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ABSTRACT

The purpose of this paper is to relate several generalizations of the notion of the Heegaard splitting of a closed 3-manifold to compact, orientable 3-manifolds with nonempty boundary.
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1. Introduction

Throughout this paper we work in the piecewise-linear category, consisting of simplicial complexes and piecewise-linear maps.

We call a compact, connected, orientable 3-manifold $M$ with nonempty boundary $\partial M$ a bordered 3-manifold. A bordered 3-manifold $H$ is said to be a handlebody of genus $g$ iff $H$ is the disk-sum (i.e., the boundary connected-sum) of $g$ copies of the solid-torus $D^2 \times S^1$ (see Gross [3], Swarup [16], etc.). A handlebody of genus $g$ is characterized as a regular neighborhood $N(P; \mathbb{R}^3)$ of a connected 1-polyhedron $P$ with Euler characteristic $\chi(P) = 1 - g$ in the 3-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^3$ and as an irreducible bordered 3-manifold $M$ with connected boundary whose fundamental group $\pi_1(M)$ is a free group of rank $g$ (see Ochiai [10]).

It is well-known that a closed (i.e., compact, without boundary), connected, orientable 3-manifold $M$ is decomposed into two homeomorphic handlebodies; that is,
Proposition 1.1 (Heegaard Splittings; see Seifert-Threlfall [14], etc.).

(i) For every closed, connected, orientable 3-manifold \( M \), there exist handlebodies \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \) in \( M \) such that

(a) \( H_1 \cong H_2 \), that is, \( \text{genus}(H_1) = \text{genus}(H_2) = g \),
(b) \( M = H_1 \cup H_2 \), and
(c) \( H_1 \cap H_2 = \partial H_1 \cap \partial H_2 = \partial H_1 = \partial H_2 = F \), the Heegaard surface.

(ii) For every bordered 3-manifold \( M \), there exist a handlebody \( H_1 \) and a disjoint union of 2-handles (i.e., 3-balls) \( H_2 = h_1 \cup \cdots \cup h_s \) such that

(a) \( \text{genus}(H_1) = g \),
(b) \( M = H_1 \cup H_2 \), and
(c) each \( h_i \) attached to \( H_1 \) at \( \partial H_1 = F \), the Heegaard surface.

We call such a \( (M; H_1, H_2; F) \) a Heegaard splitting (or H-splitting) for \( M \) of genus \( g \), and call the minimum genus of such splittings for \( M \) the Heegaard genus (or H-genus) of \( M \) and denote it by \( Hg(M) \).

For an H-splitting for a closed orientable 3-manifold, Haken [4] proved the following fundamental theorem (see Hempel [5], Jaco [6], and also Ochiai [11]):

Proposition 1.2 (Haken [4]). If a closed orientable 3-manifold \( M \) with a given Heegaard splitting \( (M; H_1, H_2; F) \) contains an essential 2-sphere, then \( M \) contains a 2-sphere which meets \( F \) in a single circle.

Since \( H_2 \) of an H-splitting for a bordered 3-manifold \( M \) is a disjoint union of 3-balls and so \( \partial H_2 \neq F \), a Haken type theorem cannot be formulated for a H-splitting for \( M \). Casson-Gordon [1] introduced the concept of compression bodies as a generalization of handlebodies, and for a bordered 3-manifold they defined a new Heegaard splitting using compression bodies, and formulated and proved a generalization of Haken’s theorem.

On the other hand, in 1970 Downing [2] proved that every bordered 3-manifold can be decomposed into two homeomorphic handlebodies, and Roeling[13] discussed on these decompositions for bordered 3-manifolds with connected boundary. The purpose of the paper is to report the Downing’s results [2] and Roeling’s results [13] in slightly modified and generalized forms, and formulate a Haken type theorem for these decompositions in the way of Casson-Gordon [1].

2. Handlebody-splittings for bordered 3-manifolds

For a bordered 3-manifold \( M \), let \( \partial M = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \cdots \cup B_m \), here \( B_i \) is a connected component for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, m \), and let \( g_i = \text{genus}(B_i) \).
Theorem 2.1 (Downing [2]). For every bordered 3-manifold $M$, there exist handlebodies $H_1$ and $H_2$ in $M$ which satisfy the following:

(i) $H_1 \cong H_2$, that is, $\text{genus}(H_1) = \text{genus}(H_2) = g$,

(ii) $M = H_1 \cup H_2$,

(iii) $H_1 \cap H_2 = \partial H_1 \cap \partial H_2 = F_0$ is a connected surface, the splitting-surface,

(iv) $H_j \cap B_i = \partial H_j \cap B_i = K_{ji}$ is a disk with $g_i$ holes, and $K_{ji} \cong K_{2i}$ ($j = 1, 2, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$),

(v) the homomorphism induced from the inclusion

$$\iota : \pi_1(K_{ji}; x_i) \to \pi_1(H_j; x_i), \quad x_i \in \partial K_{ji} \quad (j = 1, 2, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$$

is injective.

