# Yano's Conjecture for Two-Puiseux-Pair Irreducible Plane Curve Singularities 

by<br>Enrique Artal Bartolo, Pierrette Cassou-Noguès, Ignacio Luengo and Alejandro Melle-Hernández


#### Abstract

In 1982, Tamaki Yano proposed a conjecture predicting the $b$-exponents of an irreducible plane curve singularity germ that is generic in its equisingularity class. In this article, we prove the conjecture for the case in which the irreducible germ has two Puiseux pairs and its algebraic monodromy has distinct eigenvalues. This hypothesis on the monodromy implies that the $b$-exponents coincide with the opposite of the roots of the Bernstein polynomial, and we compute the roots of the Bernstein polynomial.


2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 14F10,32S40; Secondary 32S05,32A30. Keywords: Bernstein polynomial, $b$-exponents, improper integrals.

## §1. Introduction

The Bernstein polynomial of a singularity germ is a powerful analytic invariant, but it is, in general, extremely hard to compute, even in the case of irreducible plane curve singularities. It is well known that the Bernstein polynomial varies in the $\mu$-constant stratum of such germs. Since this stratum is irreducible, it is conceivable that a generic Bernstein polynomial exists, i.e., there exists a dense

[^0]Zariski-open set in the stratum where the Bernstein polynomial remains constant. From the computational point of view it is even harder to effectively compute this generic polynomial. In 1982, Tamaki Yano conjectured a closed formula for the Bernstein polynomial of an irreducible plane curve that is generic in its equisingularity class, [22, Conjecture 2.6]. This conjecture is still open. The aim of this paper is to make significant progress by proving it for a big family of two-Puiseux-pair singularities.

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring of germs of holomorphic functions on $\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, 0\right), \mathcal{D}$ the ring of germs of holomorphic differential operators of finite order with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}$. Let $s$ be an indeterminate commuting with the elements of $\mathcal{D}$ and the set $\mathcal{D}[s]=\mathcal{D} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[s]$.

Given a holomorphic germ $f \in \mathcal{O}$, one considers $\mathcal{O}\left[\frac{1}{f}, s\right] f^{s}$ as a free $\mathcal{O}\left[\frac{1}{f}, s\right]$ module of rank 1 with the natural $\mathcal{D}[s]$-module structure. Then, there exists a nonzero polynomial $B(s) \in \mathbb{C}[s]$ and some differential operator $P=P\left(x, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, s\right) \in$ $\mathcal{D}[s]$, holomorphic in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and polynomial in $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}}$, which satisfy in $\mathcal{O}\left[\frac{1}{f}, s\right] f^{s}$ the following functional equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(s, x, D) \cdot f(x)^{s+1}=B(s) \cdot f(x)^{s} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The monic generator $b_{f, 0}(s)$ of the ideal of such polynomials $B(s)$ is called the Bernstein polynomial (or $b$-function or Bernstein-Sato polynomial) of $f$ at 0 . The same result holds if we replace $\mathcal{O}$ by the ring of polynomials in a field $\mathbb{K}$ of zero characteristic with the obvious corrections; see, e.g., [9, Section 10, Theorem 3.3].

This result was first obtained for $f$ being a polynomial by Bernstein in [3] and in general by Björk [4]. One can prove that $b_{f, 0}(s)$ is divisible by $s+1$, and we also consider the reduced Bernstein polynomial $\tilde{b}_{f, 0}(s):=\frac{b_{f, 0}(s)}{s+1}$.

In the case where $f$ defines an isolated singularity, one can consider the Brieskorn lattice $H_{0}^{\prime \prime}:=\Omega^{n} / d f \wedge d \Omega^{n-2}$ and its saturated $\tilde{H}_{0}^{\prime \prime}=\sum_{k \geq 0}\left(\partial_{t} t\right)^{k} H_{0}^{\prime \prime}$. Malgrange [15] showed that the reduced Bernstein polynomial $\tilde{b}_{f, 0}(s)$ is the minimal polynomial of the endomorphism $-\partial_{t} t$ on the vector space $F:=\tilde{H}_{0}^{\prime \prime} / \partial_{t}^{-1} \tilde{H}_{0}^{\prime \prime}$, whose dimension equals the Milnor number $\mu(f, 0)$ of $f$ at 0 . Following Malgrange [15], the set of $b$-exponents are the $\mu$ roots $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\mu}\right\}$ of the characteristic polynomial of the endomorphism $-\partial_{t} t$. Recall also that $\exp \left(-2 i \pi \partial_{t} t\right)$ can be identified with the (complex) algebraic monodromy of the corresponding Milnor fibre $F_{f}$ of the singularity at the origin.

Kashiwara [12] expressed these ideas using differential operators and considered $\mathcal{M}:=\mathcal{D}[s] f^{s} / \mathcal{D}[s] f^{s+1}$, where $s$ defines an endomorphism of $P(s) f^{s}$ by multiplication. This morphism keeps invariant $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}:=(s+1) \mathcal{M}$ and defines a linear
endomorphism of $\left(\Omega^{n} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}\right)_{0}$ that is naturally identified with $F$ and under this identification $-\partial_{t} t$ becomes the endomorphism defined by the multiplication by $s$.

In [15], Malgrange proved that the set $R_{f, 0}$ of roots of the Bernstein polynomial is contained in $\mathbb{Q}_{<0}$; see also Kashiwara [12], who also restricts the set of candidate roots. The number $-\alpha_{f, 0}:=\max R_{f, 0}$ is the opposite of the log canonical threshold of the singularity and Saito [18, Theorem 0.4] proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{f, 0} \subset\left[\alpha_{f, 0}-n,-\alpha_{f, 0}\right] \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $f$ be an irreducible germ of a plane curve. In 1982, Tamaki Yano [22] made a conjecture concerning the $b$-exponents of such germs. Let $\left(n, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{g}\right)$ be the Puiseux characteristic sequence of $f$; see, e.g., [21, Section 3.1]. Recall that this means that $f(x, y)=0$ has as a root (say over $x$ ) a Puiseux expansion

$$
x=\cdots+a_{1} y^{\beta_{1} / n}+\cdots+a_{g} y^{\beta_{g} / n}+\cdots
$$

with exactly $g$ characteristic monomials. Denote $\beta_{0}:=n$ and define recursively

$$
e^{(k)}:= \begin{cases}n & \text { if } k=0 \\ \operatorname{gcd}\left(e^{(k-1)}, \beta_{k}\right) & \text { if } 1 \leq k \leq g\end{cases}
$$

We define the following numbers for $1 \leq k \leq g$ :

$$
R_{k}:=\frac{1}{e^{(k)}}\left(\beta_{k} e^{(k-1)}+\sum_{j=0}^{k-2} \beta_{j+1}\left(e^{(j)}-e^{(j+1)}\right)\right), \quad r_{k}:=\frac{\beta_{k}+n}{e^{(k)}}
$$

Note that $R_{k}$ admits the following recursive formula:

$$
R_{k}:= \begin{cases}n & \text { if } k=0 \\ \frac{e^{(k-1)}}{e^{(k)}}\left(R_{k-1}+\beta_{k}-\beta_{k-1}\right) & \text { if } 1 \leq k \leq g\end{cases}
$$

We end with the following definitions with $R_{0}^{\prime}:=n, r_{0}^{\prime}:=2$ and for $1 \leq k \leq g$ :

$$
R_{k}^{\prime}:=\frac{R_{k} e^{(k)}}{e^{(k-1)}}, \quad r_{k}^{\prime}:=\left\lfloor r_{k} e^{(k)} / e^{(k-1)}\right\rfloor+1
$$

Yano defined the following polynomial with fractional powers in $t$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(n, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{g} ; t\right):=t+\sum_{k=1}^{g} t^{r_{k} / R_{k}} \frac{1-t}{1-t^{1 / R_{k}}}-\sum_{k=0}^{g} t^{r_{k}^{\prime} / R_{k}^{\prime}} \frac{1-t}{1-t^{1 / R_{k}^{\prime}}} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and he proved that $R\left(n, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{g} ; t\right)$ has nonnegative coefficients.
The number of monomials in $R\left(n, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{g} ; t\right)$ is equal to $1+\sum_{k=1}^{g} R_{k}-$ $\sum_{k=0}^{g} R_{k}^{\prime}$ and one can prove that this number is the Milnor number $\mu$. The numbers $R_{k}$ (resp. $R_{k}^{\prime}$ ) are the multiplicities of the irreducible exceptional divisors of
the minimal embedded resolution of the singularity whose smooth part has Euler characteristic -1 (resp. 1); see, e.g., [21, Lemma 3.6.1, Fig. 3.5 and Theorem 8.5.2]. Using A'Campo's formula [1] for the Euler characteristic of the Milnor fibre $F_{f}$ of $f$ at 0, i.e., $1-\mu=\chi\left(F_{f}\right)$, one gets $\chi\left(F_{f}\right)=-\sum_{k=1}^{g} R_{k}+\sum_{k=0}^{g} R_{k}^{\prime}$, i.e., that number equals $\mu$.

