

METRIC THEORY OF SEMIALGEBRAIC CURVES

Lev BIRBRAIR*
and Alexandre C.G. FERNANDES

Abstract

We present a complete bi-Lipschitz classification of germs of semialgebraic curves (semialgebraic sets of the dimension one). For this purpose we introduce the so-called Hölder Semicomplex, a bi-Lipschitz invariant. Hölder Semicomplex is the collection of all first exponents of Newton-Puiseux expansions, for all pairs of branches of a curve. We prove that two germs of curves are bi-Lipschitz equivalent if and only if the corresponding Hölder Semicomplexes are isomorphic. We also prove that any Hölder Semicomplex can be realized as a germ of some plane semialgebraic curve. Finally, we compare these Hölder Semicomplexes with Hölder Complexes-complete bi-Lipschitz invariant of two-dimensional semialgebraic sets.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we are going to study singular semialgebraic curves (one-dimensional semialgebraic sets) from the metric viewpoint. Some recent papers on the metric theory of semialgebraic sets were devoted to intrinsic metric properties of semialgebraic surfaces (see [2],[1],[6]). One of the main questions of this theory is the question of bi-Lipschitz classification. This question was investigated in [1], for germs of semialgebraic surfaces, with respect to the intrinsic (length) metric. The problem of bi-Lipschitz classification with respect to the induced (euclidian) metric is more complicated. Namely, if two semialgebraic sets are bi-Lipschitz

*Partially supported by CMP by grant N300985/93-2

equivalent with respect to the euclidian metric they are bi-Lipschitz equivalent with respect to the length metric, but the converse is not true.

The main result of the paper is a bi-Lipschitz classification of germs of semialgebraic curves with respect to the euclidian metric. We construct an invariant - the so-called *Hölder Semicomplex*. This invariant is obtained in the following way. Take two branches X_1 and X_2 of a semialgebraic curve X at the point $x_0 \in X$. Let $x_1(r) \in X_1$ and $x_2(r) \in X_2$ be two points on these branches such that $d_{ind}(x_1(r), x_0) = d_{ind}(x_2(r), x_0) = r$. The function $d_{ind}(x_1(r), x_2(r))$ (the induced metric) is semialgebraic and, thus, admits a Newton-Puiseux expansion at 0. Hölder Semicomplex is the collection of all first exponents of Newton-Puiseux expansions, for all pairs of branches. Note, that this procedure is closely related to the calculation of Lojasiewicz exponent [4].

We prove that Hölder Semicomplex is a complete invariant. It means that germs of two semialgebraic curves are bi-Lipschitz equivalent if their Hölder Semicomplexes are combinatorially equivalent (isomorphic). We prove (Theorem 4.1), moreover, that, for every Hölder Semicomplex, there exists a germ of a plane semialgebraic curve realizing it (i.e. the Hölder Semicomplex of this curve coincides with the given one) (Theorem 5.1).

We study in section 6 some relations between Hölder Complexes [1] and Hölder Semicomplexes. Hölder Complexes defined in [1] are complete invariants of germs of semialgebraic surfaces. We prove that if a two-dimensional set X is normally embedded at x_0 (i.e. intrinsic and induced metrics are bi-Lipschitz equivalent [3]) then the Hölder Semicomplex of the germ of $\text{Sing}(X)$ at x_0 is completely determined by the Hölder Complex of X at x_0 (Theorem 6.2). Some examples presented in the section 6 show that this result does not remain true if X is not normally embedded.

Note that all results of the paper are hold for semianalytic set. As a consequence of this observation we obtain that every germ of a semianalytic curve is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to a germ of some semialgebraic curve (Corollary 5.2).

The authors are grateful to Maria Aparecida Ruas, Jean-Jacques Risler and the anonymous referee for very useful comments.

2 Hölder Semicomplexes

Definition 1. A complete finite graph Γ with a rational valued function $\alpha : E_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \cap [1, \infty)$ defined on the set of edges E_Γ of Γ is called a Hölder Semicomplex if α satisfies the following:

Isosceles Property. For every three vertices $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \Gamma$, we have: if $\alpha(a_1, a_2) \leq \alpha(a_2, a_3) \leq \alpha(a_1, a_3)$ then $\alpha(a_1, a_2) = \alpha(a_2, a_3)$. (Note that, since Γ is a complete graph, any edge is completely determined by two vertices).