We call such a $(M; H_1, H_2; F_0)$ a Downing splitting (or D-splitting) for $M$ of genus $g$, and call the minimum genus of such splittings for $M$ the Downing genus (or D-genus) of $M$ and denote it by $Dg(M)$. By the way, Roeling [13] has pointed out that $\pi_1(K_{ji}; x_i)$ in Theorem 2.1 (v) injects not only into $\pi_1(H_j; x_i)$ but also onto a free factor of $\pi_1(H_j; x_i)$, when the boundary $\partial M$ is connected. In fact, it holds the following:

Theorem 2.2. For every bordered 3-manifold $M$, there exists a D-splitting $(M; H_1, H_2; F_0)$ which satisfies the following:

(vi) there exists a tree $T$ in $F_0$ connecting $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m$ such that the homomorphism induced from inclusion

$$\iota : \pi_1(K_{j1} \cup \cdots \cup K_{jm} \cup T; x) \to \pi_1(H_j; x), \quad x \in T \quad (j = 1, 2)$$

is injective, and the image is a free factor of $\pi_1(H_j; x)$.

By Zieschang [18, §3, Satz 2 and Korollar], the above conditions (v) and (vi) are equivalent to the following geometric condition:

Theorem 2.3. For every bordered 3-manifold $M$, there exists a D-splitting $(M; H_1, H_2; F_0)$ which satisfies the following (see figure 1):
(vi*) there exist systems of meridian-disks $D_{ji} = \{D_{j1i}, \ldots, D_{jigm}\}$ ($j = 1, 2, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$) and $E_j = \{E_{j1}, \ldots, E_{jj'}\}$, where $g' = g - (g_1 + \cdots + g_m)$ of $H_j$ satisfying the following:

(a) $D_{j1} \cup \cdots \cup D_{jm} \cup E_j$ forms a complete system of meridian-disks of $H_j$,

(b) $D_{jik} \cap (K_{j1} \cup \cdots \cup K_{jm}) = \partial D_{jik} \cap K_{ji}$ consists of a single simple arc, and $E_{jk} \cap K_{ji} = \emptyset$ ($j = 1, 2, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m, k = 1, 2, \ldots, g_j$), and

(c) $\text{Cl}(K_{ji} - N(D_{j1i} \cup \cdots \cup D_{jigm}; H_j))$ is a disk ($j = 1, 2$).

According to Roeling [13], we call a D-splitting for $M$ satisfying the conditions (v) and (vi) in Theorem 2.2 or the condition (vi*) in Theorem 2.3 a special Downing splitting (or SD-splitting) for $M$ of genus $g$, and call the minimum genus of such splittings for $M$ the special Downing genus (or SD-genus) of $M$ and denote it by $SDg(M)$.

It will be noticed that for a closed, connected, orientable 3-manifold, the three splittings, an H-splitting, a D-splitting and an SD-splitting, are considered as the same one.

In order to prove Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we need a lemma which is a generalization of Lemma 1 of Downing [2]. In proving the lemma, the notation and definitions of Downing [2] will be helpful. If $g$ is a nonnegative integer, let $Y(g)$ be the set of all points $(x, y)$ in the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$ which satisfy

$$x \in \{0, 1, \ldots, g\} \quad \text{and} \quad -1 \leq y \leq 1$$

or

$$0 \leq x \leq g \quad \text{and} \quad |y| = 1.$$

We put

$$X(g) = \{(x, y) \in Y(g) \mid y \geq 0\},$$

$$\partial X(g) = \{(x, 0) \in X(g)\},$$

$$Z(g) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 \leq x \leq g, 0 \leq y \leq 1\}.$$
in $H$ and the embedding of $X(g)$ can be extended to an embedding of $Z(g)$. Let $X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_m$ be a copy of $X(g_1) \cup \cdots \cup X(g_m)$ properly embedded as a PL subspace of $H$. We say that $X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_m$ is unknotted if the embedding of $X(g_1) \cup \cdots \cup X(g_m)$ can be extended to an embedding of $Z(g_1) \cup \cdots \cup Z(g_m)$.

**Lemma 2.4** (Downing [2]). Let $M'$ be a closed, connected orientable 3-manifold, and $(M'; W_1, W_2; F)$ be an $H$-splitting for $M'$. Let $S$ be a 1-dimensional spine of $W_1$. We suppose that $Y_1 \cup \cdots \cup Y_m$ is a copy of $Y(g_1) \cup \cdots \cup Y(g_m)$ embedded in $S$. Then, there exists an ambient isotopy $\{\eta_t\}$ of $M'$ satisfying the following:

$$\eta_t(Y_1 \cup \cdots \cup Y_m) \cap W_j = X_{1j} \cup \cdots \cup X_{mj}$$

is a copy of $X(g_1) \cup \cdots \cup X(g_m)$ which is proper and unknotted in $W_j$ for $j = 1, 2$.

