

# *Positive solutions of an elliptic equation with strongly nonlinear lower order terms*

FRANÇOIS DE THELIN

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we study the existence of positive solutions of the equation:

$$\Delta_p u + g(x, u) = 0$$

in the case when the growth of  $g(x, \cdot)$  is allowed to be of exponential type.

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $1 < p < +\infty$  and let  $\Omega$  be a bounded regular open set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . We look for positive solutions,  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , of the equation:

$$(E) \quad \Delta_p u + g(x, u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

where  $F(\nabla u) = |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u$  and  $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div} F(\nabla u)$ .

We are specially interested by the case when the growth of  $g$  near  $u = +\infty$  is not of polynomial type, for example of exponential type.

In the case when  $\Omega$  is a starshaped domain and  $g(x, u) = |u|^{r-2} u$  with  $\gamma(N-p) > Np$ , it is well known [7,9,10,12,13] that (E) cannot have positive solutions  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

On the other hand, in the case when  $p=2$  and  $\Omega = A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \rho < |x| < R\}$  with  $0 < \rho < R < +\infty$ , recent papers have shown that (E) has positive solutions:

- either for  $g(u) = 0(u^k)$ ,  $k > -1$ , near  $u = +\infty$  [3];
- or for  $R - \rho$  sufficiently small [2].

In this paper, proving that radially symmetric functions in  $W_0^{1,p}(A)$  are in  $L^\infty(A)$ , we can obtain positive solutions of (E) for any  $p \in ]1, +\infty[$ , any  $R - \rho > 0$ , and any growth of  $g$  near  $u = +\infty$ .

In the limit case  $N = p$ ,  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \not\subset L^\infty(\Omega)$  but [1]  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset L_M(\Omega)$ , where  $L_M$  is the Orlicz space associated with the Young function:

$$M(\zeta) = \exp(|\zeta|^\sigma - 1), \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^*} = 1$$

Trudinger [15] has shown that for  $p = 2$ , any  $q \in ]0, 2[$  and any  $c > 0$ , there are some  $\lambda > 0$  and  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  such that:

$$\Delta u + \lambda u^q \exp(|u|^q) = 0 \text{ and } \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{u(x)} t^q \exp(t^q) dt dx = c$$

In this paper we extend these results to  $p \neq 2$  and eliminate this  $\lambda$ .

The particular case  $N = p$ ,  $\Omega = B(0, R)$  is interesting because we can prove that, for any growth of  $g$  near  $u = +\infty$ , (E) can have positive radially symmetric solutions if  $R$  is sufficiently large; we extend to the case  $p \neq 2$  the results of Hempel [4,5] and Nehari [6].

As a conclusion, consider the example:

$$g(x, \zeta) = |\zeta|^\sigma \exp(|\zeta|^q) \text{ with } \sigma > p - 1 \text{ and } q > 0$$

(E) has positive solutions:

- for  $p > N$  or  $\Omega = A$  (Theorem 1)
- for  $p = N$  and  $q < p^*$  (Theorem 2)
- or  $p = N$ ,  $\Omega = B(0, R)$ ,  $R > R_\phi$   
 $\sigma > \max(p-1, 1)$  and  $q > 1$  (Theorem 3)

## 1. BOUNDED SOLUTIONS

Let  $X$  be a closed subspace of  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .  $g$  is assumed to be a Caratheodory function satisfying the following conditions:

- (H1)  $\forall x \in \Omega, \forall \zeta \in \mathbb{R}, g(x, \zeta) \geq 0$   
and  $\forall x \in \Omega, \forall \zeta > 0, g(x, \zeta) > 0$ ;
- (H2)  $\forall K > 0, \exists M > 0$  such that for any  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}, |\zeta| \leq K$ , and for any  $x \in \Omega, g(x, \zeta) \leq M$ ;
- (H3) There exist some  $\sigma_0 > p - 1$  and  $\zeta_0 \geq 0$  such that:

$\forall \zeta \geq \zeta_0, \zeta \rightarrow \frac{G(x, \zeta)}{\zeta^{\sigma_0+1}}$  is a non decreasing function

where  $G(x, \zeta) = \int_0^\zeta g(x, s) ds.$

**Remark:** It is sufficient to suppose that  $g$  satisfies (H1) and (H2) on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ ; it can be easily extended to a function satisfying (H1) and (H2) on  $\mathbb{R}.$