Remark 1. A Hölder Semicomplex can be defined in the following equivalent way. Let A be a finite set with a symmetric function $\alpha : A \times A - \text{diag}(A \times A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \cap [1, \infty)$. If α satisfies the isosceles property then the pair (A, α) can be identified with a Hölder Semicomplex.

Remark 2. Consider a function $d : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$d(a_1, a_2) = 0 \quad \text{if } a_1 = a_2 \quad \text{and} \quad d(a_1, a_2) = \frac{1}{\alpha(a_1, a_2)} \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

Then (A, d) is an ultrametric space if and only if (A, α) is a Hölder Semicomplex.

Definition 2. Two Hölder Semicomplexes (Γ_1, α_1) and (Γ_2, α_2) are called isomorphic (or combinatorially equivalent) if there exists a graph isomorphism $h : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ such that, for each pair of vertices a_1, a_2 of Γ_1 , we have:

$$\alpha_2(h(a_1), h(a_2)) = \alpha_1(a_1, a_2).$$

Definition 3. A morphism of (A_1, α_1) to (A_2, α_2) is a map $m : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ such that, for all $a_1, a_2 \in A_1$,

$$\alpha_2(m(a_1), m(a_2)) \geq \alpha_1(a_1, a_2) \quad \text{if } m(a_1) \neq m(a_2).$$

Thus, we obtain that the set of all Hölder Semicomplexes is a category, the isomorphism defined in Definition 2 is an isomorphism in this category and Remark 2 defines a functor from this category to the category of metric spaces.

3 Semialgebraic curves and Hölder Semicomplex

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a semialgebraic curve and $x_0 \in X$. By the standard conic structure theorem, we have the following statement:

There exists a neighbourhood U_{x_0} of x_0 in \mathbb{R}^n such that $X \cap U_{x_0} = \bigcup_{i=1}^k X_i$ where the sets X_i have the following properties:

- (1) X_i is a semialgebraic subset of \mathbb{R}^n and homeomorphic to a semisegment $[1, 0)$ by a homeomorphism $h_i : [1, 0) \rightarrow X_i$ such that $h_i(0) = x_0$;
- (2) For every $i \neq j$, $X_i \cap X_j = \{x_0\}$;
- (3) There exists a number r_0 such that, for every i and $0 \leq r < r_0$, $\#(X_i \cap S_r(x_0)) = 1$, for every i . (Here $S_r(x_0)$ means a sphere centred at x_0 of radius r).

Remark 3. The collection $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is a 1-dimensional version of the so-called *pancake decomposition of (X, x_0)* (see [3], [8], [11]). The elements of this decomposition we call *branches* (in the similar way to the complex algebraic geometry) or *pancakes* (in the similar way to the real algebraic geometry).

Let A be a k -elements set $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$. We define a Hölder Semicomplex on A in the following way. Consider a map $x_i(r) = X_i \cap S_r(x_0)$ (for sufficiently small r this function is well defined and semialgebraic). Let $f_{ij}(r) = \|x_i(r) - x_j(r)\|$. By the Newton-Puiseux Theorem we obtain, for sufficiently small r ,

$$f_{ij}(r) = b_{ij}r^{\alpha_{ij}} + o(r^{\alpha_{ij}}), \quad \alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q}, \quad b_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Put $\alpha(a_i, a_j) = \alpha_{ij}$.

Proposition 3.1. (A, α) is a Hölder Semicomplex.

Proof. Since $x_i(r)$ and $x_j(r)$ belong to $S_r(x_0)$, we obtain $f_{ij}(r) \leq 2r$. Thus, $1 \leq \alpha(a_i, a_j)$. Let us prove the isosceles property. Let X_1, X_2 and X_3 be three different branches of X . Let $\alpha(a_1, a_2) \leq \alpha(a_2, a_3) \leq \alpha(a_1, a_3)$. Consider three points $x_1(r), x_2(r)$ and $x_3(r)$. We have:

$$C_1r^{\alpha(a_1, a_2)} + o(r^{\alpha(a_1, a_2)}) = \|x_1(r) - x_2(r)\| \leq$$

$$\|x_1(r) - x_3(r)\| + \|x_2(r) - x_3(r)\| = C_2 r^{\alpha(a_2, a_3)} + o(r^{\alpha(a_2, a_3)}),$$

for some positive constants C_1, C_2 . Thus, $\alpha(a_1, a_2) = \alpha(a_2, a_3)$

■

The Hölder Semicomplex (A, α) constructed above is called a *Hölder Semicomplex associated to (X, x_0)* . We denote it by $sh(X, x_0)$.