Yano's Conjecture ([22]). For almost all irreducible plane curve singularity germs $f:\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ with characteristic sequence $\left(n, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{g}\right)$, the $b$-exponents $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\mu}\right\}$ are given by the generating series

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} t^{\alpha_{i}}=R\left(n, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{g} ; t\right)
$$

"For almost all" means for an open dense subset in the $\mu$-constant strata in a deformation space.

In 1989, Lichtin [13] proved that for $i=1, \ldots, g$, the number $-\frac{r_{i}}{R_{i}}$ is a root of the Bernstein polynomial of $f$ with characteristic sequence $\left(n, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{g}\right)$. This result has been extended to the general curve case (not necessarily irreducible) by Loeser in [14].

Yano's conjecture holds for $g=1$ as it was proved by the second named author in [8].

In [16, Section 4.2], Saito described how the Bernstein polynomial can vary in $\mu$-constant deformations. Let $\left\{f_{t}\right\}_{t \in T}$ be a $\mu$-constant analytic deformation of an irreducible germ of an isolated curve singularity $f_{0}$. Then there exists an analytic stratification of $T$ (by restricting $T$ if necessary) such that the Bernstein polynomial is constant on each strata. Since the $\mu$-constant strata is irreducible and smooth, the Bernstein polynomial of its open stratum, denoted by $b_{\mu, \operatorname{gen}}(s)$, is called the Bernstein polynomial of the generic $\mu$-constant deformation of $f_{0}(x)$.

In this article we are interested in the case $g=2$. Yano [22] claimed the case (4, $6,2 n-3$ ), with $n \geq 5$, but referred to a nonpublished article. For $g=2$, the characteristic sequence $\left(n, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)$ can be written as $\left(n_{1} n_{2}, m n_{2}, m n_{2}+q\right)$ where $n_{1}, m, n_{2}, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ satisfying

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(n_{1}, m\right)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(n_{2}, q\right)=1
$$

In this work we solve Yano's conjecture for the case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{gcd}\left(q, n_{1}\right)=1 \text { or } \operatorname{gcd}(q, m)=1 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above condition is equivalent to asking for the algebraic monodromy to have distinct eigenvalues. In that case, the $\mu b$-exponents are all distinct and they coin-
cide with the opposite of roots of the reduced Bernstein polynomial (which turns out to be of degree $\mu$ ).

Our goal is to compute the roots of the Bernstein polynomial for a generic function having characteristic sequence $\left(n_{1} n_{2}, m n_{2}, m n_{2}+q\right)$. To do this we follow the same method as in [8]. To prove that a rational number is a root of the Bernstein polynomial of some function $f$, we prove that this number is a pole of some integral with a transcendental residue.

For some exponents of the generating series we prove this property for families of functions that should contain generic elements in the $\mu$-constant stratum. For the rest of the exponents, the computations are very tricky, and we apply them only to particular functions. In order to ensure that the opposite of these exponents is the root of the Bernstein polynomial for a generic $f$, we use the following result.

Proposition 1.1 ([20, Corollary 21]). Let $f_{t}(x)$ be a $\mu$-constant analytic deformation of an isolated hypersurface singularity $f_{0}(x)$. If all eigenvalues of the monodromy are pairwise different, then all roots of the reduced Bernstein-Sato polynomial $\tilde{b}_{f_{t}}(s)$ depend lower semicontinuously upon the parameter $t$.

Then if $\alpha$ is a root of the local Bernstein-Sato polynomial $b_{f_{0}}(s)$ for some $f_{0}$, and $\alpha+1$ is not a root of $b_{f}(s)$ for any $f$ with the same characteristic sequence, then by Proposition 1.1, $\alpha$ is a root of the local Bernstein-Sato polynomial $b_{f}(s)$ for $f$ generic with the same characteristic sequence.

In Section 2, we collect some results on integrals that will be crucial in the following. Some of the proofs are in Appendix A of the paper. In Section 3, we express Yano's conjecture in our setting. In Sections 4 and 5, we compute poles of integrals that we shall need later, and in Section 6, we show how we can use these integrals to compute roots of the Bernstein polynomial and we prove Yano's conjecture in Section 7.

We are very grateful to Prof. Driss Essouabri for providing us with Proposition 2.4.

## §2. Meromorphic integrals

## §2.1. One-variable integrals

Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ be a real polynomial such that $f(t)>0$ for all $t \in[0,1]$ and let $a$, $b \in \mathbb{Z}, a \geq 0, b \geq 1$ fixed. Consider the (complex) integral depending on a complex variable $s \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Y}_{f, a, b}(s):=\int_{0}^{1} f(t)^{s} t^{a s+b} \frac{d t}{t} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using classical techniques we can see that this integral defines a holomorphic function on a half-plane in $\mathbb{C}$ admitting a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex line, having only simple poles at some rational numbers (with bounded denominator), where the residues can be controlled.

Proposition 2.1. The function $s \mapsto \mathcal{Y}_{f, a, b}(s)$ satisfies the following properties:
(1) It is absolutely convergent for $\Re(s)>\alpha_{0}:=-\frac{b}{a}$ (the whole of $\mathbb{C}$ if $a=0$ ).
(2) It has a meromorphic continuation on $\mathbb{C}$ with simple poles, which are contained in $S=\left\{\left.-\frac{b+k}{a} \right\rvert\, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\}$.
(3) The residue $\operatorname{Res}_{s=-(b+k) / a} \mathcal{Y}_{f, a, b}(s)$ is algebraic over the field of coefficients of $f$.

Proof. For the first statement, there exists $M_{s}>0$ such that $\left|f(t)^{s}\right| \leq M_{s}$ for $t \in[0,1]$. Hence,

$$
\left|\int_{0}^{1} t^{a s+b-1} f^{s}(t) d t\right| \leq M_{s} \int_{0}^{1} t^{a \Re(s)+b-1} d t=\left.M_{s} \frac{t^{a \Re(s)+b}}{a \Re(s)+b}\right|_{0} ^{1}=\frac{M_{s}}{a \Re(s)+b}
$$

For the second statement, we consider the Taylor expansion of $f(t)^{s}$ at $t=0$ of order $k$ :
$f^{s}(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{\left(f^{s}\right)^{(i)}(0)}{i!} t^{i}+t^{k+1} R_{s, k}(t), \quad R_{s, k}(t)=\frac{1}{k!} \int_{0}^{1}(1-u)^{k}\left(f^{s}\right)^{(k+1)}(u t) d u$.
Hence,

$$
\mathcal{Y}_{f, a, b}(s)=\sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{\left(f^{s}\right)^{(i)}(0)}{(a s+b+i) i!}+H(s)
$$

where

$$
H(s):=\int_{0}^{1} t^{a s+b+k} R_{s, k}(t) d t
$$

Note that $H(s)$ is holomorphic for $\Re(s)>-\frac{b+k+1}{a}$, and the first terms are rational functions. Hence, the second statement is true.