**Theorem 1:**

Let  $g$  satisfy the conditions (H1), (H2), (H3) and suppose that:

(i)  $X \subset L^\infty(\Omega).$

(ii) There exist some  $\zeta_1 > 0, \sigma_1 > p-1$  and  $c > 0$  such that:

$$\forall x \in \Omega, \forall \zeta \in [0, \zeta_1], G(x, \zeta) \leq c \zeta^{\sigma_1+1}$$

Then there is at least one positive solution  $u \in X \cap C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$  of (E).

The condition (i) is satisfied for  $X = W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and any bounded open set  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  in the case when  $p > N$ ; the following proposition gives an other interesting example.

**Proposition 1:**

Let  $0 < \rho < R < +\infty$  and  $\Omega$  be an annulus in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ :

$\Omega = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N: \rho < |x| < R \}.$  Let  $X$  be the set of radially symmetric functions in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega).$

Then, there exist a positive constant  $C(N, p, \rho, R)$  such that:

$$\forall u \in X, \forall x \in \Omega, |u(x)| \leq C(N, p, \rho, R) \|\nabla u\|_p$$

**Examples:**

Let  $h: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a positive non decreasing continuous function and  $g(x, \zeta) = \zeta^\sigma h(\zeta)$  where  $\sigma > p-1$ ; for instance  $g(x, \zeta) = \zeta^\sigma \exp(\zeta^q), \sigma > p-1, q > 0$ ; then  $g$  satisfies (H1), (H2), (H3) and (ii).

In the case when  $\Omega$  is an annulus and  $\sigma > 1$ , we obtain positive solutions of

$$\Delta u + u^\sigma h(u) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega$$

without any limiting condition as  $g(u) = 0(u^k)$  when  $u \rightarrow +\infty$  (GARAI-ZAR [3]), neither  $R - \rho$  small (BUNDLE - PELETIER [2]); besides we obtain analogous results for  $p \neq 2$  and  $\sigma > p - 1$ . On the other hand our conditions are more restrictive than [2], [3] on the growth of  $g$  and on the limit of  $g(u)$  when  $u \rightarrow 0$ .

### Proof of Proposition 1

Let  $u(x) = \varphi(|x|)$ ; we have

$$-\varphi(|x|) = \varphi(R) - \varphi(|x|) = \int_{|x|}^R \varphi'(t) dt$$

By Hölder's inequality we get:

$$|u(x)| \leq \left( \int_{|x|}^R |\varphi'(t)|^p t^{N-1} dt \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{|x|}^R \frac{dt}{t^{(N-1)/(p-1)}} \right)^{1/p^*}$$

$$\int_{|x|}^R |\varphi'(t)|^p t^{N-1} dt = \frac{1}{\omega_N} \int_{|x| \leq |y| \leq R} |\nabla u(y)|^p dy$$

whence the result with:

$$C(N, p, \rho, R) = \frac{1}{\omega_N^{1/p}} \left( \int_{\rho}^R \frac{dt}{t^{(N-1)/(p-1)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*}} \quad \square$$

The proof of Theorem 1 needs the following lemmas.

#### Lemma 1:

For any  $u \in X$ , let us consider:

$$J(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p dx - \int_{\Omega} G(x, u(x)) dx$$

Suppose that  $g$  satisfies (H1), (H2), (H3). Then any sequence  $(u_j) \subset X$  such  $|J(u_j)| \leq K$  and  $J'(u_j) \rightarrow 0$  in  $X^*$ , is bounded in  $X$ .