Let us define another structure associated to X at the point x_0 . Let X_i and X_j be two branches of X . Put

$$g_{ij}(r) = \text{dist}(X_i - B_r(x_0), X_j - B_r(x_0))$$

(here $B_r(x_0)$ is the ball centred at x_0 of radius r). By the definition of $g_{ij}(r)$ and the quantifier elimination version of the Tarski-Seidenberg Theorem, we obtain that g_{ij} is a semialgebraic function. Thus, by the Newton-Puiseux Theorem,

$$g_{ij}(r) = c_{ij} r^{\beta_{ij}} + o(r^{\beta_{ij}}).$$

Put $\beta(a_i, a_j) = \beta_{ij}$.

Remark 4. Note that $g_{ij}(r)$ is not necessary equal to $f_{ij}(r)$. To see it consider the set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ defined as a union of graphs of functions $y = x^2$ and $y = x^3$ at the point $x_0 = (0, 0)$.

Order Comparison Lemma. *For all i, j we have : $\beta_{ij} = \alpha_{ij}$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we can suppose that $x_0 = 0$. Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a vector such that $\|v\| = 1$. Define a cone

$$C_\varepsilon(v) = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^n; \angle(u, v) < \varepsilon\}.$$

Suppose that two branches X_i and X_j have different unit tangent vectors at $\mathbf{0}$. Let v_i be the unit tangent vector to X_i at $\mathbf{0}$ and v_j be the unit tangent vector to X_j at $\mathbf{0}$. Then there exist $r_0 > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that, for $r \leq r_0$,

$$X_i \cap B_r(\mathbf{0}) \subset C_\varepsilon(v_i) \cap B_r(\mathbf{0});$$

$$X_j \cap B_r(\mathbf{0}) \subset C_\varepsilon(v_j) \cap B_r(\mathbf{0});$$

$$C_\varepsilon(v_i) \cap C_\varepsilon(v_j) = \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

Thus, $g_{ij} \geq \text{dist}(C_\varepsilon(v_i) - B_r(\mathbf{0}), C_\varepsilon(v_j) - B_r(\mathbf{0})) \geq cr$, for some $c > 0$. It means that $\beta_{ij} = \alpha_{ij} = 1$.

Now suppose that X_i and X_j have the same unit tangent vector v_0 at $\mathbf{0}$. Clearly, $\alpha_{ij} \leq \beta_{ij}$. Suppose that $\alpha_{ij} < \beta_{ij}$. Observe that, for sufficiently small r , we can choose the points $y_i(r) \in X_i$ and $y_j(r) \in X_j$ such that $g_{ij}(r) = \|y_i(r) - y_j(r)\|$ depends semialgebraically and continuously on r . Observe that either $y_i(r) = x_i(r)$, or $y_j(r) = x_j(r)$, for sufficiently small r (otherwise the function $g_{ij}(r)$ has to be locally constant what contradicts to semialgebraicity). Suppose that $y_i(r) = x_i(r)$. Consider a triangle with vertices $x_i(r), y_j(r)$ and $x_j(r)$. Since $\beta_{ij} > \alpha_{ij}$, we have:

$$\|x_i(r) - y_j(r)\| \ll \|x_i(r) - x_j(r)\|,$$

for small r . Thus, the angle between $x_i(r) - x_j(r)$ and $y_j(r) - x_j(r)$ tends to zero as r tends to zero. But, since

$$\frac{y_j(r) - x_j(r)}{\|y_j(r) - x_j(r)\|} \rightarrow v_0, \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0,$$

we obtain that $\angle(x_i(r) - x_j(r), v_0) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$.

