x} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{aq^i(q^j - 1)} ([n^{i+j}] - [n^i]) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{aq^i(q^j - 1)} \left(\sum_{s=0}^n [n-s]^j [s]^i q^{-(n-s)(-i+s)} - [n^i] \right) \text{ (by lemma 6)} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{aq^i(q^j - 1)} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} [n-s]^j [s]^i q^{-(n-s)(-i+s)} \\ &\text{since } \frac{1}{q^j - 1} [n-s]^j = \frac{1}{q^{n-s} - 1} [n-s-1]^{j-1}, \text{ we find, by putting } n = s + k + 1, \text{ that} \end{aligned}$$

$$\phi_1 f\left(\frac{yx}{a}, x\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k+s+1} q^{-s(k+1)}}{a^{k+1} (q^{k+1} - 1)} x^k \{x\}_s \{y/q\}_k$$

and replacing y by yq this gives us, for all x, y in $\{aq^n | n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$

$$\phi_1 f\left(\frac{qyx}{a}, x\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k+s+1} q^{-s(k+1)}}{a^{k+1} (q^{k+1} - 1)} x^k \{x\}_s \{y\}_k \quad (*)$$

Now $\sup_{k+s+1=n} \left| \frac{a_{k+s+1}}{q^{k+1}-1} \right| = |a_n| \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \frac{1}{q^k - 1} \right| = |a_n(\rho_n)^{-1}|$ (lemma 7), so if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n(r_n)^{-1}| = 0$, then $\lim_{k+s \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{k+s+1}}{q^{k+1}-1} \right| = 0$ and it is clear that $(*)$ can be extended to a continuous function ([5], exercise 23.B). So we conclude: if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n(r_n)^{-1}| = 0$, then $f \in C^1(V_q \rightarrow K)$. This finishes the proof.

Remark. It is easy to prove that the functions $(x^k \{x\}_s \{y\}_k)$ are orthonormal in $C(V_q \times V_q \rightarrow K)$.

Let A be the subset of $C(V_q \rightarrow K)$ defined as follows: if f is an element of $C(V_q \rightarrow K)$ with uniformly convergent expansion $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \{x\}_n$, then f is an element of A if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n(\rho_n)^{-1}| = 0$.

Proposition 2. *The set A satisfies the following properties:*

- 1) A is a subset of $C^1(V_q \rightarrow K)$ containing the polynomials
- 2) A is closed for $\|\cdot\|_1$
- 3) A is a subalgebra of $C^1(V_q \rightarrow K)$

Proof.

1) From proposition 1 it follows that A is a subset of $C^1(V_q \rightarrow K)$. It is clear that A contains the polynomials.

2) Suppose $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$ for the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ where $f_n \in A$ for all n . Then f is clearly continuous. So there exists the following uniformly

convergent expansions: $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \{x\}_k$, $f_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n,k} \{x\}_k$, with

$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |a_k| = 0$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |a_{n,k}| = 0$ for all n , $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |a_{n,k}(\rho_k)^{-1}| = 0$ for all n . Suppose that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |a_k(\rho_k)^{-1}| \neq 0$. This will lead to a contradiction. Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |a_k(\rho_k)^{-1}| \neq 0$ there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that for all $\eta \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an $n > \eta$ such that $|a_n(\rho_n)^{-1}| > \epsilon$. Let I be the set defined as follows: $I = \{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 : |a_k(\rho_k)^{-1}| > \epsilon\}$. Then I is infinite. Let ϵ be as above. Then there exists a $J \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for all $n \geq J$ we have $\|f - f_n\|_1 < \epsilon$. In particular, $\sup_{x \neq y} \left| \frac{(f-f_n)(x) - (f-f_n)(y)}{x-y} \right| < \epsilon$, and from the calculations in proposition 1 it follows that