**Proof:**

For any  $v \in X$ , we have:

$$J'(u)(v) = \int_{\Omega} F(\nabla u) \cdot \nabla v - \int_{\Omega} g(\cdot, u) v$$

$\Omega$  being a bounded set we set:

$$\|u\|_X = \|\nabla u\|_p = \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Suppose that a subsequence denoted by  $u_j$  be such that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \|u_j\|_X = +\infty$ ; we get:

$$-\frac{K}{\|u_j\|_X^p} \leq \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\int_{\Omega} G(\cdot, u_j)}{\|u_j\|_X^p} \leq \frac{K}{\|u_j\|_X^p}$$

$$-\frac{\varepsilon}{\|u_j\|_X^{p-1}} \leq 1 - \frac{\int_{\Omega} u_j g(\cdot, u_j)}{\|u_j\|_X^p} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\|u_j\|_X^{p-1}}$$

whence  $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_{\Omega} G(\cdot, u_j)}{\int_{\Omega} u_j g(\cdot, u_j)} = \frac{1}{p}$

(H3) gives for any  $\zeta \geq \zeta_0 : \zeta g(\cdot, \zeta) \geq (\sigma_0 + 1) G(\cdot, \zeta)$ , whence:

$$\int_{\Omega} G(\cdot, u_j) \leq C_1 + \frac{1}{(\sigma_0 + 1)} \int_{\Omega} u_j g(\cdot, u_j)$$

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\int_{\Omega} G(\cdot, u_j)}{\int_{\Omega} u_j g(\cdot, u_j)} \leq \frac{1}{\sigma_0 + 1} < \frac{1}{p}$$

A contradiction, whence  $\|u_j\|_X$  is bounded.  $\square$

**Lemma 2:**

*If the hypothesis of Theorem 1 are satisfied,  $J \in C^1(X)$  and satisfies the Palais - Smale condition.*

**Proof:**

An easy consequence of Lebesgue's theorem shows that for  $u_j \rightarrow u$ ,  $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \|g(\cdot, u_j) - g(\cdot, u)\|_p = 0$ , whence  $J \in C^1(X)$ .

Suppose that  $|J(u_j)| \leq K$  and  $J'(u_j) \rightarrow 0$ ; by lemma 1,  $g(\cdot, u_j)$  is bounded, and the injection  $X \subset L^p$  being compact, there exists a subsequence denoted by  $u_j$  which converges to  $u$  in strong  $L^p$ .

So,  $\lim_{n,m \rightarrow +\infty} I_{n,m} = 0$  where

$$I_{n,m} = \int_{\Omega} [F(\nabla u_n) - F(\nabla u_m)] \cdot \nabla(u_n - u_m) \\ = (J'(u_n) - J'(u_m))(u_n - u_m) + \int_{\Omega} [g(\cdot, u_n) - g(\cdot, u_m)](u_n - u_m).$$

On the other hand we have:

$$\|\nabla u_n - \nabla u_m\|_p^p \leq c \{I_{n,m}\}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \{ \|\nabla u_n\|_p^p + \|\nabla u_m\|_p^p \}^{1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}}$$

where  $\alpha = \min(p, 2)$  (for example see [11]).

Whence  $u_j$  converges to  $u$  in  $X$ ; the Palais-Smale condition is satisfied.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1:**

We shall apply Pass-Mountain Lemma [8] to the function  $J$  defined in Lemma 1.  $J$  satisfies Palais-Smale condition and  $J(0) = 0$ .

Let us show that, for  $\|u\|_X = r$  sufficiently small, we have  $J(u) \geq \alpha > 0$ . By (i) there is some  $c' > 0$  such that,

$$\forall x \in \Omega, |u(x)| \leq c' \|u\|_X; \text{ for } \|u\|_X \leq \frac{\xi_1}{c'} \text{ we obtain with (ii):}$$

$$G(x, u(x)) \leq c|u(x)|^{\sigma_1+1} \leq c(c')^{\sigma_1+1} \|u\|_X^{\sigma_1+1}$$

$$J(u) \geq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_X^p [1 - c'' \|u\|_X^{\alpha_1 + 1 - p}]$$

For  $\|u\|_X = r \leq \min \left[ \frac{\zeta_1}{c'}, \frac{1}{2c''} \right]$  we get  $J(u) \geq \frac{r^p}{2p} = \alpha > 0$ .