On the other hand, X_i and X_j have the same tangent vector v_0 at $\mathbf{0}$. Thus, for every sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that, for every $r < r_0$, we have $x_i(r) \in C_\varepsilon(v_0) \cap S_r(v_0)$ and $x_j(r) \in C_\varepsilon(v_0) \cap S_r(v_0)$. It means that

$$\angle(x_i(r) - x_j(r), v_0) \geq \frac{\pi}{2} - \delta(\varepsilon)$$

where $\delta(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. This is a contradiction. ■

4 Hölder Semicomplexes as bi-Lipschitz invariants

Theorem 4.1. *Germ of closed semialgebraic curves (X_1, x_1) and (X_2, x_2) are bi-Lipschitz equivalent if and only if the corresponding Hölder Semicomplexes $(A_1, \alpha_1) = sh(X_1, x_1)$ and $(A_2, \alpha_2) = sh(X_2, x_2)$ are combinatorially equivalent.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let $\Phi : (X_1, x_1) \rightarrow (X_2, x_2)$ be a given bi-Lipschitz map. Let $\{X_i^1\}_{i=1}^{k_1}$ and $\{X_j^2\}_{j=1}^{k_2}$ be pancake decompositions of (X_1, x_1) and (X_2, x_2) . Since Φ is a homeomorphism, we have $k_1 = k_2 = k$ and, for each i , $\Phi(X_i^1) = X_i^2$ (we can choose another renumeration if necessary). Let (A_1, α_1) and (A_2, α_2) be the Hölder Semicomplexes corresponding to (X_1, x_1) and (X_2, x_2) . Let $A_1 = \{a_i^1\}_{i=1}^k$, let $A_2 = \{a_i^2\}_{i=1}^k$ and put $h(a_i^1) = a_i^2$. Let us prove that $\alpha_1(a_i^1, a_j^1) = \alpha_2(a_i^2, a_j^2)$, for each i, j . Since Φ is a bi-Lipschitz map, there exists $K > 0$ such that, for sufficiently small r , we have:

$$\Phi(X_i^1 - B_r(x_1)) \subset X_i^2 - B_{Kr}(x_2), \tag{1}$$

$$\Phi(X_j^1 - B_r(x_1)) \subset X_j^2 - B_{Kr}(x_2). \tag{2}$$

Let $y_i^1(r) \in X_i^1 - B_r(x_1)$ and $y_j^1(r) \in X_j^1 - B_r(x_1)$ be points such that

$$\|y_i^1(r) - y_j^1(r)\| = dist(X_i^1 - B_r(x_1), X_j^1 - B_r(x_1))$$

Thus, by (1),(2) we obtain:

$$\|\Phi(y_i^1(r)) - \Phi(y_j^1(r))\| \geq g_{ij}^2(Kr)$$

(here g_{ij}^1, g_{ij}^2 mean the function g_{ij} defined for X_1 and X_2 correspondingly, see section 3). Since Φ is a bi-Lipschitz map, there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$g_{ij}^1(r) = \|y_i^1(r) - y_j^1(r)\| \geq L\|\Phi(y_i^1(r)) - \Phi(y_j^1(r))\|.$$

Thus,

$$g_{ij}^1(r) \geq Lg_{ij}^2(Kr).$$

Using the Newton-Puiseux Theorem and the Order Comparison Lemma, we obtain that $\alpha_1(a_i^1, a_j^1) \leq \alpha_2(a_i^2, a_j^2)$. Using the fact that Φ^{-1} is also a bi-Lipschitz map we obtain that $\alpha_1(a_i^1, a_j^1) \geq \alpha_2(a_i^2, a_j^2)$. The first part of the theorem is proved.

(\Leftarrow) Let (A_1, α_1) and (A_2, α_2) be combinatorially equivalent. We suppose that the isomorphism preserves the enumeration. Let $\{X_i^1\}_{i=1}^{k_1}$ and $\{X_i^2\}_{i=1}^{k_2}$ be pancake decompositions of (X_1, x_1) and (X_2, x_2) . Let $r_0 > 0$ be a number such that $x_i^1(r)$ and $x_i^2(r)$ are well defined semi-algebraic functions, for every $r < r_0$ and for every i . Consider the semialgebraic function $r : X_1 \cup X_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$r(x) = \begin{cases} \|x - x_1\|, & \text{for } x \in X_1; \\ \|x - x_2\|, & \text{for } x \in X_2. \end{cases}$$

Define $\Phi : X_1 \cap B_{r_0}(x_1) \rightarrow X_2 \cap B_{r_0}(x_2)$ by $\Phi(x) = x_i^2(r(x))$, for $x \in X_i^1$.