For the third one, note that

$$
\underset{s=-\frac{b+k}{a}}{\operatorname{Res}} \mathcal{Y}_{f, a, b}(s)=\frac{\left(f^{-(b+k) / a}\right)^{(k)}(0)}{a k!},
$$

which satisfies the conditions.
In general, we will deal with more general integrals which a priori are not so well defined. For example, let $f(t), g(t)$ be two real analytic functions in $t^{1 / N}$ in $[0, T]$, for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $T>0$. Let $K$ be the field of coefficients of the power
series of $f, g$ at 0 . Let $r_{f}, r_{g}$ be the orders of $f, g$ at 0 , respectively, and assume that $f(t)>0$ for $t \in(0, T]$. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}, a \geq 0, b>0$ be fixed. Consider the improper integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Y}_{f, g, a, b}(s):=\int_{0}^{T} f(t)^{s} g(t) t^{a s+b} \frac{d t}{t} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us define $a_{1}=a+r_{f}$ and $b_{1}=b+r_{g}$. The following result is a direct consequence of the Proposition 2.1, using a simple change of variables.

Corollary 2.2. The function $s \mapsto \mathcal{Y}_{f, g, a, b}(s)$ satisfies the following properties:
(1) It is absolutely convergent for $\Re(s)>\alpha_{0}:=-\frac{b_{1}}{a_{1}}$ (the whole of $\mathbb{C}$ if $a_{1}=0$ ).
(2) It has a meromorphic continuation on $\mathbb{C}$ with simple poles, which are contained in $S=\left\{\left.-\frac{N b_{1}+k}{N a_{1}} \right\rvert\, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\}$.
(3) The residue $\operatorname{Res}_{s=-\frac{N b_{1}+k}{N a_{1}}} \mathcal{Y}_{f, g, a, b}(s)$ is algebraic over $K$.

## §2.2. Two-variable integrals

Definition 2.3. We say that a real polynomial $f \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$ is positive if $f(x, y)>0$ for all $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$.

Let us state the two-variable counterpart of Proposition 2.1. Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$ be positive. Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a_{1}, a_{2} \geq 0, b_{1}, b_{2} \geq 1$. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Y}(s)=\mathcal{Y}_{f, a_{1}, b_{1}, a_{2}, b_{2}}(s):=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} f(x, y)^{s} x^{a_{1} s+b_{1}} y^{a_{2} s+b_{2}} \frac{d x}{x} \frac{d y}{y} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.4 (Essouabri). The function $\mathcal{Y}(s)$ satisfies the following properties:
(1) It is absolutely convergent for $\Re(s)>\alpha_{0}$, where $\alpha_{0}=\sup \left(-\frac{b_{1}}{a_{1}},-\frac{b_{2}}{a_{2}}\right)$.
(2) It has a meromorphic continuation on $\mathbb{C}$ with poles of order at most 2 contained in $S=\left\{-\frac{b_{1}+\nu_{1}}{a_{1}}, \nu_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\} \cup\left\{-\frac{b_{2}+\nu_{2}}{a_{2}}, \nu_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\}$.

In order not to break the line of the exposition, the proof of this proposition is given in Appendix A. Note that no information is given in the above proposition for the residues. Let us introduce some notation.

Notation 2.5. Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. We will denote by $G_{f}(s)$ the meromorphic continuation of

$$
\int_{0}^{1} f(t) t^{s} \frac{d t}{t}
$$

Proposition 2.6. With the hypotheses of Proposition 2.4 , let $\nu_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\alpha=-\frac{b_{1}+\nu_{1}}{a_{1}} \neq-\frac{b_{2}+\nu_{2}}{a_{2}}$ for all $\nu_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$; then the pole of $\mathcal{Y}(s)$ at $\alpha$ is simple and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Res}_{s=\alpha} \mathcal{Y}(s)=\frac{1}{\nu_{1}!a_{1}} G_{h_{\nu_{1}, \alpha, x}}\left(a_{2} \alpha+b_{2}\right), \quad h_{\nu_{1}, \alpha, x}(y):=\frac{\partial^{\nu_{1}} f^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\nu_{1}}}(0, y) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of this proposition is also given in Appendix A. Note that, under the hypotheses of the proposition, the function $G_{h_{\nu_{1}, \alpha, x}}$ admits an integral expression that is absolutely convergent and holomorphic for $\Re(s)>-N_{2}-1$, with $N_{2}$ such that $\alpha>-\frac{b_{2}+N_{2}+1}{a_{2}}$. The following result is also a straightforward consequence of the proof of Proposition 2.4.

Proposition 2.7. Let $\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{2}$ such that $\alpha=-\frac{b_{1}+\nu_{1}}{a_{1}}=-\frac{b_{2}+\nu_{2}}{a_{2}}$; then the pole at $\alpha$ is of order at most 2 and

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow \alpha} \mathcal{Y}(s)(s-\alpha)^{2}=\frac{1}{\nu_{1}!\nu_{2}!a_{1} a_{2}} \frac{\partial^{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}} f^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\nu_{1}} \partial y^{\nu_{2}}}(0,0)
$$

We finish this section with a result that relates these integrals to the beta function.

Lemma 2.8. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Given $s_{1}, s_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $-\alpha=s_{1}+s_{2}>0$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\left(y^{p}+c\right)^{\alpha}}\left(p s_{1}\right)+G_{\left(1+c x^{p}\right)^{\alpha}}\left(p s_{2}\right)=\frac{c^{-s_{2}}}{p} \boldsymbol{B}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{B}$ is the beta function.
The proof appears in Appendix A.

## §3. Candidate roots

Since we are going to use mostly Bernstein polynomials instead of $b$-exponents, it will be more convenient to work with the opposite exponents. If we study closely Yano's set of candidates for the $b$-exponents given by the exponents of the generating series (1.3), we can check that for a branch with $g$ characteristic pairs, this set can be decomposed in a union of $g$ subsets, each one associated to a characteristic pair. For example, in the case $g=1$ and characteristic sequence ( $n_{1}, m$ ), with $\operatorname{gcd}\left(n_{1}, m\right)=1$, the set of opposite $b$-exponents is decomposed into only one set,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A:=\left\{-\frac{m+n_{1}+k}{m n_{1}}: 0 \leq k<m n_{1}, \frac{m+n_{1}+k}{m}, \frac{m+n_{1}+k}{n_{1}} \notin \mathbb{Z}\right\} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that max $A=-\frac{m+n_{1}}{m n_{1}}$, which is the opposite of the log canonical threshold of the singularity and we have

$$
\max A-1<\rho \leq \max A \quad \forall \rho \in A
$$

agreeing with (1.2). Recall that the conductor of the semigroup generated by $\left(n_{1}, m\right)$ is $m n_{1}-m-n_{1}$.

Let us consider the case $g=2$. Let us fix some notation. We work with curve singularities with characteristic sequence $\left(n_{1} n_{2}, m n_{2}, m n_{2}+q\right)$, where

- $1<n_{1}<m, \operatorname{gcd}\left(m, n_{1}\right)=1$;
- $q>0, n_{2}>1, \operatorname{gcd}\left(q, n_{2}\right)=1$.

In order to use the integrals of Section 2, we will restrict to real singularities with Puiseux expansion

$$
x=\cdots+a_{1} y^{\frac{m}{n_{1}}}+\cdots+a_{2} y^{\left(m n_{2}+q\right) / n_{1} n_{2}}+\cdots
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{*}$ (only characteristic terms are shown, the other coefficients are also real). The semigroup $\Gamma$ of these singularities is generated by $n_{1} n_{2}, m n_{2}$ and $m n_{1} n_{2}+q$. Its conductor equals

$$
n_{2}\left(m n_{1} n_{2}+q\right)-\left(m+n_{1}\right) n_{2}-q+1 .
$$

We are going to deal with most local irreducible curve singularities with two Puiseux pairs, where most stands for nonmultiple eigenvalues for the algebraic monodromy. The condition on the eigenvalues is equivalent to (1.4).