Now, let us consider  $u_0 \in X$  such that:

$$\forall x \in \Omega_0, u_0(x) \geq \alpha_0 > 0 \text{ and } \text{meas}(\Omega_0) > 0.$$

For  $\lambda$  sufficiently large,  $\lambda \alpha_0 \geq \zeta_0$  and by (H3):

$$\int_{\Omega} G(\cdot, \lambda u_0) \geq \int_{\Omega_0} G(\cdot, \lambda u_0) \geq \beta \lambda^{\alpha_0 + 1}$$

where  $\beta = \frac{1}{\zeta_0^{\alpha_0 + 1}} \int_{\Omega_0} G(x, \zeta_0) |u_0(x)|^{\alpha_0 + 1} dx > 0$

We then obtain

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} J(\lambda u_0) \leq \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \left[ \frac{\lambda^p}{p} \|u_0\|_X^p - \beta \lambda^{\alpha_0 + 1} \right] = -\infty$$

and there is some  $v_0 \in X, v_0 \neq 0$ , such that  $J(v_0) = 0$ .

By the Pass-Mountain lemma, there exists some  $u_0 \in X, u_0 \neq 0$ , such that  $J(u_0) = 0$ :

$$\forall v \in X, \int_{\Omega} F(\nabla u_0) \cdot \nabla v - \int_{\Omega} g(\cdot, u_0) v = 0.$$

By TOLKSDORF's regularity results  $u_0 \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$  [14], and by VAZ-QUEZ's maximum principle [16],  $u_0 > 0$  in  $\Omega$ .  $\square$

## 2. SOLUTIONS IN AN ORLICZ SPACE

Let us recall that a Young function  $M$  is an even convex function from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , such that:

$$\lim_{\zeta \rightarrow 0} \frac{M(\zeta)}{\zeta} = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{\zeta \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{M(\zeta)}{\zeta} = +\infty.$$

The conjugate  $M^*$  of  $M$  is defined by:

$$M^*(\zeta) = \sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}} [\zeta s - M(s)]$$

The Orlicz space  $L_M(\Omega)$  is the set of measurable functions  $u$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that there is some  $\lambda > 0$  with

$$\int_{\Omega} M\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right) < +\infty.$$

$L_M(\Omega)$  is a Banach space for the following norm:

$$\|u\|_M = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} M\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Let  $E_M(\Omega)$  be the closure of  $D(\Omega)$  in  $L_M(\Omega)$ .

We say that  $M$  is superhomogeneous of degree  $(\sigma + 1)$  if there exists some  $K > 0$  such that [11] :

$$\forall \zeta \in \mathbb{R}, \forall h \in [0, 1], M(h\zeta) \leq h^{\sigma+1} M(K\zeta).$$

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded regular open set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

In the case when  $N = p$ ,  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \not\subset L^\infty(\Omega)$ , but  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset E_{M_1}(\Omega)$  [1] where

$$M_1(\zeta) = \exp |\zeta|^p - 1, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^*} = 1$$

So, we can get the following Theorem.

**Theorem 2:**

Let  $g$  satisfy the conditions (H1), (H2), (H3). Suppose that there exists a Young function of exponential type  $M$  such that:

- (i) The imbedding  $W_0^{1,p} \hookrightarrow E_M(\Omega)$  is compact;
- (ii)  $M$  is superhomogeneous of degree  $\sigma_1 + 1 > p$ ;
- (iii) There are some  $c_1 > 0$  and  $K_1 > 0$  such that:

$$\forall x \in \Omega, \forall \zeta \in \mathbb{R}, \zeta g(x, \zeta) \leq c_1 M\left(\frac{\zeta}{K_1}\right);$$

- (iv)  $\forall K > 0, \lim_{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x, \zeta)}{M\left(\frac{\zeta}{K}\right)} = 0$ , uniformly in  $x$ .



Then there is at least one positive solution  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$  of (E).

**Example:**

Let  $p = N = 2$ ;  $g(x, \zeta) = \zeta^\sigma \exp(\zeta^q)$  with  $\sigma > 1$ ,  $0 < q < 2$ , and

$$M(\zeta) = |\zeta|^{\sigma+1-r} (e^{|\zeta|^r} - 1) \text{ with } q < r < 2.$$

$r < 2$  gives (i) [1];  $\zeta \rightarrow e^{|\zeta|^r} - 1$  is superhomogeneous of degree  $r$ , whence (ii); (iii) is easy and  $q < r$  gives (iv).

So, the equation:

$$\Delta u + u^\sigma e^{u^q} = 0$$

has at least one positive solution  $u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ .

In a similar case TRUDINGER [15] proves that for any  $m > 0$ , there exist  $\lambda > 0$  and  $u > 0$  such that  $\int_{\Omega} G(., u) = m$  and

$$\Delta u + \lambda g(x, u) = 0.$$

Our method allows us to eliminate this  $\lambda$ .

We obtain the same results for the equation:

$$\Delta_p u + u^\sigma e^{u^q} = 0$$

where  $p = N \geq 2$ ,  $\sigma > p-1$ ,  $0 < q < \frac{p}{p-1}$

$J$  being defined in lemma 1, the proof of Theorem 2 needs the following lemma.

**Lemma 3:**

*If the hypothesis of Theorem 2 are satisfied,  $J \in C^1(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))$  and satisfies the Palais Smale condition.*

**Proof:**

Let  $(u_j)$  be a bounded sequence in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .  
By (i) there is some  $K > 0$  such that:

$$\forall j, \int_{\Omega} M\left(\frac{u_j}{K}\right) \leq 1$$

Let  $c > 0$  be such that  $M^*\left(\frac{1}{c}\right)\text{meas}(\Omega) < 1$  and:

$$\forall x \in \Omega, \forall \zeta \in \mathbb{R}, |g(x, \zeta)| \leq \frac{c}{2} + \frac{1}{2}M'\left(\frac{\zeta}{K}\right).$$

We obtain:

$$(1) \int_{\Omega} M^*\left[\frac{g(\cdot, u_j)}{c^2}\right] \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2}M^*\left(\frac{1}{c}\right) + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2}M\left(\frac{u_j}{K}\right) \leq 1.$$

Let  $u_j$  converges to  $u$  in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . For sufficiently small  $\delta$  and for  $\text{meas}(A) < \delta$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_A M^*\left[\frac{g(\cdot, u_j)}{c^2}\right] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}M^*\left(\frac{1}{c}\right)\text{meas}(A) + \frac{1}{4} \int_A M\left(\frac{u_j - u}{K}\right) + \frac{1}{4} \int_A M\left(\frac{u}{K}\right) \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

$M^*\left[\frac{g(\cdot, u_j) - g(\cdot, u)}{c^2}\right]$  is then an equi-summable sequence and

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} M^*\left[\frac{g(\cdot, u_j) - g(\cdot, u)}{c^2}\right] = 0$$

By (ii)  $M^*$  satisfies the “ $\Delta_2$ -condition” [11], so  $\lim \|g(\cdot, u_j) - g(\cdot, u)\|_{M^*} = 0$ ; whence  $J \in C^1(W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))$ .

Suppose now that  $|J(u_j)| \leq K_1$  and  $J'(u_j) \rightarrow 0$ . By lemma 1,  $\|u_j\|_{W_0^{1,p}}$  is bounded and, by (i),  $u_j$  converges in  $E_M(\Omega)$ ; by relation (1),  $g(\cdot, u_j)$  converges for  $\sigma(L_{M^*}, E_M)$ . So the same proof than for lemma 2 shows that the Palais-Smale condition is satisfied.  $\square$

### Proof of Theorem 2:

Let us show that for  $\|u\|_{W_0^{1,p}} = r$  sufficiently small we have  $J(u) \geq \alpha > 0$ .

By (iii) and (ii), we have

$$\forall x \in \Omega, \forall \zeta \in \mathbb{R}, \forall h \in [0,1], G(x,\zeta) \leq c_1 M\left(\frac{\zeta}{K_1}\right) \leq h^{\sigma_1+1} M\left(\frac{K\zeta}{K_1 h}\right)$$

By (i)

$$\forall u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \|u\|_M \leq c \|u\|_{W_0^{1,p}}$$

Whence for  $\|u\|_{W_0^{1,p}} = r \leq \frac{K_1}{cK}$  and  $h = \frac{cKr}{K_1}$  :

$$\int_{\Omega} G(.,u) \leq c_1 \int_{\Omega} M\left(\frac{u}{K_1}\right) \leq c_1 h^{\sigma_1+1} \int_{\Omega} M\left(\frac{u}{cr}\right) \leq c_1 h^{\sigma_1+1} = c^{\sigma_1+1} \|u\|_{W_0^{1,p}}^{\sigma_1+1}$$

The same proof than for Theorem 1 gives  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ,  $u \neq 0$ , solution of (E). The end of the proof is a consequence of the following lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 4:**

If all the hypothesis of Theorem 2 are satisfied,  $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega)$ .