Claim. There exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\Phi|_{X_1 \cap B_\delta(x_1)}$ is a bi-Lipschitz map.

Proof of the claim. Each X_i^1 and X_i^2 has a tangent vector at x_1 and x_2 , respectively, hence, for some $\delta_0 > 0$, we have: $\Phi|_{X_i^1 \cap B_{\delta_0}(x_1)}$ is a bi-Lipschitz map, for all i . It is enough to prove that, for each pair (X_i^1, X_j^1) , the map $\Phi|_{(X_i^1 \cup X_j^1) \cap B_{\delta_1}(x_1)}$ is bi-Lipschitz, for some $\delta_1 > 0$.

Let $x \in X_i^1$ and $y \in X_j^1$ be two points sufficiently close to x_1 . We can suppose that $r(x) \leq r(y)$. Let $z \in X_j^1$ such that $r(z) = r(x)$. Consider the triangles (x, y, z) and $(\Phi(x), \Phi(y), \Phi(z))$. Since the curves $X_i^1, X_j^1, X_i^2, X_j^2$ are semialgebraic sets, for sufficiently small r , they are close enough to their tangent vectors. Thus, the angle at the vertex z in the triangle (x, y, z) and the angle at the vertex $\Phi(z)$ in the triangle $(\Phi(x), \Phi(y), \Phi(z))$ are bounded away from zero. Using this fact we obtain that there exist $K_1, K_2 > 0$ such that

$$K_1 \max(r(y) - r(x), f_{ij}^1(r(x))) \leq \|y - x\| \tag{3}$$

and

$$\|y - x\| \leq K_2 \max(r(y) - r(x), f_{ij}^1(r(x))) \tag{4}$$

(here f_{ij}^1, f_{ij}^2 mean the function f_{ij} defined for X_1 and X_2 correspondingly, see section 3). By the same way, we obtain that there exist

$L_1, L_2 > 0$ such that

$$L_1 \max(r(\Phi(y)) - r(\Phi(x)), f_{ij}^2(r(\Phi(x)))) \leq \|\Phi(y) - \Phi(x)\| \tag{5}$$

and

$$\|\Phi(y) - \Phi(x)\| \leq L_2 \max(r(\Phi(y)) - r(\Phi(x)), f_{ij}^2(r(\Phi(x)))). \tag{6}$$

Since $\alpha_1(a_i^1, a_j^1) = \alpha_2(a_i^2, a_j^2)$, there exist constants $M_1, M_2 > 0$ such that

$$M_1 f_{ij}^1(r) \leq f_{ij}^2(r) \leq M_2 f_{ij}^1(r). \tag{7}$$

Using the inequalities (3),(4),(5),(7) and the facts that $r(y) = r(\Phi(y))$ and $r(x) = r(\Phi(x))$ we obtain that Φ is a bi-Lipschitz map. The claim is proved. And, thus, Theorem 4.1 is proved. ■

5 The Realization Theorem

Theorem 5.1. *Let (A, α) be a Hölder Semicomplex. Let $\#A = k$. Then there exists a semialgebraic subset $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\dim X = 1$ satisfying the following conditions:*

(1) (A, α) is a Hölder Semicomplex corresponding to $(X, 0)$;

(2) $(X, 0)$ has a pancake decomposition $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^k$ such that X_i is a graph of an algebraic function $\psi_i : [0, \varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\psi_i(0) = 0$.

Remark 5. This theorem means that each Hölder Semicomplex can be realized as a plane semialgebraic curve. X is called a *realization* of (A, α) .