Example 3.1. Let us consider $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^{2}$ such that $m n_{1} n_{2}+q=a m+b n_{1}$. Since the conductor of the semigroup generated by $n_{1}, m$ equals $(m-1)\left(n_{1}-1\right)$, we deduce that such coefficients exist with the condition $a, b \geq 0$. We can prove that $a, b \geq 1$ using (1.4). Then the functions

$$
F_{ \pm}(x, y)=\left(x^{n_{1}} \pm y^{m}\right)^{n_{2}}+x^{a} y^{b}
$$

define singularities of this type.
Let us express Yano's set of opposite candidates as the union of two subsets $A_{1}, A_{2}$. The first one looks like $A$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}:=\left\{\alpha=-\frac{m+n_{1}+k}{m n_{1} n_{2}}: 0 \leq k<m n_{1} n_{2}, \text { and } n_{2} m \alpha, n_{2} n_{1} \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the last condition is equivalent to neither $m$ nor $n_{1}$ being divisors of $m+n_{1}+k$. The second one corresponds to the second Puiseux pair:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}:=\{\left.\alpha=-\frac{\overbrace{\left(m+n_{1}\right) n_{2}+q+k}^{N_{k}}}{n_{2} \underbrace{\left(m n_{1} n_{2}+q\right)}_{D}} \right\rvert\, 0 \leq k<n_{2} D \text { and } n_{2} \alpha, D \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}\} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the last condition is equivalent to neither $n_{2}$ nor $D$ being divisors of $N_{k}$. They satisfy the following conditions:
(A1) These two subsets are disjoint under condition (1.4);
(A2) $\max A_{i}-\min A_{i}<1$ for $i=1,2$;
(A3) $-\max A_{1}$ is the $\log$ canonical threshold of those singularities;
(A4) $0<\max A_{1}-\max A_{2}<1$.
These subsets are decomposed as disjoint unions $A_{1}=A_{11} \sqcup A_{12}$ and $A_{2}=$ $A_{21} \sqcup A_{22}$ using the semigroups associated to the singularity. The set $A_{11}$ is formed by the elements of $A_{1}$ whose numerator is in the semigroup generated by $\left(m, n_{1}\right)$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{11}:=\left\{\left.-\frac{m \beta_{1}+n_{1} \beta_{2}}{m n_{1} n_{2}} \in A_{1} \right\rvert\, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\right\} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set $A_{21}$ is formed by the elements of $A_{2}$ whose numerator (minus $q$ ) is in $\Gamma$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{21}:=\left\{\left.-\frac{N_{k}}{n_{2} D} \right\rvert\, N_{k}-q \in \Gamma\right\} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following lemma means that $A_{12}$ and $A_{22}$ are somewhat small.
Lemma 3.2. If $\alpha \in A_{i 2}, i=1,2$, then $\max A_{1}-\alpha<1$. In an equivalent way,
(1) if $-\frac{m+n_{1}+k}{m n_{1} n_{2}} \in A_{11}$, then $k \leq m n_{1}-m-n_{1}$;
(2) if $-\frac{N_{k}}{n_{2} D} \in A_{21}$, then $\frac{N_{k}}{n_{2} D}<\frac{m+n_{1}}{m n_{1} n_{2}}+1$.

Proof. The first statement follows from the fact that $(m-1)\left(n_{1}-1\right)$ is the conductor of the semigroup generated by $m, n_{1}$.

For the second one, we use the conductor and $\Gamma$ to obtain

$$
N_{k}<n_{2} D-\left(m+n_{1}\right) n_{2}+1
$$

Then,

$$
\frac{N_{k}}{n_{2} D}<1-\frac{\left(m+n_{1}\right) n_{2}-1}{n_{2} D}<1+\frac{m+n_{1}}{m n_{1} n_{2}}
$$

Remark 3.3. The connection between the set $\operatorname{Spec}(f)$ of spectral numbers and the roots of the Bernstein polynomial has been investigated by many authors. The spectral numbers are such that $0<\tilde{\alpha}_{1} \leq \tilde{\alpha}_{2} \leq \cdots \leq \tilde{\alpha}_{\mu}$, where $\mu$ is the Milnor number. We know that $\tilde{\alpha}_{1}=-\max A_{1}$ and the set $\operatorname{Spec}(f)$ is constant under $\mu$-constant deformation of the germ. The main results in [17, 11, 10] imply that the set $\tilde{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Spec}(f)$, such that $\tilde{\alpha}<\tilde{\alpha}_{1}+1$ are roots of the Bernstein polynomial $b_{f_{t}}(s)$ of every $\mu$-constant deformation $\left\{f_{t}\right\}$ of $f$. In fact, it can be proved that those spectral numbers are contained in the set $A_{11} \cup A_{21}$, so a good chunk of the candidate roots are already known to be roots of the Bernstein polynomial. In a forthcoming paper [2], the authors will describe the set of all common roots of the Bernstein polynomial $b_{f_{t}}(s)$ of any $\mu$-constant deformation $\left\{f_{t}\right\}$ of $f$ with characteristic sequence $\left(n_{1} n_{2}, m n_{2}, m n_{2}+q\right)$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q, n_{1}\right)=1$ or $\operatorname{gcd}(q, m)=1$.

## §4. Residues of integrals at poles in $A_{1}$

Definition 4.1. A polynomial $f \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$ is said to be of type $\left(n_{1} n_{2}, m n_{2}\right.$, $\left.m n_{2}+q\right)^{+}$if it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, y)=\left(x^{n_{1}}+y^{m}+h_{1}(x, y)\right)^{n_{2}}+x^{a} y^{b}+h_{2}(x, y), \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\left(\mathrm{G}^{+} 1\right) h_{1}(x, y)=\sum_{(i, j) \in \mathcal{P}_{n_{1}, m}} a_{i j} x^{i} y^{j} \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$, where

$$
\mathcal{P}_{n_{1}, m}:=\left\{(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{2} \mid m i+n_{1} j>m n_{1}\right\} ;
$$

$\left(\mathrm{G}^{+} 2\right) a, b \geq 0$ such that $a m+b n_{1}=m n_{1} n_{2}+q$;
$\left(\mathrm{G}^{+} 3\right)$ the polynomial $h_{2} \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$, whose support is disjoint from the first term, satisfies that the characteristic sequence of $f$ is $\left(n_{1} n_{2}, m n_{2}, m n_{2}+q\right)$;
$\left(\mathrm{G}^{+} 4\right) f>0$ in $[0,1]^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$.
For $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, and $f$ of type $\left(n_{1} n_{2}, m n_{2}, m n_{2}+q\right)^{+}$we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)(s)=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} f(x, y)^{s} x^{\beta_{1}} y^{\beta_{2}} \frac{d x}{x} \frac{d y}{y} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $f$ does not satisfy the conditions stated in Section 2 and we cannot ensure that $I\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)(s)$ is well defined, because $f(0,0)=0$. The purpose of the following proposition is to prove that, after a suitable change of variables, $I\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)(s)$ is expressed as a linear combination of integrals as in Proposition 2.4. In order to simplify the notation, we define $\tilde{h}_{2}(x, y):=x^{a} y^{b}+h_{2}(x, y)$. We will use the following properties:
$\left(\mathrm{G}^{+} 5\right)$ The minimum degree of $h_{1}\left(x^{m}, y^{n_{1}}\right)$ is greater than $m n_{1}$.
$\left(\mathrm{G}^{+} 6\right)$ The minimum degree of $\tilde{h}_{2}\left(x^{m}, y^{n_{1}}\right)$ is greater than $m n_{1} n_{2}$.
Proposition 4.2. Let $f$ be of type $\left(n_{1} n_{2}, m n_{2}, m n_{2}+q\right)^{+}$and $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. The integral $I\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)(s)$ is absolutely convergent for $\Re(s)>-\frac{\beta_{1} m+\beta_{2} n_{1}}{m n_{1} n_{2}}$ and may have simple poles only for $s=-\frac{\beta_{1} m+\beta_{2} n_{1}+\nu}{m n_{1} n_{2}}, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Proof. In this proof we are going to transform $I\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)(s)$ into a sum of integrals of type $\mathcal{Y}(s)$, for which we may apply Proposition 2.4. For the first step, we apply the change of variables

$$
x=x_{1}^{m}, \quad y=y_{1}^{n_{1}}
$$

Let us define

$$
\tilde{f}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right):=f\left(x_{1}^{m}, y_{1}^{n_{1}}\right)=\left(x_{1}^{m n_{1}}+y_{1}^{m n_{1}}+h_{1}\left(x_{1}^{m}, y_{1}^{n_{1}}\right)\right)^{n_{2}}+\tilde{h}_{2}\left(x_{1}^{m}, y_{1}^{n_{1}}\right)
$$