**Proof:**

This proof is very similar to OTANI's one [9] (see also [13]). By (iii) there is some  $s > 1$  such that  $ug(x,u) \in L^s(\Omega)$ .

Consider the following sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 &= 2ps^* = 2ps / (s-1) \\ q_{k+1} &= 2(p+q_k) \\ \theta &= s^* q_k \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (E) by  $|u|^{q_k} u$ , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{p}{p+q_k}\right)^p \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \left( u^{1+\frac{q_k}{p}} \right) \right|^p &= \int_{\Omega} u g(.,u) |u|^{q_k} \\ &\leq \|u g(.,u)\|_s \| |u|^{q_k} \|_s \leq c \|u\|_{s^*}^{q_k} \end{aligned}$$

$M$  being of exponential type,  $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{2ps^*}(\Omega)$  and there is some  $K$  such that:

$$\|u\|_{2^{s^* (p+q_k)} }^{p+q_k} \leq K^p \int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla \left( u^{1 + \frac{q_k}{p}} \right) \right|^p$$

We then obtain:

$$\|u\|_{\theta_{k+1}}^{\theta_{k+1}/2^{s^*}} \leq c \left( \frac{K(p+q_k)}{p} \right)^p \|u\|_{\theta_k}^{\theta_k/s^*}$$

This formal proof can be made rigorous by using some regularized equation [13].

Observing that  $p + q_k \leq 4^{k-1} 4ps^*$ , we get:

$$\|u\|_{\theta_{k+1}}^{\theta_{k+1}} \leq c^{2s^*} (4Ks^*)^{2ps^*} 4^{20(k-1)ps^*} \|u\|_{\theta_k}^{2\theta_k}$$

Let:

$$E_k = \theta_k \text{Log} \|u\|_{\theta_k}$$

$$a = 4^{2ps^*}$$

$$b = \text{Log} [c^{2s^*} (2Ks^*)^{2ps^*}]$$

$$r_k = b + (k-1) \text{Log} a.$$

We then obtain:

$$E_{k+1} \leq r_k + 2E_k$$

Whence, following OTANI [9], we deduce:

$$\|u\|_{\infty} \leq \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \exp \left( \frac{E_k}{\theta_k} \right) < +\infty$$

So  $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  and by TOLKSDORF's results  $u \in C^{l,\alpha}(\Omega)$ .  $\square$

### 3. A PARTICULAR CASE : $\Omega$ IS A BALL

In the particular case when  $\Omega$  is a ball and  $N = p$ , we can obtain radially symmetric solutions of (E), for any growth of  $g$  near infinity.

For simplicity we suppose that  $g$  does not depend on  $x$  ; we assume the following conditions:

(H4)  $g \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $g \geq 0$  and  $g(0) = 0$  ;

(H5)  $g$  and  $g'$  are non decreasing on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  ;

(H6)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(t)}{t^{p-1}} = 0$

**Theorem 3:**

Let  $N = p \geq 2$  and let  $g$  satisfy the conditions (H4), (H5), (H6). Then, there exists  $R_0$  such that, for  $R \geq R_0$ , the equation

$$(E) \quad \Delta_p u + g(u) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega = B(0, R)$$

admits at least one positive radially symmetric solution  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

**Example:**

For any  $\sigma > \max(1, p-1)$  and any  $q > 1$ ,  $g(\zeta) = |\zeta|^\sigma \exp |\zeta|^q$  satisfies (H4), (H5), (H6).