Proof of the theorem 5.1. We use induction on k . For $k = 1$, the statement is obvious. Suppose that the statement is proved, for some k . Let (A, α) be a Hölder Semicomplex such that $\#A = k + 1$. Let $\alpha_0 = \max_{i,j} \alpha(a_i, a_j)$. We can suppose that $\alpha_0 = \alpha(a_k, a_{k+1})$. Put $\tilde{A} = A - \{a_{k+1}\}$ and $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha|_{\tilde{A} \times \tilde{A}}$. Let \tilde{X} be a realization of $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\alpha})$. Let \tilde{X}_i be a pancake corresponding to a_i ($i = 1, \dots, k$). By the induction hypothesis, we have that \tilde{X}_i is a graph of some algebraic function $\tilde{\psi}_i : [0, \varepsilon_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{\psi}_i(0) = 0$. Put

$$\psi_{k+1}(x) = sx^{\alpha_0} + \tilde{\psi}_k(x).$$

Since \tilde{X} contains a finite number of branches, there exist s and $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ such that $\psi_{k+1}(x) \neq \tilde{\psi}_i(x)$, for every $i = 1, \dots, k$, if $x \neq 0$.

Put $\psi_i = \tilde{\psi}_i|_{[0,\varepsilon]}$ and let X_i be the graph of ψ_i . Let $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} X_i$. By straightforward calculations we obtain that (A, α) is a Hölder Semicomplex corresponding to $(X, 0)$. ■

Corollary 5.2. *Every semianalytic subset $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\dim X = 1$ is locally bi-Lipschitz equivalent to some semialgebraic subset $\tilde{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.*

6 Hölder Semicomplexes and Hölder Complexes

Here we are going to compare the bi-Lipschitz invariants of germs of semialgebraic curves defined in this paper with bi-Lipschitz invariants of germs of semialgebraic surfaces (see [1]). A complete intrinsic bi-Lipschitz invariant of two-dimensional semialgebraic sets is called *Hölder Complex*. Hölder Complex is a pair (Γ, β) where Γ is a finite graph and β is a rational valued function defined on the set of edges of Γ . One can find all the definitions and results related to this subject in [1] and [6].

Let (Γ, β) be a Hölder Complex. A vertex a of Γ is called *essential* if, for every neighbourhood U_a of a , we have: U_a is not a topological 1-manifold (in other words, a is neither artificial nor a loop vertex of Γ , see [1]). We are going to define a Hölder Semicomplex $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\alpha})$ corresponding to (Γ, β) in the following way:

(1) The set $V_{\tilde{A}}$ of vertices of \tilde{A} be the set of all essential vertices of Γ .

(2) Let a_1, a_2 be vertices of \tilde{A} . Let P be the set of all finite paths $\gamma = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$ (here $g_1, \dots, g_s \in E_\Gamma$) connecting a_1 and a_2 . We define

$$\tilde{\alpha}(a_1, a_2) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a_1, a_2 \text{ belong to different connected components of } \Gamma; \\ \max_{\gamma \in P} \min_{g_k \in \gamma} \beta(g_k). & \end{cases}$$

Proposition 6.1. $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\alpha})$ is a Hölder Semicomplex.

Proof. Let us prove the isosceles property. Let a_1, a_2, a_3 be three vertices of \tilde{A} such that $\tilde{\alpha}(a_1, a_2) \leq \tilde{\alpha}(a_2, a_3) \leq \tilde{\alpha}(a_1, a_3)$. We can suppose that a_1, a_2, a_3 belong to the same connected component of Γ . (Otherwise the proposition is trivial). Let $\{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$ be a path in Γ connecting a_1 and a_2 . Let $\{g'_1, \dots, g'_s\}$ be a path connecting a_1 and a_3 . Clearly, $\{g_k, \dots, g_1, g'_1, \dots, g'_s\}$ is a path connecting a_2 and a_3 . Thus, $\tilde{\alpha}(a_2, a_3) \leq \min \{\tilde{\alpha}(a_1, a_2), \tilde{\alpha}(a_1, a_3)\}$. Since $\tilde{\alpha}(a_1, a_2) \leq \tilde{\alpha}(a_1, a_3)$ we obtain $\tilde{\alpha}(a_2, a_3) = \tilde{\alpha}(a_1, a_2)$. ■

Let $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a two-dimensional semialgebraic set. Let $Y = Y^0 \cup Y^1 \cup Y^2$ be the canonical topological stratification of Y . This stratification can be obtained in the following way. Let Y^2 be the set of all points $y \in Y$ such that there exists a neighbourhood $U_y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $U_y \cap Y$ is a topological two-dimensional manifold. Let Y^1 be the set of points of $Y - Y^2$ such that, for each $\tilde{y} \in Y^1$, there exists a neighbourhood $U_{\tilde{y}}$ such that $U_{\tilde{y}} \cap (Y - Y^2)$ is a topological one-dimensional manifold. Set $Y^0 = Y - Y^2 - Y^1$. By [5], Y^0, Y^1 and Y^2 are semialgebraic sets and, thus, Y^0 is finite (see also [9]).