**Proof.** The case $m = 1$ is Lemma 1 of Downing [2], and the proof of the case $m \geq 2$, which is omitted here, is the same as that of the case $m = 1$. $\square$

**Proof of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2.** The proof of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 is almost similar to that of Theorem 1 of Downing [2] except for obvious modifications, but for future reference, we record it here.

Let $V_i$ be a handlebody of genus $g_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$). We sew $V_i$ into the boundary component $B_i$ of $M$ to form a closed, connected, orientable 3-manifold $M' = M \cup V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_m$. Let $Y_i$ be a copy of $Y(g_i)$ which is embedded as a 1-dimensional spine of $V_i$ and we triangulate $M'$ so that $Y_1 \cup \cdots \cup Y_m$ is contained in the 1-skeleton $S$.

Let $W_1 = N(S; M')$, a regular neighborhood of $S$ in $M'$, and let $W_2 = \text{Cl}(M' - W_1; M')$. Then, these form an $H$-splitting $(M'; W_1, W_2; F)$ for $M'$, where $F = \partial W_1 = \partial W_2$. By Lemma 2.4, there exists an ambient isotopy $\{\eta_t\}$ of $M'$ so that

$$\eta_t(Y_1 \cup \cdots \cup Y_m) \cap W_j = X_{1j} \cup \cdots \cup X_{mj}$$

is a copy of $X(g_1) \cup \cdots \cup X(g_m)$ which is proper and unknotted in $W_j$ ($j = 1, 2$).

We put

$$N = N(\eta_1(Y_1 \cup \cdots \cup Y_m); M')$$

and

$$N_1 = N(X_{11} \cup \cdots \cup X_{1m}; W_1), \quad N_2 = N(X_{21} \cup \cdots \cup X_{2m}; W_2).$$

Then, $N = N_1 \cup N_2$, and $\text{Cl}(M' - N)$ is homeomorphic to $M$ because $\{\eta_t\}$ is an ambient isotopy. From the unknotted condition (*),

$$H_1 = \text{Cl}(W_1 - N_1), \quad H_2 = \text{Cl}(W_2 - N_2)$$

are homeomorphic handlebodies decomposing $\text{Cl}(M' - N) = M$, and it is easily checked that this splitting satisfies the conditions (ii)–(vi) in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, completing the proof. $\square$
Proof of Theorem 2.3. Let $\partial K_{ji} = J_{ji0} \cup J_{ji1} \cup J_{ji2} \cup \cdots \cup J_{jig_i}$, and we assume $x_i \in J_{ji0}$, $j = 1, 2, i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Now, we can choose points $x_{jik} \in J_{jik}$ ($k = 1, 2, \ldots, g_i$) and mutually disjoint simple proper arcs $d_{jik}$ in $K_{ji}$ which span $x_i$ and $x_{ik}$ so that $J_{jii1} \cup d_{jii1} \cup J_{jii2} \cup d_{jii2} \cup \cdots \cup J_{级别的} \cup d_{级别的}$ is a strong deformation retract of $K_{ji}$. Then, from the conditions (v) and (vi) in Theorem 2.2, the system of simple loops satisfies the condition of Satz 2 in Zieschang [18, §3], and we have the required systems of meridian-disks $D_{jii1} \cup \cdots \cup D_{jiti} \cup E_{jii1} \cup \cdots \cup E_{jiti}$, where $g' = g - (g_1 + \cdots + g_m)$ of $H_j$ of the condition (vi*) in Theorem 2.3.

It is easy to check that the condition (vi*) implies the conditions (v) and (vi) in Theorem 2.2, and we complete the proof. 

3. Genera of bordered 3-manifolds

From the definitions and the proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we know:

**Proposition 3.1.** For every bordered 3-manifold $M$, it holds the following:

(i) $SDg(M) \geq Dg(M)$.

(ii) $SDg(M) \geq g_1 + \cdots + g_m = \text{the total genus of } \partial M$.

The following two theorems were proved by Roeling [13] when $m = 1$, and the proofs of the general case are almost the same as that of $m = 1$ under the condition (vi*).

**Theorem 3.2** (Roeling [13, Theorem 1]). If a bordered 3-manifold $M$ has an SD-splitting $(M; H_1, H_2; F_0)$ of genus $g$, then $M$ has an H-splitting of genus $g$.

**Proof.** To make our notation consistent with Roeling [13], we will use the following notation in this proof and the proof of Theorem 3.4. If $D$ is a disk, then $N(D)$ will denote a space homeomorphic to $D \times [-1, 1]$ where $D$ corresponds to $D \times \{0\}$. We denote the 2-handles $h_1, \ldots, h_s$ by $N(D_1), \ldots, N(D_s)$, where $D_k$ is a disk for each $k$, $N(D_k) \cap N(D_h) = \emptyset$ if $k \neq h$, and $N(D_k) \cap H_1 = \partial D_k \cap \partial H_1$ corresponds to $\partial D_k \times [-1, 1]$ in $N(D_k)$.