Theorem 3 is a consequence of the following proposition. Let us consider the following system:

$$(S) \quad \begin{cases} v'(x) = |w(x)|^{p^*-2} w(x) \\ w'(x) = -\frac{e^{-x}}{p^p} g[v(x)] \end{cases}$$

$$\text{where } p^* = \frac{p}{p-1}$$

Submitted to the conditions:

$$(L.C.) \quad \begin{cases} \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} v(x) = m \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} w(x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 2:**

Let  $p \geq 2$  and let  $g$  satisfy the conditions (H4), (H5), (H6). Then, for any  $m > 0$ , (S) + (L.C.) admits one and only one solution  $(v, w)$ ; there exists some  $\alpha = \theta(m) \in \mathbb{R}$  such that:

$$v(\alpha) = 0 \text{ and } v > 0 \text{ on } ]\alpha, +\infty[.$$

Moreover  $\theta$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\lim_{m \rightarrow 0} \theta(m) = -\infty$ .

**Proof:**

Let us consider the following iterations;  
 $v_0 = 0$  and for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  :

$$w_n(x) = \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{p^p} g[v_n(t)] dt$$

$$v_{n+1}(x) = m - \int_x^{+\infty} |w_n(t)|^{p^*-2} w_n(t) dt.$$

We have:

$$w_1(x) = \frac{g(m)}{p^p} e^{-x} > w_0 = 0$$

$$v_2(x) = m - \frac{g(m)}{p^p(p^*-1)} \exp[-(p^*-1)x] < m = v_1(x)$$

There is some  $M(m, p)$  such that:

$$\forall x \geq M(m, p), v_2(x) \geq \frac{m}{2} > v_0(x) \text{ and}$$

$$w_2(x) = \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{p^p} g[v_2(t)] dt \geq \frac{f\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)}{p^p} e^{-x}.$$

By induction we can prove that for any  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $v_{2q}$  is a nondecreasing sequence,  $v_{2q+1}$  is a nonincreasing sequence and  $v_{2q} \leq v_{2q+1}$ ; whence for any  $n$  we have either  $v_n \leq v_{n+1}$ , or  $v_{n+1} \leq v_n$ .

Suppose that  $n \geq 2$  and  $v_n \leq v_{n+1}$ ; we have  $w_n \leq w_{n+1}$  and  $v_{n+2} \leq v_{n+1}$ .

$p^* \leq 2$  and  $w_n \geq w_2$ , whence :

$$|w_{n+1}(t)|^{p^*-2} w_{n+1}(t) - |w_n(t)|^{p^*-2} w_n(t) \leq (p^*-1) |w_2(t)|^{p^*-2} [w_{n+1}(t) - w_n(t)].$$

We then obtain:

$$0 \leq v_{n+1}(x) - v_{n+2}(x) \leq \frac{p^* - 1}{p^* - 2} \left( \frac{g\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)}{p^p} \right)^{p^* - 2} \exp[-(p^* - 2)x] \sup_{t \in [x, +\infty]} |w_{n+1}(t) - w_n(t)|.$$

On the other hand, by (H5), we get:

$$0 \leq w_{n+1}(x) - w_n(x) \leq \frac{g'(m)e^{-x}}{p^p} \sup_{t \in [x, +\infty]} |v_{n+1}(x) - v_n(x)|.$$

Therefore:

$$\sup_{t \in [x, +\infty]} |v_{n+2}(x) - v_{n+1}(x)| \leq c(x) \sup_{t \in [x, +\infty]} |v_{n+1}(t) - v_n(t)|.$$

And, for  $x \geq M_1(m, p) \geq M(m, p)$ , we have:

$$c(x) = \frac{p^* - 1}{p^* - 2} \left( \frac{g\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)}{p^p} \right)^{p^* - 2} \frac{g'(m)}{p^p} \exp[-(p^* - 1)x] < 1$$

By Picard's theorem we obtain a unique solution  $(v, w)$  of (S) + (L.C) for  $x \geq M_1(m, p)$ . By classical differential equations theory this solution can be continued for  $x < M_1(m, p)$ . Since  $v$  has increasing gradient, it has a last zero at a point  $x = \alpha = \theta(m)$ .

Let us set:

$$H(x, m) = \int_x^{+\infty} |w(t, m)|^{p^* - 2} w(t, m) dt - m.$$

$\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}(\alpha, m) \neq 0$  and by implicit functions theorem  $\theta$  is continuous.