Let $y_0 \in Y^0$ and $y_0 \in Cl(Y^1)$ (here $Cl(Y^1)$ means a closure of Y^1). Then the germ of $Cl(Y^1)$ at y_0 is a germ of one-dimensional semialgebraic set. Let (A, α) be a Hölder Semicomplex associated to $(Cl(Y^1), y_0)$ (i.e. $(A, \alpha) = sh(Cl(Y^1), y_0)$). Let (Γ, β) be a canonical Hölder Complex of Y at y_0 (see[1]). Let $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\alpha})$ be the Hölder Semicomplex corresponding to (Γ, β) defined above.

Theorem 6.2.

(1) There exists a morphism $m : (\tilde{A}, \tilde{\alpha}) \rightarrow (A, \alpha)$.

(2) If Y is locally normally embedded at y_0 (see [3]) then $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\alpha})$ and (A, α) are isomorphic.

Proof. (2) Let Y_i^1 and Y_j^1 be two branches (pancakes) of $Cl(Y^1)$. Let a_i and a_j be the corresponding vertices in (Γ, β) . Suppose that a_i and a_j belong to the same connected component of Γ . Let $\gamma = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$ be a “maximal” path in Γ connecting a_i and a_j (it means that $\tilde{\alpha}(a_i, a_j) = \min_{g_k \in \gamma} \beta(g_k)$). By results of [1], the union of the curvilinear triangles corresponding to the edges g_1, \dots, g_s is bi-Lipschitz equivalent

to the standard $\tilde{\alpha}(a_i, a_j)$ -Hölder triangle. Let $a_i(r) \in Y_i^1$ and $a_j(r) \in Y_j^1$ be points such that

$$d_{ind}(a_i(r), y_0) = d_{ind}(a_j(r), y_0) = r.$$

Using results of [1] or [10] we obtain that there exist two constants K_1 and K_2 such that

$$K_1 d_l(a_i(r), y_0) \leq r^{\tilde{\alpha}(a_i, a_j)} \leq K_2 d_l(a_i(r), y_0)$$

(here d_l is the length metric). Since Y is locally normally embedded at y_0 we obtain (using results of sections 2-4) that $\alpha(a_i, a_j) = \tilde{\alpha}(a_i, a_j)$. If a_i and a_j belong to different connected components of Γ then $\alpha(a_i, a_j) = 1$ (because Y is locally normally embedded).

(1) Let Y be not locally normally embedded at y_0 . Let \tilde{Y} be the “normalization” obtained in [3]. Let $\tilde{Y}^0 \cup \tilde{Y}^1 \cup \tilde{Y}^2$ be a topological canonical stratification of \tilde{Y} . Let $\Phi : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be a semialgebraic map satisfying the following conditions:

(a) Φ is a bi-Lipschitz map with respect to the length metric. (b) Φ is a Lipschitz map with respect to the induced metric. Existence of this map is shown in [3]. Clearly, $\Phi(\tilde{Y}^1 \cup \tilde{Y}^0) = Y^1 \cup Y^0$. Let \tilde{Y}_i^1 and \tilde{Y}_j^1 be two branches of \tilde{Y}^1 and let $\tilde{y}_0 = \Phi^{-1}(y_0)$. Let $\tilde{a}_i(r) \in \tilde{Y}_i^1$ and $\tilde{a}_j(r) \in \tilde{Y}_j^1$ be two points such that

$$d_{ind}(\tilde{a}_i(r), \tilde{y}_0) = d_{ind}(\tilde{a}_j(r), \tilde{y}_0) = r.$$