We obtain (after renaming the coordinates back to $x, y$ )

$$
I\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)(s)=m n_{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \tilde{f}(x, y)^{s} x^{m \beta_{1}} y^{n_{1} \beta_{2}} \frac{d x}{x} \frac{d y}{y}
$$

Let us decompose the square $[0,1]^{2}$ into two triangles:

$$
D_{1}:=\left\{(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2} \mid x \geq y\right\}, \quad D_{2}:=\left\{(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2} \mid x \leq y\right\}
$$

We express

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)(s)=m n_{1}\left(I_{1}\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)(s)+I_{2}\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)(s)\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each integral $I_{j}$ has as integration domain $D_{j}$ :

$$
I_{1}\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)(s)=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{x} \tilde{f}(x, y)^{s} y^{n_{1} \beta_{2}} \frac{d y}{y}\right) x^{m \beta_{1}} \frac{d x}{x}
$$

and

$$
I_{2}\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)(s)=\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{y} \tilde{f}(x, y)^{s} x^{m \beta_{1}} \frac{d x}{x}\right) y^{n_{1} \beta_{2}} \frac{d y}{y}
$$

Let us first study $I_{1}\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)(s)$. We consider the change of variables

$$
x=x_{1}, \quad y=x_{1} y_{1} .
$$

There is a polynomial $f_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ determined by $\tilde{f}\left(x_{1}, x_{1} y_{1}\right)=x_{1}^{m n_{1} n_{2}} f_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$.
Renaming the variables,

$$
f_{1}(x, y)=\left(1+y^{m n_{1}}+x h_{11}(x, y)\right)^{n_{2}}+x \tilde{h}_{21}(x, y), \quad h_{11}, \tilde{h}_{21} \in \mathbb{R}[x, y] .
$$

The integral becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)(s)=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} f_{1}(x, y)^{s} x^{m \beta_{1}+n_{1} \beta_{2}+m n_{1} n_{2} s} y^{n_{1} \beta_{2}} \frac{d x}{x} \frac{d y}{y} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now study $I_{2}\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)(s)$ with the change of variables

$$
x=x_{1} y_{1}, \quad y=y_{1} .
$$

As above, there is a polynomial $f_{2}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ such that $\tilde{f}\left(x_{1} y_{1}, y_{1}\right)=y_{1}^{m n_{1} n_{2}} f_{2}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$. Renaming the variables,

$$
f_{2}(x, y)=\left(x^{m n_{1}}+1+y h_{12}(x, y)\right)^{n_{2}}+y \tilde{h}_{22}(x, y), \quad h_{12}, \tilde{h}_{22} \in \mathbb{R}[x, y] .
$$

The integral becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)(s)=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} f_{2}(x, y)^{s} x^{m \beta_{1}} y^{m \beta_{1}+n_{1} \beta_{2}+m n_{1} n_{2} s} \frac{d x}{x} \frac{d y}{y} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The key point is that the functions $f_{1}(x, y)$ and $f_{2}(x, y)$ are positive, i.e., they do not vanish at $(0,0)$ and we can apply Proposition 2.4. Therefore $I_{1}\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)(s)$ and $I_{2}\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)(s)$ are absolutely convergent for $\Re(s)>-\frac{m \beta_{1}+n_{1} \beta_{2}}{m n_{1} n_{2}}$, and have meromorphic continuation to the whole plane $\mathbb{C}$ with possible simple poles at $\alpha=-\frac{m \beta_{1}+n_{1} \beta_{2}+\nu}{m n_{1} n_{2}}$ with $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

We study the possible poles $\alpha \in A_{1}$, defined in (3.2).

## §4.1. Residues at poles in $A_{11}$

In this subsection, let $\alpha \in A_{11}$, i.e., there exist $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=-\frac{m \beta_{1}+n_{1} \beta_{2}}{m n_{1} n_{2}} ; \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

see (3.4).
Proposition 4.3. Let $f$ be of type $\left(n_{1} n_{2}, m n_{2}, m n_{2}+q\right)^{+}$. Then, the integral $I\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)(s)$ has a pole for $s=\alpha$ and its residue is $\frac{1}{m n_{1} n_{2}} \boldsymbol{B}\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{n_{1}}, \frac{\beta_{2}}{m}\right)$.
Proof. With the notation in the proof of Proposition 4.2, one has

$$
f_{1}^{\alpha}(0, y)=\left(1+y^{m n_{1}}\right)^{n_{2} \alpha}, \quad f_{2}^{\alpha}(x, 0)=\left(x^{m n_{1}}+1\right)^{n_{2} \alpha} .
$$

The residues of the integrals $I_{1}, I_{2}$ are computed using Proposition 2.6. For $I_{1}$, we have $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)=\left(m n_{1} n_{2}, m \beta_{1}+n_{1} \beta_{2}\right)$ and $\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)=\left(0, n_{2} \beta_{2}\right)$ :

$$
\underset{s=\alpha}{\operatorname{Res}} I_{1}\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)(s)=\frac{1}{m n_{1} n_{2}} G_{f_{1}^{\alpha}(0, \cdot)}\left(n_{1} \beta_{2}\right) .
$$

With the same ideas,

$$
\underset{s=\alpha}{\operatorname{Res}_{2}} I_{2}\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)(s)=\frac{1}{m n_{1} n_{2}} G_{f_{2}^{\alpha}(\cdot, 0)}\left(m \beta_{1}\right) .
$$

Recall that $I=m n_{1}\left(I_{1}+I_{2}\right)$. We apply Lemma 2.8 where $c=1, p=m n_{1}$, $\alpha=n_{2} \alpha, s_{1}=\frac{\beta_{1}}{n_{1}}$ and $s_{2}=\frac{\beta_{2}}{m}$, and we obtain

$$
\underset{s=\alpha}{\operatorname{Res}^{2}} I\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)(s)=\frac{1}{m n_{1} n_{2}} \boldsymbol{B}\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{n_{1}}, \frac{\beta_{2}}{m}\right) .
$$

Remark 4.4. Let $\alpha \in A_{11}$. Since $A_{11} \subset A_{1}$, the rational number $-n_{2} \alpha$ is not an integer by (3.2). From the definition of $\alpha$ in (4.6), it is clear that if $\frac{\beta_{1}}{n_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $m n_{2} \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ also contradicts (3.2). Hence $\frac{\beta_{1}}{n_{1}}, \frac{\beta_{2}}{m}$ are not integers. Then, using a theorem of Schneider in [19], we know that $\boldsymbol{B}\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{n_{1}}, \frac{\beta_{2}}{m}\right)$ is transcendental.