$|\phi_1(f - f_J)(\frac{qyx}{a}, x)| = |\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_{k+s+1} - a_{J,k+s+1})q^{-s(k+1)}}{a^{k+1}(q^{k+1} - 1)} x^k \{x\} \{y\}_k| \leq \epsilon$ for all x, y in $\{aq^n | n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. From this it is easy to see that $|\frac{a_{k+s+1} - a_{J,k+s+1}}{q^{k+1} - 1}| \leq \epsilon$ for all k and s , so $\sup_{k,s} \{|\frac{a_{k+s+1} - a_{J,k+s+1}}{q^{k+1} - 1}|\} \leq \epsilon$ and thus $\sup_n \{|(a_n - a_{J,n})(\rho_n)^{-1}|\} \leq \epsilon$. Then, if $n \in I$ we have $|a_{J,n}(\rho_n)^{-1}| = |(a_{J,n} - a_n)(\rho_n)^{-1} + a_n(\rho_n)^{-1}| > \epsilon$, and from this it follows that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |a_{J,k}(\rho_k)^{-1}| \neq 0$ since I is infinite. This is impossible and we conclude that A is closed.

3) If $f, g \in A$, $k, j \in K$, then we immediately have that $kf + jg \in A$, and if r and u are polynomials ($\in A$) then ru is a polynomial and also an element of A . From the Weierstrass-theorem for C^1 -functions ([2], theorem 1.4) it follows that for each $f, g \in A$ we have $fg \in A$ since A is closed.

Theorem 2. Let f be an element of $C(V_q \rightarrow K)$ with uniformly convergent expansion $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \{x\}_n$. Then f is an element of $C^1(V_q \rightarrow K)$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n(\rho_n)^{-1}| = 0$.

If f is an element of $C^1(V_q \rightarrow K)$ then $\|f\|_1 = \max_{n \geq 0} \{|a_n(\rho_n)^{-1}|\}$ and the functions $(\rho_n \{x\}_n)$ form an orthonormal base for $C^1(V_q \rightarrow K)$.

Proof. From proposition 2 and the Weierstrass-Stone theorem for C^1 -functions ([2], theorem 2.10) it follows that $A = C^1(V_q \rightarrow K)$. So f is an element of $A = C^1(V_q \rightarrow K)$ if and only if

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n(\rho_n)^{-1}| = 0$. Let us first remark the following : since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n(\rho_n)^{-1}| = 0$, we have $\sup_{n \geq 1} \{|a_n(\rho_n)^{-1}|\} = \max_{n \geq 1} \{|a_n(\rho_n)^{-1}|\}$ and since $\sup_{k,s \geq 0} \{|\frac{a_{k+s+1}}{q^{k+1} - 1}|\} = \sup_{n \geq 1} \{|a_n(\rho_n)^{-1}|\}$ with $k + s + 1 = n$, we have

$\max_{k,s \geq 0} \{|\frac{a_{k+s+1}}{q^{k+1} - 1}|\} = \sup_{k,s \geq 0} \{|\frac{a_{k+s+1}}{q^{k+1} - 1}|\} = \max_{n \geq 1} \{|a_n(\rho_n)^{-1}|\}$. From (*) it follows that for all x, y in $\{aq^n | n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$

$\phi_1 f(\frac{qyx}{a}, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k+s+1} q^{-s(k+1)}}{a^{k+1}(q^{k+1} - 1)} x^k \{x\} \{y\}_k$ and by continuity it then

follows that for all x, y in V_q with y different from aq^{-1} we have

$\phi_1 f(\frac{qyx}{a}, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k+s+1} q^{-s(k+1)}}{a^{k+1}(q^{k+1} - 1)} x^k \{x\} \{y\}_k$

Then we immediately have $|\phi_1 f(\frac{qyx}{a}, x)| \leq \max_{k,s \geq 0} \{|\frac{a_{k+s+1}}{q^{k+1} - 1}|\}$ for all