By [3], we have

$$d_{ind}(\tilde{a}_i(r), \tilde{a}_j(r)) \geq d_{ind}(a_i(r), a_j(r)),$$

for sufficiently small r . Since \tilde{Y} is normally embedded, we obtain that $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\alpha})$ is a Hölder Semicomplex associated to $(Cl(\tilde{Y}^1), \tilde{y}_0)$. Since

$$d_{ind}(\tilde{a}_i(r), \tilde{a}_j(r)) = r^{\tilde{\alpha}(a_i, a_j)} + o(r^{\tilde{\alpha}(a_i, a_j)})$$

and

$$d_{ind}(a_i(r), a_j(r)) = r^{\alpha(a_i, a_j)} + o(r^{\alpha(a_i, a_j)}),$$

we have that

$$\tilde{\alpha}(a_i, a_j) \leq \alpha(a_i, a_j). \tag{8}$$

Set $m(a_i) = a_i$, for all i . By (8), m is a morphism of Hölder Semicomplexes. ■

Remark 6. If Y is not normally embedded (A, α) and $(\tilde{A}, \tilde{\alpha})$ are not necessary isomorphic. To see it consider the following example. Let (Γ, β) be a Hölder Complex such that Γ has more than one essential vertices. Let a_1 and a_2 be two essential vertices. Let Γ' be a new graph obtained from Γ by adding a new edge h connecting a_1 and a_2 . Set $\beta'(h) > \max_{g \in E_\Gamma} \beta(g)$. Then (Γ', β') is a Hölder Complex. Using the algorithm from [6] we construct a set Y and a point y_0 such that (Y, y_0) is a Geometric Hölder Complex corresponding to (Γ, β) . We can suppose that Y is normally embedded (otherwise we can obtain it using [3]). Consider now a semialgebraic set Y' obtained from Y by cutting the triangle corresponding to the edge h . For this set the Hölder Semicomplexes considered above are not isomorphic.

Proposition 6.3. *Let (Y_1, y_1) and (Y_2, y_2) be two germs of 2-dimensional semialgebraic sets such that (Y_1, y_1) and (Y_2, y_2) are bi-Lipschitz equivalent with respect to the induced metric. Then the corresponding Hölder Semicomplexes (A_1, α_1) and (A_2, α_2) are isomorphic.*

The proof is straightforward.

References

- [1] Birbrair L. Local bi-Lipschitz classification of 2-dimensional semialgebraic sets. - Houston Journal of Math., N3, vol.25, pp.453-472, 1999.
- [2] Bröker L., Kuppe M., Scheufler W. Inner metric properties of 2-dimensional semi-algebraic sets. Revista Matematica Complutense.vol.10, pp. 51-78, 1997.
- [3] Birbrair L., Mostowski T. Normal embedding of semialgebraic sets. - Michigan Math. Journal, vol. 47, pp. 125-132, 2000.
- [4] Bochnak J., Risler J-J. Sur les exposants de Lojasiewicz. Comment Math. Helvetici 50, 493-507, 1975.
- [5] Benedetti R., Risler J-J. Real algebraic and semialgebraic sets. Hermann, 1990.
- [6] Birbrair L., Sobolevsky M. Realization of Hölder Complexes. - Annales de la Faculte des Sciences de Toulouse, N1, vol.VIII, pp.35-44, 1998.

- [7] Gibson C.G., Wirthmüller K., du Plessis A.A., Looijenga E.J.N. Topological stability of smooth mappings. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 552, Springer, 1976.
- [8] Kurdyka K. On a subanalytic stratification satisfying Whitney property with exponent 1. Lecture Notes of Mathematics, 1524, pp. 316-322, 1992.
- [9] King H. Topological invariance of intersection homology without sheaves. - Topology and its applications, N20, pp.149-160, 1985.
- [10] Kurdyka K., Orro P. Distance geodesique sur un sous-analytique. Revista Matematica Complutense. vol 10. pp.173-182, 1997.
- [11] Parusinski A. Lipschitz properties of semianalytic sets. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 38 no. 4, pp. 189-213, 1998.

Lev Birbrair
Departamento de Matemática
Universidade Federal do Ceará
Campus do Pici Bloco 914
CEP 60455-760- Fortaleza, Ce-Brasil
E-mail: lev@mat.ufc.br

Alexandre C.G. Fernandes
Instituto de Matematica
USP - Sao Carlos
Caixa Postal 668, 13560-000
Sao Carlos, SP-Brasil
E-mail: alex@icmc.sc.usp.br

Recibido: 24 de Mayo de 1999
Revisado: 6 de Marzo de 2000