## $\S 4.2$. Residues at poles in $A_{12}$

In the above subsection, we succeeded in computing the exact residue because in the application of Proposition 2.6, no derivation was needed. For elements in $A_{12}$ the situation is much more complicated and we will restrict our computation to some particular examples. Let us fix $\alpha=-\frac{m+n_{1}+k}{m n_{1} n_{2}} \in A_{12}$. We can express

$$
\begin{equation*}
m i_{0}+n_{1} j_{0}=m n_{1}+k \text { for some }\left(i_{0}, j_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{2} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $m n_{1}$ is greater than the conductor of the semigroup generated by $m, n_{1}$. Let

$$
f_{+t}(x, y):=\left(x^{n_{1}}+y^{m}+t x^{i_{0}} y^{j_{0}}\right)^{n_{2}}+x^{a} y^{b}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}
$$

with $a$ and $b$ as in (4.1).
Proposition 4.5. The function $I\left(f_{+t}, 1,1\right)(s)$ has a pole for $s=-\alpha$ and its residue is a polynomial of degree 1 in $t$ whose coefficient of $t$ equals

$$
\frac{\alpha}{n_{2} n_{1} m} \boldsymbol{B}\left(\frac{1+i_{0}}{n_{1}}, \frac{1+j_{0}}{m}\right) .
$$

Proof. From Lemma 3.2, $1 \leq k \leq m n_{1}-m-n_{1}$. The computation of the residue of $I_{1}(f, 1,1)(s)$ is quite involved for a general polynomial and this is why we restrict our attention to $f_{+t}$. In the notation of Proposition 4.2, we have

$$
\tilde{f}_{+t}(x, y)=\left(x^{m n_{1}}+y^{m n_{1}}+t x^{i_{0} m} y^{j_{0} n_{1}}\right)^{n_{2}}+x^{a m} y^{b n_{1}}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(x, y)=\left(1+y^{m n_{1}}+t x^{k} y^{j_{0} n_{1}}\right)^{n_{2}}+x^{q} y^{b n_{1}} \\
& f_{2}(x, y)=\left(x^{m n_{1}}+1+t x^{i_{0} m} y^{k}\right)^{n_{2}}+x^{a m} y^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Proposition 2.6, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{s=\alpha}{\operatorname{Res}} I_{1}\left(f_{+t}, 1,1\right)(s)=\frac{1}{m n_{1} n_{2} k!} G_{h_{k, \alpha, x}}\left(n_{1}\right), \quad h_{k, \alpha, x}(y)=\frac{\partial^{k} f_{1}^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{k}}(0, y) . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that
(4.9) $\frac{\partial^{k} f_{1}^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{k}}=\alpha f_{1}^{\alpha-1} \frac{\partial^{k} f_{1}}{\partial x^{k}}+$ terms involving $f_{1}^{\alpha-m}$ and $\frac{\partial^{r} f_{1}}{\partial x^{r}}$ with $r<k$.

In the sequel, "..." will mean in this proof independent of the variable $t$. It is easy to obtain the coefficient of $t$ (e.g., differentiating with respect to $t$ and replacing $t$ by 0 ):

$$
\frac{\partial^{r} f_{1}}{\partial x^{r}}(0, y)= \begin{cases}\cdots & \text { if } r<k \\ t k!y^{n_{1} j_{0}}\left(1+y^{n_{1} m}\right)^{n_{2}-1}+\cdots & \text { if } r=k\end{cases}
$$

Thus

$$
\frac{\partial^{k} f_{1}^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{k}}(0, y)=t k!\alpha y^{n_{1} j_{0}}\left(1+y^{n_{1} m}\right)^{n_{2} \alpha-1}+\cdots
$$

The same arguments yield

$$
\underset{s=\alpha}{\operatorname{Res}_{2}} I_{2}\left(f_{+t}, 1,1\right)(s)=\frac{1}{m n_{1} n_{2} k!} G_{h_{k, \alpha, y}}\left(n_{1}\right), \quad h_{k, \alpha, y}(x)=\frac{\partial^{k} f_{2}^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{k}}(x, 0)
$$

and

$$
\frac{\partial^{k} f_{2}^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{k}}(x, 0)=t k!\alpha x^{m i_{0}}\left(x^{n_{1} m}+c\right)^{n_{2} \alpha-1}+\cdots
$$

Hence

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{s=\alpha} I_{1}\left(f_{+t}, 1,1\right)(s)=t \frac{\alpha}{m n_{1} n_{2}} G_{\left(1+y^{n_{1} m}\right)^{-n_{2} \alpha-1}}\left(n_{1}\left(j_{0}+1\right)\right)+\cdots
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{s=\alpha} I_{2}\left(f_{+t}, 1,1\right)(s)=t \frac{\alpha}{m n_{1} n_{2}} G_{\left(x^{n_{1} m}+1\right)^{-n_{2} \alpha-1}}\left(m\left(i_{0}+1\right)\right)+\cdots
$$

If we apply Lemma 2.8 to $\alpha=-n_{2} \alpha-1, s_{1}=\frac{i_{0}+1}{n_{1}}, s_{2}=\frac{j_{0}+1}{m}, p=n_{1} m$, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{s=\alpha} I\left(f_{+t}, 1,1\right)(s)=t \frac{\alpha}{n_{2} n_{1} m} \boldsymbol{B}\left(\frac{1+i_{0}}{n_{1}}, \frac{1+j_{0}}{m}\right)+\cdots
$$

Remark 4.6. Since $\alpha \in A_{12} \subset A_{1}$, by (3.2), it is clear that $-n_{2} \alpha-1 \notin \mathbb{Z}$, and this number is the sum of the arguments of $\boldsymbol{B}$. If $\frac{i_{0}+1}{n_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $n_{1}$ divides $m+k$ and this is forbidden by (3.2). Hence $\frac{i_{0}+1}{n_{1}}, \frac{j_{0}+1}{m} \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Since these three rational numbers are nonintegers, we deduce from [19] that $\boldsymbol{B}\left(\frac{1+i_{0}}{n_{1}}, \frac{1+j_{0}}{m}\right)$ is transcendental.

## §5. Residues of integrals at poles in $A_{2}$

Definition 5.1. A polynomial $f \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$ is said to be of type $\left(n_{1} n_{2}, m n_{2}, m n_{2}+\right.$ $q)^{-}$if it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, y)=g(x, y)^{n_{2}}+x^{a} y^{b}+h_{2}(x, y) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(x, y):=x^{n_{1}}-y^{m}+h_{1}(x, y)$ and
(H1) $h_{1}(x, y)$ is as in $\left(\mathrm{G}^{+} 1\right)$;
(H2) $a, b \geq 0$ such that $a m+b n_{1}=m n_{1} n_{2}+q$;
(H3) there exists $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for

$$
Y\left(x^{1 / m}\right):=\left(x^{1 / m}+a_{1} x^{2 / m}+\cdots+a_{k} x^{(k+1) / m}\right)^{n_{1}}
$$

we have $\operatorname{ord}_{x} g\left(x, Y\left(x^{1 / m}\right)\right)>\frac{m n_{1} n_{2}+q}{m n_{2}}$ and $Y\left(x^{1 / m}\right)>0$ if $0<x \leq 1$; let

$$
g_{Y}(x, y):=\prod_{\zeta_{m}^{m}=1}\left(y-Y\left(\zeta_{m} x^{1 / m}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]
$$

(H4) the polynomial $h_{2} \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$, whose support is disjoint from the first terms, satisfies that the characteristic sequence of $f$ is $\left(n_{1} n_{2}, m n_{2}, m n_{2}+q\right)$;
(H5) let $\mathcal{D}_{Y}:=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid 0 \leq x \leq 1,0 \leq y \leq Y\left(x^{1 / m}\right)\right\}$; then $f>0$ on $\mathcal{D}_{Y} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$.