From the condition (vi*), we can choose a complete system of meridian-disks $D_{21} \cup \cdots \cup D_{2m} \cup E_2$ of $H_2$ also satisfying the conditions (b) and (c). Then,

$$\Cl \left( H_2 - \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} N(D_{2i1} \cup \cdots \cup D_{2ig_i}; H_2) \right)$$
is a handlebody of genus \( g' = g - (g_1 + \cdots + g_m) \), and
\[
X = \text{Cl} \left( H_2 - \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} N(D_{2i1} \cup \cdots \cup D_{2ig_i}) - N(E_{21} \cup \cdots \cup E_{2g'}) \right)
\]
is a ball. We choose a system of properly embedded disks \( D' = \{D'_1, \ldots, D'_{m-1}\} \) of \( H_2 \) so that \( D' \) is disjoint from the complete system of meridian-disks and
\[
Y = \text{Cl}(X - N(D'_1 \cup \cdots \cup D'_{m-1}))
\]
consists of \( m - 1 \) balls. Now,
\[
H^*_1 = H_1 \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} (N(D_{2i1}) \cup \cdots \cup N(D_{2ig_i}))
\]
is a handlebody of genus \( g \) by the condition (b). Now we conclude that
\[
M \cong H^*_1 \cup N(E_{21}) \cup \cdots \cup N(E_{2g'}) \cup N(D'_1) \cup \cdots \cup N(D'_{m-1}),
\]
because each ball of \( Y \) meets this in a disk on their common boundary. \( \square \)

**Corollary 3.3.** For every bordered 3-manifold \( M \), it holds that
\[
\text{Hg}(M) \leq \text{SDg}(M).
\]

**Theorem 3.4** (Roeling [13, Theorem 2]). If a bordered 3-manifold \( M \) has an \( H \)-splitting \( (M; H_1, H_2; F) \) of genus \( g \), then \( M \) has a \( D \)-splitting of genus \( g \).

**Proof.** If \( m = 1 \), the result has been proved in Roeling [12, Theorem 2], so we assume \( m \geq 2 \). We suppose that \( H_2 = N(D_1) \cup \cdots \cup N(D_s) \). Then,
\[
\text{Cl} \left( \partial H_1 - \bigcup_{k=1}^{s} N(D_k) \right)
\]
consists of \( m \) connected orientable surfaces, say, \( S_1, \ldots, S_m \), where
\[
S_i = B_i \cap \text{Cl} \left( \partial H_1 - \bigcup_{k=1}^{s} N(D_k) \right).
\]
Here, \( B_i \) is a connected component of \( \partial M \). Let \( \alpha_k \cup \beta_k = \partial D_k \times \{-1\} \cup \partial D_k \times \{1\} \) be simple closed curves for \( k = 1, \ldots, s \). Then, 2-handles can be classified into two types as follows:

(type I) \( \alpha_k \) and \( \beta_k \) are contained in some \( S_i \), or
(type II) $\alpha_k$ is contained in a $S_i$ and $\beta_k$ is contained in a $S_j$ with $i \neq j$.

We can choose $m - 1$ handles, say, $N(D_1) = D_1 \times [-1,1], \ldots, N(D_{m-1}) = D_{m-1} \times [-1,1]$, so that

$$S = S_1 \cup \cdots \cup S_m \cup \partial D_1 \times [-1,1] \cup \cdots \cup \partial D_{m-1} \times [-1,1]$$

is connected, because $\partial H_1 = F$ is connected.

Now we choose simple, properly embedded, pairwise disjoint arcs $\gamma_m, \gamma_{m+1}, \ldots, \gamma_s$ in $S$ so that

(i) each $\gamma_k$ joins $\alpha_k$ to $\beta_k$,

(ii) if the 2-handle $N(D_k)$ is of type I, and $\alpha_k$ and $\beta_k$ are contained in $S_i$, then $\gamma_k \subset S_i$, and if $N(D_k)$ is of type II, then $\gamma_k$ crosses some of $\partial D_1, \ldots, \partial D_{m-1}$ transversally, and

(iii) $T' = \text{Cl}(S - \bigcup_{k=m}^{s} N(\gamma_k; S))$ is connected orientable surface of genus $g - s + m - 1$.

See figure 2.

Now, we can check that

$$\chi(S) = 2 - 2g, \quad \chi(T') = 2 - 2g + (s - m + 1).$$

As indicated in figure 2, we choose properly embedded, pairwise disjoint simple arcs $\delta_1, \delta_2, \ldots, \delta_{s-m}$ in $T'$ so that

(iv) each $\delta_k$ joins some $\gamma_j$ to $\gamma_r$ ($j \neq r$),

(v) $T = \text{Cl}(T' - \bigcup_{k=1}^{s-m} N(\delta_k; T'))$ is connected.

Then, we know that
(vi) $S_1^* = Cl(S_i - \bigcup_{k=1}^s N(\gamma_k; S_i) - \bigcup_{k=1}^{s-m} N(\delta_k; S_i))$ is a disk with $g_i$ holes for $i = 1, \ldots, m$.