For  $x \in ]\alpha, +\infty]$ , we have  $0 < v(x) \leq m$ , whence:

$$w(x) \leq \int_x^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-t}}{p^p} g(m) = \frac{e^{-x}}{p^p} g(m)$$

$$m = \int_{\alpha}^{+\infty} v'(x) dx \leq \left( \frac{g(m)}{p^p} \right)^{p^*-1} \frac{e^{-(p^*-1)\alpha}}{p^*-1}$$

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow 0} (p^*-1) p^p e^{(p^*-1)\theta(m)} \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{g(m)}{m^{p-1}} \right)^{p^*-1} = 0$$

whence  $\lim_{m \rightarrow 0} \theta(m) = -\infty$ .  $\square$

### Proof of Theorem 3:

By proposition 2, there is some  $\alpha_0$  such that for any  $\alpha = -p \text{Log } R \leq \alpha_0$ , (S)+ (L.C.) has one and only one solution such that  $v(\alpha, m) = 0$ . The change of variable  $x = -p \text{Log } r$ ,  $v(x) = \varphi(r)$  transforms (S) into the equation:

$$\frac{d}{dr} \{ |r\varphi'(r)|^{p-2} r\varphi'(r) \} + r^{p-1} g[\varphi(r)] = 0$$

which is the radial form of the equation (E), with boundary condition  $\varphi(R) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark:** The deep study of the case  $p = 2$  made by HEMPEL [5] and NEHARI [6] shows that there is no hope to find a solution of (E) for any  $R$ , if the growth of  $g$  has no bound when  $u \rightarrow +\infty$ .

### References

- [1] R.A. ADAMS, *Sobolev Spaces*; Academic Press, 1975.
- [2] C. BANDLE and L. A. PELETIER, *Problèmes de Dirichlet non-liméaires dans des anneaux*; C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris t. 303, Serie I n.° 5, 1986, 181-184.
- [3] X. GARAIZAR, *Existence of positive radial solutions for semilinear elliptic equations in the annulus*; Journal of Diff. eq. 70, 1987, 69-92.
- [4] J.A. HEMPEL, *Superlinear variational boundary value problems and non-uniqueness*; Thesis, Univ. New England, 1970.
- [5] J.A. HEMPEL, *On a superlinear differential equation*; Indiana Univ. Math. Journal, vol. 26 n.° 2, 1977, 265-275.
- [6] Z. NEHARI, *On a class of nonlinear second order differential equations*; Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 95, 1960, 101-123.
- [7] W.M. NI and J. SERRIN, *Existence and non-existence theorems for ground*



states for quasilinear partial differential equations; Proc. Acad. Lincei (to appear).

- [8] L. NIRENBERG, *Variational and topological methods, in nonlinear problems*; Bulletin of the A.M.S., vol. 4 n.° 3, 1981, 267-302.
- [9] M. OTANI, *Existence and non-existence of non trivial solutions of some nonlinear degenerate elliptic equations*; J. Funct. Analysis, vol. 76 n.°1, 1988, 140-159.
- [10] S.I. POHOZAEV, *Eigenfunction of the equation  $\Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0$* ; Soviet. Math. Dokl n.° 6, 1965, 1408-1411.
- [11] F. DE THELIN, *Régularité de la solution d'une équation fortement (ou faiblement) non linéaire*; Annales Fac. Sciences Toulouse, vol. 2, 1980, 249-281.
- [12] F. DE THELIN, *Quelques résultats d'existence et de non existence pour une E.D.P. elliptique non linéaire*; C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, t. 299, Serie I n.° 18, 1984, 911-914.
- [13] F. DE THELIN, *Résultats d'existence et de non existence pour la solution positive et bornée d'une E.D.P. elliptique non linéaire*; Annales Fac. Sciences Toulouse, vol. VIII n.° 3, 1987, 373-389.
- [14] P. TOLKSDORF, *On the Dirichlet problem for quasilinear equations in domains with conical boundary points*; Comm. P.D.E., n.° 8, 1983, 773-817.
- [15] N.S. TRUDINGER, *On imbeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications*; J. of Math. and Mech. vol. 17 n.° 5, 1967, 473-483.
- [16] J.L. VAZQUEZ, *A strong maximum principle for quasilinear elliptic equations*; Appl. Math. and Optimization, n.° 12, 1984, 191-202.

Université Paul Sabatier  
31062 Toulouse  
FRANCE

Recibido: 17 noviembre 1988