For $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, \beta_{3} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $f$ of type $\left(n_{1} n_{2}, m n_{2}, m n_{2}+q\right)^{-}$(with $g, Y$, $g_{Y}, \mathcal{D}_{Y}$ as above) we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}\right)(s)=\iint_{\mathcal{D}_{Y}} f(x, y)^{s} x^{\beta_{1}} y^{\beta_{2}} g_{Y}(x, y)^{\beta_{3}} \frac{d x}{x} \frac{d y}{y} . \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 5.2. Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$ be a polynomial of type $\left(n_{1} n_{2}, m n_{2}, m n_{2}+q\right)^{-}$, $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $\beta_{3} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then the integral $\mathcal{I}\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}\right)(s)$ is convergent for $\Re(s)>-\frac{\beta_{1} m+\beta_{2} n_{1}+\beta_{3} m n_{1}}{m n_{1} n_{2}}$ and its set of poles is contained in the set

$$
P_{1} \cup \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} P_{2, i, j},
$$

where

$$
P_{1}:=\left\{\left.-\frac{m \beta_{1}+n_{1} \beta_{2}+m n_{1} \beta_{3}+\nu}{m n_{1} n_{2}} \right\rvert\, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\}
$$

and

$$
P_{2, i, j}:=\left\{\left.-\frac{n_{2}\left(m \beta_{1}+n_{1} \beta_{2}+m n_{1} \beta_{3}+j\right)+q\left(\beta_{3}+i\right)+\nu}{n_{2}\left(m n_{1} n_{2}+q\right)} \right\rvert\, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\}
$$

The poles have at most order 2. The poles may have order 2 at the values contained in $P_{1}$ and $P_{2, i, j}$ for some $i, j$.

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of Proposition 4.2. We start with the change $x=x_{1}^{m}, y=y_{1}^{n_{1}}$. Note that after this change, the integration domain is exactly

$$
\mathcal{D}_{1}:=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, 0 \leq x \leq 1,0 \leq y \leq Y_{1}(x)\right\}
$$

where $Y_{1}(x)=Y(x)^{1 / n_{1}}=x+a_{1} x^{2}+\cdots+a_{k} x^{k+1}$. We rename the coordinates and we obtain

$$
\mathcal{I}\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}\right)(s)=m n_{1} \iint_{\mathcal{D}_{1}} f\left(x^{m}, y^{n_{1}}\right)^{s} x^{m \beta_{1}} y^{n_{1} \beta_{2}} g_{0, Y}(x, y)^{\beta_{3}} \frac{d x}{x} \frac{d y}{y},
$$

where $g_{0}(x, y):=g\left(x^{m}, y^{n_{1}}\right)$ and ord $g_{0}\left(x, Y_{1}(x)\right)>\frac{m n_{1} n_{2}+q}{n_{2}}$ and $g_{0, Y}(x, y)$ is defined in the same way and satisfies $g_{0, Y}\left(x, Y_{1}(x)\right) \equiv 0$.

The following change is $x=x_{1}, y=x_{1} y_{1}$. Let $\tilde{g}(x, y)$ be defined such that $g_{0}\left(x_{1}, x_{1} y_{1}\right)=x_{1}^{n_{1} m} \tilde{g}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$. Let $Y_{2}(x)=\frac{Y_{1}(x)}{x}$, and note that ord $\tilde{g}(x, y)>\frac{q}{n_{2}}$. In the same way, we define $\tilde{f}(x, y)$ such that $f\left(x_{1}^{m}, x_{1}^{n_{1}} y_{1}^{n_{1}}\right)=x_{1}^{n_{1} m n_{2}} \tilde{f}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$. It is easily seen that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{g}(x, y)=1-y^{n_{1} m}+x^{-n_{1} m} h_{1}\left(x^{m}, x^{n_{1}} y^{n_{1}}\right), \\
& \tilde{f}(x, y)=\tilde{g}(x, y)^{n_{2}}+x^{q} y^{n_{1} b}+\tilde{h}_{2}(x, y+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the Newton polygon of $\tilde{h}_{2}(x, y)$ is above the one of $y^{n_{2}}+x^{q}$ (from the condition of $f$ having the chosen characteristic sequence). We define $g_{0, Y}\left(x_{1}, x_{1} y_{1}\right):=$ $x_{1}^{n_{1} m} \tilde{g}_{Y}(x, y)$ in the same way and $\tilde{g}_{Y}\left(x, Y_{2}(x)\right) \equiv 0$.

Let

$$
\mathcal{D}_{2}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, 0 \leq x \leq 1,0 \leq y \leq Y_{2}(x)\right\} .
$$

With the renaming of coordinates, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}\right)(s)=m n_{1} \iint_{\mathcal{D}_{2}} \tilde{f}(x, y)^{s} x^{M+m n_{1} n_{2} s} y^{n_{1} \beta_{2}} \tilde{g}_{Y}(x, y)^{\beta_{3}} \frac{d x}{x} \frac{d y}{y} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M:=m \beta_{1}+n_{1} \beta_{2}+m n_{1} \beta_{3}$.
Note that $\tilde{f}$ is strictly positive on $\mathcal{D}_{2} \backslash\{x=0\}$ and $\tilde{f}(0, y)=1-y^{m n_{1}}$. Then $\tilde{f}>0$ on $\mathcal{D}_{2} \backslash\{(0,1)\}$. This is why we perform the change of variables $x=x_{1}$,
$y=\left(1-y_{1}\right) Y_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)$. From the above properties, if $\hat{g}(x, y)=\tilde{g}\left(x,(1-y) Y_{2}(x)\right)$, its Newton polygon is more horizontal than that of $y^{n_{2}}+x^{q}$ and the coefficient of $y$ equals $m n_{1}$. In particular, if $\hat{f}(x, y)=\tilde{f}\left(x,(1-y) Y_{2}(x)\right)$, then

$$
\hat{f}(x, y)=\left(m n_{1} y\right)^{n_{2}}+x^{q}+\hat{h}(x, y)
$$

where the Newton polygon of $\hat{h}(x, y)$ is above that of the first two monomials.
Since $\tilde{g}_{Y}\left(x, Y_{2}(x)\right) \equiv 0$ then

$$
\tilde{g}\left(x,(1-y) Y_{2}(x)\right)=y q_{Y}(x, y), \quad q_{Y}(0,0)=-n_{1}
$$

Let us define $\hat{g}_{Y}(x, y)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^{\beta_{3}} \hat{g}_{Y}(x, y) & =\tilde{g}_{Y}\left(x,(1-y) Y_{2}(x)\right)^{\beta_{3}}\left((1-y) Y_{2}(x)\right)^{n_{1} \beta_{2}-1} \\
\hat{g}_{Y}(x, y) & =\sum b_{i j} x^{j} y^{i-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

This change of variables transforms the integration domain $\mathcal{D}_{2}$ into the square $[0,1]^{2}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}\right)(s)=m n_{1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \hat{f}(x, y)^{s} x^{M+m n_{1} n_{2} s} y^{\beta_{3}+1} \hat{g}_{Y}(x, y) \frac{d x}{x} \frac{d y}{y} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{g}_{Y}(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$.
We break this integral as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}\right)(s)=m n_{1} \sum_{i \geq 1, j \geq 0} b_{i, j} J_{i, j}(s), \quad b_{1,0}=1, \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
J_{i, j}(s):=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \hat{f}(x, y)^{s} x^{M+j+m n_{1} n_{2} s} y^{\beta_{3}+i} \frac{d x}{x} \frac{d y}{y} .
$$

Each of these integrals looks like the ones in Proposition 4.2 and we apply the same procedure, where $\left(n_{1}, m\right)$ is replaced by $\left(q, n_{2}\right)$. Hence, we get $J_{i, j}(s)=$ $J_{i, j, 1}(s)+J_{i, j, 2}(s)$. Replacing $\beta_{1}$ by $M+j+m n_{1} n_{2} s$ and $\beta_{2}$ by $\beta_{3}+i$ in the statement of Proposition 4.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{i, j, 1}(s)=n_{2} q \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} F_{1}(x, y)^{s} x^{s n_{2} D+B_{i, j}} y^{q\left(\beta_{3}+i\right)} \frac{d x}{x} \frac{d y}{y} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{i, j}=n_{2}(M+j)+q\left(\beta_{3}+i\right)$ and $D=m n_{1} n_{2}+q$ as in (3.3), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{i, j, 2}(s)=n_{2} q \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} F_{2}(x, y)^{s} x^{n_{2}\left(M+m n_{1} n_{2} s+j\right)} y^{s n_{2} D+B_{i, j}} \frac{d x}{x} \frac{d y}{y} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{1}, F_{2}$ are strictly positive in the square. The poles of $J_{i, j, 1}(s)$ are simple and given by

$$
\alpha=-\frac{n_{2}\left(m \beta_{1}+n_{1} \beta_{2}+m n_{1} \beta_{3}+j\right)+q\left(\beta_{3}+i\right)+\nu}{n_{2}\left(m n_{1} n_{2}+q\right)}, \quad \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} .
$$

The poles of $J_{i, j, 2}(s)$ are the above ones and

$$
\alpha=-\frac{m \beta_{1}+n_{1} \beta_{2}+m n_{1} \beta_{3}+j+\nu}{m n_{1} n_{2}}, \quad \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}
$$

they may be double if one element is of both types (for fixed $i, j, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}$ ).