(vii) the inclusion induced homomorphism $\mu_\ast: \pi_1(S_1^*) \to \pi_1(S_1)$ is an injection.

[type I]: We assume that the inclusion induced homomorphism

$$\nu_i: \pi_1(S_1^*) \to \pi_1(H_1)$$

is not injective for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. Then, $\nu_i\mu_\ast: \pi_1(S_1^*) \to \pi_1(H_1)$ is not injective. We find by Dehn’s lemma (see [5, 6]) a simple closed curve $J$ in $S_1^*$ that does not contract in $S_1^*$ but bounds a disk $E$ in $H_1$. Cutting along $E$, either we separate $M$ into manifolds $M_1$ and $M_2$ with H-splitting of genuses $g(1) > 0$ and $g(2) > 0$ so that $g(1) + g(2) = g$, or we remove an 1-handle from $M$ to get a manifold $M_1$ with an H-splitting of genus $g - 1$. Hence, by induction on $g$ and the fact the theorem is trivial if $g = 1$, we are finished.

[type II]: We assume that the inclusion induced homomorphism

$$\nu_i: \pi_1(S_1^*) \to \pi_1(H_1)$$

is an injection for every $i = 1, \ldots, m$. Then, $\nu_i\mu_\ast: \pi_1(S_1^*) \to \pi_1(H_1)$ is an injection. Let

$$H_2^* = \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^s N(D_k) \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{k=m}^s N(\gamma_k; H_1) \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{s-m} N(\delta_k; H_1) \right),$$

where

$$\left[ \left( \bigcup_{k=m}^s N(\gamma_k; H_1) \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{s-m} N(\delta_k; H_1) \right) \right] \cap S_i = \left( \bigcup_{k=m}^s N(\gamma_k; S_i) \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{s-m} N(\delta_k; S_i) \right).$$

Let $H_1^* = Cl(H_1 - H_2^*)$. Then, $H_1^*$ and $H_2^*$ are handlebodies of genus $g$ and $M = H_1^* \cup H_2^*$. Since the pair $(H_1^*, H_2^* \cap B_i)$ is homeomorphic to $(H_1, S_1^*)$, we have that $\pi_1(H_1^* \cap B_i)$ injects to $\pi_1(H_1^*)$. On the other hand, from our construction, we know that

$$H_2^* \cap B_i = \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^s (D_k \times \{-1, 1\}) \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{k=m}^s N(\gamma_k; S_i) \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{s-m} N(\delta_k; S_i) \right)$$

is a disk with $g_i$ holes for every $i = 1, \ldots, m$, and the inclusion induced homomorphism $\pi_1(H_2^* \cap B_i) \to \pi_1(H_2^*)$ is injective. Hence, $M$ has a D-splitting of genus $g$. This completes the proof.

**Corollary 3.5.** For every bordered 3-manifold $M$, it holds that

$$Dg(M) \leq Hg(M) \leq SDg(M).$$
Proposition 3.6. Let \( M \) be a bordered 3-manifold with \( m \) boundary components.

\[
SD_g(M) = 0 \iff H_g(M) = 0 \\
\iff M = \mathbb{S}^3 \text{ with } m \text{ holes} \\
\iff M \text{ is the connected sum of } m \text{ copies of the 3-ball } D^3.
\]

Two H-splittings \((M; H_1, H_2; F)\) and \((M; H'_1, H'_2; F')\) for a 3-manifold \( M \) are said to be equivalent, if there exists a homeomorphism \( \psi: M \rightarrow M \) with \( \psi(F) = F' \). Let \((M; H_1, H_2; F)\) be an H-splitting for \( M \) of genus \( g \), and let \((\mathbb{S}^3; U_1, U_2; T^2)\) be an H-splitting for the 3-sphere \( \mathbb{S}^3 \) of genus 1. Remove a 3-ball from \( M \) and a 3-ball from \( \mathbb{S}^3 \), choosing these 3-balls so that they meet the respective Heegaard surfaces in disks. Then, if we use these 3-balls to form the connected sum \( M \# \mathbb{S}^3 \cong M \) and \( \mathbb{S}^3 \), we shall obtain a new H-splitting for \( M \) with Heegaard surface \( F \# T^2 \) of genus \( g + 1 \), and we denote this splitting by \((M; H_1, H_2; F)\#(\mathbb{S}^3; U_1, U_2; T^2)\). This process is called stabilization; it may be iterated to obtain H-splittings \((M; H_1, H_2; F)\#n(\mathbb{S}^3; U_1, U_2; T^2)\) of any genus \( g + n > g \). Two H-splittings \((M; H_1, H_2; F)\) and \((M; H'_1, H'_2; F')\) are said to be stably equivalent, if there exist integers \( n, n' \) with \( h = g + n = g' + n' \) so that the stabilizations \((M; H_1, H_2; F)\#n(\mathbb{S}^3; U_1, U_2; T^2)\) and \((M; H'_1, H'_2; F')\#n'(\mathbb{S}^3; U_1, U_2; T^2)\) of genus \( h \) are equivalent as H-splittings. The following is known as the stabilization theorem:

Proposition 3.7 (Reidemeister [12], Singer [15]). Arbitrary H-splittings for a 3-manifold \( M \) are stably equivalent.