x, y in V_q with $y \neq aq^{-1}$ and so we have $\|\phi_1 f\|_\infty \leq \max_{k,s \geq 0} \{|\frac{a_{k+s+1}}{q^{k+1}-1}|\}$. If $\max_{k,s \geq 0} \{|\frac{a_{k+s+1}}{q^{k+1}-1}|\} = 0$ it is clear that $\|\phi_1 f\|_\infty = \max_{k,s \geq 0} \{|\frac{a_{k+s+1}}{q^{k+1}-1}|\}$. If $\max_{k,s \geq 0} \{|\frac{a_{k+s+1}}{q^{k+1}-1}|\} > 0$, then put $I = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : |\frac{a_{j+i+1}}{q^{j+1}-1}| = \max_{k,s \geq 0} \{|\frac{a_{k+s+1}}{q^{k+1}-1}|\}\}$. Now let $S = \min\{i \in \mathbb{N} : \text{there exists a } j \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } (i, j) \in I\}$ and $T = \min\{t \in \mathbb{N} : (S, t) \in I\}$ then it is easy to see that $|\phi_1 f(\frac{q}{a} a q^S a q^T, a q^S)| = |\frac{a_{T+S+1}}{q^{T+1}-1}| = \max_{k,s \geq 0} \{|\frac{a_{k+s+1}}{q^{k+1}-1}|\}$ and so we conclude $\|\phi_1 f\|_\infty = \max_{k,s \geq 0} \{|\frac{a_{k+s+1}}{q^{k+1}-1}|\} = \max_{n \geq 1} \{|a_n(\rho_n)^{-1}|\}$. Since $\|f\|_1 = \max\{\|f\|_\infty, \|\phi_1 f\|_\infty\} = \max\{\max_{n \geq 0} \{|a_n|\}, \max_{n \geq 1} \{|a_n(\rho_n)^{-1}|\}\}$ and since $|(\rho_n)^{-1}| \geq 1$ for all n we conclude that $\|f\|_1 = \max_{n \geq 0} \{|a_n(\rho_n)^{-1}|\}$. From this it follows that $\|\{x_n\}\|_1 = |(\rho_n)^{-1}|$ so $\|\rho_n \{x_n\}\|_1 = 1$. Furthermore, $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \{x_n\} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{\rho_n} \rho_n \{x_n\}$ with $\|f\|_1 = \max_{n \geq 0} \{|a_n(\rho_n)^{-1}|\} = \max_{n \geq 0} \{\|\frac{a_n}{\rho_n} \rho_n \{x_n\}\|_1\}$ so the functions $(\rho_n \{x_n\})$ form an orthonormal base for $C^1(V_q \rightarrow K)$. This finishes the proof.

References

- [1] Y. Amice, *Les Nombres p-adiques*. Presses Universitaires de France, Paris, 1975 (Collection SUP, Le Mathématicien, 14).
- [2] J. Araujo and W. H. Schikhof, *The Weierstrass-Stone Approximation Theorem for p-adic C^n -functions*, Annales Mathématiques Blaise Pascal, Volume 1, No 1, Janvier 1994, p. 61-74.
- [3] L. Gruson and M. van der Put, *Banach Spaces*, Table Ronde d'Analyse non-archimédienne (1972 Paris), Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France, Memoire 39-40, 1974, p. 55 - 100.
- [4] K. Mahler, *An Interpolation Series for Continuous Functions of a p-adic Variable*, Journal für reine und angewandte Mathematik, vol. 199, 1958, p. 23-34.
- [5] W. H. Schikhof, *Ultrametric Calculus : An Introduction to p-adic Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1984.

- [6] A.C.M. van Rooij, *Non-Archimedean Functional Analysis*, Marcel Dekker, 1978 (Pure and Applied Mathematics, 51).
- [7] A. Verdoodt, *Jackson's Formula with Remainder in p -adic Analysis*, Indagationes Mathematicae, N.S., 4 (3), p. 375-384, september 1993.
- [8] A. Verdoodt, *Normal Bases for Non-Archimedean Spaces of Continuous Functions*, Publicacions Matemàtiques, vol. 37, 1993, p. 403-427.
- [9] A. Verdoodt, *Normal Bases for the Space of Continuous Functions defined on a Subset of \mathbb{Z}_p* , Publicacions Matemàtiques, vol 38, nr 2, 1994, p. 371-380.

Vrije Universiteit Brussel,
Faculty of Applied Sciences,
Pleinlaan 2,
B-1050 Brussels, Belgium.

Recibido: 6 de Febrero de 1995