Similarly, we define, on D-splittings for a bordered 3-manifold, equivalence and stable equivalence relations. Two D-splittings \((M; H_1, H_2; F_0)\) and \((M; H'_1, H'_2; F'_0)\) for a bordered 3-manifold \( M \) are said to be equivalent, if there exists a homeomorphism \( \psi: M \rightarrow M \) with \( \psi(F_0) = F'_0 \). Let \((\mathbb{D}^3; A)\) be a pair of the 3-ball \( \mathbb{D}^3 \) and a properly embedded, boundary parallel annulus \( A \) in \( \mathbb{D}^3 \), see figure 3. The boundary \( \partial A \) divides \( \partial \mathbb{D}^3 \) into two disks, say, \( D_+ \) and \( D_- \), and an annulus, say, \( A_0 \). We choose a disk \( D_0^+ \subset \partial \mathbb{D}^3 \) so that \( D_0^+ \cap D_+ \) is a disk, \( D_0^+ \cap D_- \) is also a disk and \( D_0^+ \cap A_0 \) is also a disk. Let \((M; H_1, H_2; F_0)\) be a D-splitting for a bordered 3-manifold \( M \), and we choose a disk \( D^2 \subset \partial M \) so that \( D^2 \cap \partial H_1 \) is two disks and \( D^2 \cap \partial H_2 \) is a disk (or \( D^2 \cap \partial H_2 \) is two disks and \( D^2 \cap \partial H_1 \) is a disk). Then, if we use these disks \( D^2 \subset \partial M \) and \( D_0^+ \subset \partial \mathbb{D}^3 \) to form the disk-sum \( M \triangle \mathbb{D}^3 \cong M \) and \( \mathbb{D}^3 \), we shall obtain a new D-splitting for \( M \) with the splitting-surface \( F_0 \cup A \) of genus \( g(F_0) + 1 \), and we denote this new splitting by \((M; H_1, H_2; F_0)\triangle(\mathbb{D}^3; A)\). This process is called stabilization; it may be iterated to obtain D-splittings \((M; H_1, H_2; F_0)\triangle n(\mathbb{D}^3; A)\) of any genus \( g(F_0) + n \).

It will be noticed that

(i) \((M; H_1, H_2; F_0)\triangle(\mathbb{D}^3; A)\) depends on a disk \( D^2 \subset \partial M \),
Two D-splittings \((M; H_1, H_2; F_0)\) and \((M; H'_1, H'_2; F'_0)\) are said to be stably equivalent, if there exist integers \(n, n'\) with \(h = g(F_0) + n = g(F'_0) + n'\) and stabilizations \((M; H_1, H_2; F_0) \triangledown n(D^3; A)\) and \((M; H'_1, H'_2; F'_0) \triangledown n'(D^3; A)\) so that these stabilizations are equivalent.

The following is a corollary to Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 3.6:

**Corollary 3.8.** *Arbitrary D-splittings for a bordered 3-manifold \(M\) are stably equivalent.*

### 4. Haken Type Theorem (1)

A 2-sphere in a 3-manifold \(M\) is *essential* if it does not bound a 3-ball in \(M\). A 3-manifold \(M\) is *irreducible* if it contains no essential 2-sphere.

The following corresponds to the Haken Theorem 1.2.
Theorem 4.1. Let \((M; H_1, H_2; F_0)\) be an SD-splitting for a bordered 3-manifold \(M\). If there exists an essential 2-sphere in \(M\), then there exists an essential 2-sphere \(\Sigma\) in \(M\) such that \(\Sigma \cap F_0\) consists of a single loop.

Proof. We will give a mild generalization of this theorem in Theorem 4.3 below, and so we will not include a proof of Theorem 4.1, but simply refer the reader to Jaco’s account of Haken’s proof [4, chapter II] or the proof of Theorem 4.3 below. 

Corollary 4.2. Suppose that a bordered 3-manifold \(M\) has a decomposition

\[ M = M_1 \# \cdots \# M_n \]

as a connected sum. Then it holds that

\[ \text{SDg}(M) = \text{SDg}(M_1) + \cdots + \text{SDg}(M_n). \]

Let \(F_0\) be a compact orientable surface, and let \(J_1\) and \(J_2\) be proper 1-dimensional submanifolds in \(F_0\). We shall say that \(J_1\) and \(J_2\) are in reduced position, if \(J_1 \cap J_2\) consists of a finite number of points in which \(J_1\) and \(J_2\) cross one another, and there is no disk on \(F_0\) whose boundary consists of an arc in \(J_1\) and an arc in \(J_2\).

Let \(M\) be a bordered 3-manifold and let \((M; H_1, H_2; F_0)\) be an SD-splitting for \(M\). We call the complete systems of meridian-disks \(D_1\) of \(H_1\) and \(D_2\) of \(H_2\) which satisfy the condition (vi*) a special complete system of meridian-disks. These special complete systems of meridian-disks \(D_1\) of \(H_1\) and \(D_2\) of \(H_2\) are said to be irreducible if \(J_1 = D_1 \cap F_0\) and \(J_2 = D_2 \cap F_0\) are in reduced position in \(F_0\).

Theorem 4.3. Let \((M; H_1, H_2; F_0)\) be an SD-splitting for a bordered 3-manifold \(M\), and let \(D_j = \{D_{j1}, \ldots, D_{jg}\}\) be a special complete system of meridian-disks of \(H_j\) \((j = 1, 2)\), and we suppose that \(D_1\) and \(D_2\) are irreducible. Let \(\Sigma\) be a disjoint union of essential 2-spheres in \(M\). Then there exist a disjoint union of essential 2-spheres \(\Sigma^*\) and a complete system of meridian-disks \(D_i^*\) of \(H_2\) such that

(i) \(\Sigma^*\) is obtained from \(\Sigma\) by ambient 1-surgery and isotopy,

(ii) each component of \(\Sigma^*\) meets \(F_0\) in a single loop,

(iii) \(D_j \cap \Sigma^* = \emptyset, D_j^* \cap \Sigma^* = \emptyset,\) and \(D_j^* \cap (F_{j1} \cup \cdots \cup F_{jm}) = D_2 \cap (F_{j1} \cup \cdots \cup F_{jm}),\)

where \(F_{ji}\) is the planar surface \(\partial H_j \cap B_i, B_i\) a connected component of \(\partial M\).

Proof. We choose a 1-dimensional spine \(S_{2i}\) of the planar surface \(F_{2i}\) so that \(S_{2i}\) consists of simple loops based at the point \(x_i\) and each loop intersected with \(D_2\) at a single point \((i = 1, 2, \ldots, m)\). Then we can choose a 1-dimensional spine \(S_2\) of \(H_2\) so that \(S_2 \cap D_{2i}\) consists of a single point \((i = 1, 2, \ldots, m)\) and \(S_2 \cap \partial H_2 = S_{21} \cup \cdots \cup S_{2m}\).

We may suppose that \(S_2\) intersects transversally with \(\Sigma\) at a finite number of points. Since \(H_2\) is a regular neighborhood of \(S_2\), we may assume that \(\Sigma\) intersects with \(H_2\) at a finite number of disks, say, \(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n\).
Let $\Sigma_0 = \text{Cl}(\Sigma - (\sigma_1 \cup \cdots \cup \sigma_n); \Sigma)$. Then $\Sigma_0 \cap (D_{11} \cup \cdots \cup D_{1g})$ consists of a finite number of simple loops and proper arcs. Since $H_1$ is irreducible, we can remove all simple loops by cut-and-paste, and so we may assume that $\Sigma_0 \cap (D_{11} \cup \cdots \cup D_{1g})$ consists of a finite number of proper arcs, say, $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$. Since $\Sigma_0 \cap F_{1i} = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, we can choose an innermost arc, say, $\alpha_1$, on one of $D_{11}, \ldots, D_{1g}$, say, $D_{11}$, if $\Sigma_0 \cap (D_{11} \cup \cdots \cup D_{1g}) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\Delta \subseteq D_{11}$ be the disk cut off by $\alpha_1$ so that $\Delta \cap \Sigma_0 = \partial \Delta \cap \Sigma_0 = \alpha_1$, $\Delta \cap (F_{11} \cup \cdots \cup F_{1m}) = \emptyset$.

Now, we may apply the same argument as that of Jaco [6, II–17–19]; that is, we can deform $\Sigma$ along $\Delta$ (by isotopy of type A) so that the new $\Sigma^*$ does not meet at $\alpha_1$. By the repetition of the procedure, we can get rid of all intersections $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$ of $\Sigma^* \cap D_1$. Now, it is easy to see that the new $\Sigma^*$ satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii), and the condition $D_1 \cap \Sigma^* = \emptyset$ from (iii).

Since $H_2 \cap \Sigma^*$ consists of a finite number of disks and $\Sigma^* \cap (F_{21} \cup \cdots \cup F_{2m}) = \emptyset$, we can choose, if necessary, a complete system of meridian-disks $D_2^i$ of $H_2$ so that $D_2^i$ satisfies the other conditions in (iii), completing the proof.

5. Haken type theorem (2)

A proper disk in a bordered 3-manifold $M$ is said to be essential if it does not cut off a 3-ball from $M$. Using essential disks, Gross [3] and Swarup [16] have formulated another prime decomposition theorem under disk-sum (i.e., boundary connected sum) for a bordered 3-manifold.

Now the following question immediately comes to mind:

**Question and Example 5.1.** Let $(M; H_1, H_2; F_0)$ be an SD-splitting for a bordered 3-manifold $M$. If there exists an essential proper disk in $M$, then does there exist an essential proper disk $\Delta$ in $M$ such that $\Delta \cap F_0$ consists of a single arc?

The answer is NO in general. The following counterexample is due to Dr. Kanji Morimoto. Let $K$ be a simple loop on the boundary $S^1 \times S^1$ of the solid torus $D^2 \times S^1$ such that $K \cup D^2 = K \cap \partial D^2$ consists of two crossing points, where $D^2$ is a standard meridian-disk of $D^2 \times S^1$. Let $J \subseteq D^2$ be a simple proper arc joining the two points. Let $H_1 = N(K \cup J; D^2 \times S^1)$, and $H_2 = \text{Cl}(D^2 \times S^1 - H_1; D^2 \times S^1)$. Then we have an SD-splitting $(D^2 \times S^1; H_1, H_2; F_0)$ for $D^2 \times S^1$ of genus 2, where $F_0$ is the surface $\text{Cl}(\partial H_1 \cap \text{Int}(D^2 \times S^1); D^2 \times S^1)$. The meridian-disk $D^2$ is an essential proper disk in $D^2 \times S^1$ which is unique up to ambient isotopy of $D^2 \times S^1$, and $D^2 \cap F_0$ consists of two arcs. It will be noticed that $D^2 \times S^1$ has an SD-splitting of genus 1, and the above splitting is of genus 2.

**Proposition 5.2.** Let $(M; H_1, H_2; F_0)$ be an SD-splitting for a bordered 3-manifold $M$. If there exists an essential 2-sphere in $M$ which is not boundary parallel, then there exists an essential proper disk $\Delta$ in $M$ such that $\Delta \cap F_0$ consists of a single arc.
Proof. By Theorem 4.1 (or 4.3), we have an essential 2-sphere \( \Sigma \) in \( M \) such that \( \Sigma \cap F_0 \) consists of a single loop. Using this \( \Sigma \), we can easily obtain a required essential disk \( \Delta \).

The following lemma corresponds to Theorem 4.3.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let \((M; H_1, H_2; F_0)\) be an SD-splitting for an irreducible bordered 3-manifold \(M\). If there exists an essential proper disk in \(M\), then there exist an essential proper disk \(\Delta\) in \(M\) and a special complete system of meridian-disks \(D_j = \{D_{j1}, \ldots, D_{jg}\}\) of \(H_j\) \((j = 1, 2)\) satisfying the following:

(i) \(\Delta \cap F_0\) consists of a finite number of proper arcs,

(ii) \(\Delta \cap H_j\) consists of a finite number of proper disks, and each component is essential in \(H_j\) \((j = 1, 2)\), and

(iii) \(\Delta \cap D_2 = \emptyset\).

**Proof.** We choose a 1-dimensional spine \(S_2\) of \(H_2\) in the same way as that of the proof of Theorem 4.3. Then, we may consider \(H_2\) as a regular neighborhood of \(S_2\).

Let \(\Box\) be an essential proper disk in \(M\). We may assume that \(\Box\) intersects with \(S_2\) transversally in a finite number of points, and so \(\Box \cap H_2\) consists of a finite number of proper disks, which are regular neighborhoods of \(\Box \cap S_2\) in \(\Box\). Now \(\Box \cap F_0\) consists of a finite number of proper arcs and loops. We can remove the loops in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 4.3 (see Jaco [6]), and let \(\Delta\) be the new disk. It is easy to see that \(\Delta\) satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii). If we cut \(H_2\) along \(\Delta\) then we have some handlebodies, and so we can choose a complete system of meridian-disks \(D_2\) of \(H_2\) with the condition (iii). This completes the proof.

Using this Lemma, we can prove the following:

**Proposition 5.4.** Let \((M; H_1, H_2; F_0)\) be an SD-splitting for an irreducible bordered 3-manifold \(M\) with connected boundary \(B\) of genus \(g\). If there exists an essential proper disk in \(M\) and \(SD_g(M) = g\), then there exists an essential proper disk \(\Delta\) in \(M\) such that \(\Delta \cap F_0\) consists of a single arc.

**Proof.** Let \(\Delta \subset M\) be an essential proper disk, and \(D_j\) be a special complete system of meridian-disks of \(H_j\) \((j = 1, 2)\) that satisfy the conditions of Lemma 5.3. We cut \(H_j\) along \(D_j\); we have a 3-ball \(D_j^3\). On the boundary \(\partial D_j^3\), \(F_{j1}\) appears as a disk from the condition (vi*)-(b). Using \(\Delta\) we construct the required disk by the condition (iii). The proof is not so hard but fairly complicated, and we omit it here.

As a corollary to this Proposition, we have the following characterization of handlebodies by SD-splittings.
Corollary 5.5. Let $M$ be an irreducible bordered 3-manifold with connected boundary $\partial$ of genus $g$, and we suppose that $M$ contains an essential proper disk. Then it holds that
\[\text{SDg}(M) = g \iff M \text{ is a handlebody of genus } g.\]
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