## §5.1. Residues at poles in $A_{21}$

Let $\alpha \in A_{21}$. Because of definition (3.5) of $A_{21}$ and the structure of the semigroup $\Gamma$, there exist $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $\beta_{3} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=-\frac{n_{2}\left(\beta_{1} m+\beta_{2} n_{1}\right)+\beta_{3}\left(m n_{1} n_{2}+q\right)+q}{n_{2}\left(m n_{1} n_{2}+q\right)} . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 5.3. For any $f$ of type $\left(n_{1} n_{2}, m n_{2}, m n_{2}+q\right)^{-}, \alpha$ is a pole of the integral $\mathcal{I}\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}\right)(s)$ with residue

$$
\frac{1}{n_{2}\left(m n_{1} n_{2}+q\right)} \boldsymbol{B}\left(\frac{\beta_{3}+1}{n_{2}},-\alpha-\frac{\beta_{3}+1}{n_{2}}\right) .
$$

Proof. We keep the notation of Proposition 5.2. If $i>1$ or $j>0$ then

$$
\underset{s=\alpha}{\operatorname{Res}} J_{i, j, 1}(s)=\underset{s=\alpha}{\operatorname{Res}} J_{i, j, 2}(s)=0
$$

since the starting point of the poles is shifted by 1 to the left and $\alpha$ is in the semiplane of holomorphy.

We compute the residues for $J_{1,0,1}(s)$ and $J_{1,0,2}(s)$ using Proposition 2.6. Us$\operatorname{ing}(5.6)$, we have $\nu_{1}=0, a_{1}=n_{2}\left(m n_{1} n_{2}+q\right), b_{1}=n_{2}\left(\beta_{1} m+\beta_{2} n_{1}\right)+\beta_{3}\left(n_{2} m_{1} n_{1}+\right.$ $q)+q, a_{2}=0, b_{2}=q\left(\beta_{3}+1\right)$; hence

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{s=\alpha} J_{1,0,1}(s)=\frac{q}{m n_{1} n_{2}+q} G_{\left(\left(m n_{1}\right)^{n_{2}} y^{n_{2} q}+1\right)^{\alpha}}\left(q\left(\beta_{3}+1\right)\right) .
$$

We apply the same computations (exchanging the roles of $x$ and $y$ ), where now $a_{2}=m n_{1} n_{2}^{2}, b_{2}=n_{2}\left(\beta_{1} m+\beta_{2} n_{1}+\beta_{3} m_{1} n_{1}\right)$. Hence,
$\operatorname{Res}_{s=\alpha} J_{1,0,2}(s)=\frac{q}{m n_{1} n_{2}+q} G_{\left(\left(m n_{1}\right)^{n_{2}}+x^{n_{2} q}\right)^{\alpha}}\left(n_{2}\left(m \beta_{1}+n_{1} \beta_{2}+m n_{1} \beta_{3}+m n_{1} n_{2} \alpha\right)\right)$.
Let us apply Lemma 2.8 (exchanging $x$ and $y$ ). We have $\alpha=\alpha, s_{2}=\frac{\beta_{3}+1}{n_{2}}$, $s_{1}=\frac{m \beta_{1}+n_{1} \beta_{2}+m n_{1} \beta_{3}+m n_{1} n_{2} \alpha}{q}, p=n_{2} q$ and $c=\left(m n_{1}\right)^{n_{2}}$. The following condition
is fulfilled:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{2}+s_{1}= & \frac{\beta_{3}+1}{n_{2}}+\frac{m \beta_{1}+n_{1} \beta_{2}+m n_{1} \beta_{3}}{q} \\
& -m n_{1} \frac{n_{2}\left(\beta_{1} m+\beta_{2} n_{1}\right)+\beta_{3}\left(n_{2} m_{1} n_{1}+q\right)+q}{q\left(m n_{1} n_{2}+q\right)} \\
= & \frac{\beta_{3}+1}{n_{2}}+\frac{m \beta_{1}+n_{1} \beta_{2}}{q}\left(1-\frac{m n_{1} n_{2}}{m n_{1} n_{2}+q}\right)-\frac{m n_{1}}{m n_{1} n_{2}+q} \\
= & \frac{\beta_{3}+1}{n_{2}}+\frac{m \beta_{1}+n_{1} \beta_{2}}{m n_{1} n_{2}+q}-\frac{m n_{1}}{m n_{1} n_{2}+q}=-\alpha .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,
$\operatorname{Res}_{s=\alpha}\left(J_{1,0,1}(s)+J_{1,0,2}(s)\right)=\frac{1}{\left(m n_{1}\right)^{\beta_{3}+1} n_{2}\left(m n_{1} n_{2}+q\right)} \boldsymbol{B}\left(\frac{\beta_{3}+1}{n_{2}},-\alpha-\frac{\beta_{3}+1}{n_{2}}\right)$
and the result follows from (5.5).
Remark 5.4. It is obvious that $-\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Assume that $\frac{\beta_{3}+1}{n_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}$. From (5.8) and (3.3), we get a contradiction; hence $\frac{\beta_{3}+1}{n_{2}} \notin \mathbb{Z}$. On the other side, if $-\alpha-$ $\frac{\beta_{3}+1}{n_{2}} \in \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain that $n_{2} \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$, which is in contradiction with (3.3). Hence, $\boldsymbol{B}\left(\frac{\beta_{3}+1}{n_{2}},-\alpha-\frac{\beta_{3}+1}{n_{2}}\right)$ is transcendental.

## §5.2. Residues at poles in $A_{22}$

As in Section 4.2, we now perform a partial computation of the residue for $\alpha \in A_{22}$,

$$
\alpha=-\frac{n_{2}\left(m+n_{1}\right)+q+k}{n_{2}\left(m n_{1} n_{2}+q\right)} .
$$

From the definition of $A_{22}$ and the properties of the semigroup $\Gamma$, we can find nonnegative integers $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, \ell$ such that

$$
\left(a^{\prime} m+b^{\prime} n_{1}\right) n_{2}+\ell\left(m n_{1} n_{2}+q\right)=\left(m n_{1} n_{2}+q\right) n_{2}+k
$$

Let

$$
f_{-t}(x, y):=\left(x^{n_{1}}-y^{m}\right)^{n_{2}}+x^{a} y^{b}+t\left(x^{n_{1}}-y^{m}\right)^{\ell} x^{a^{\prime}} y^{b^{\prime}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}
$$

Proposition 5.5. The function $I\left(f_{-t}, 1,1,0\right)(s)$ has a pole for $s=-\alpha$ and its residue is a polynomial of degree 1 in $t$ whose coefficient of $t$ equals

$$
\frac{\alpha\left(m n_{1}\right)^{1-\ell(\ell+1) / n_{2}}}{n_{2}\left(m n_{1} n_{2}+q\right)} \boldsymbol{B}\left(\frac{\ell+1}{n_{2}},-\alpha+1-\frac{\ell+1}{n_{2}}\right) .
$$

Proof. The poles we are interested in for $J_{i, j, 1}, J_{i, j, 2}$ start, for each $i, j$, at

$$
-\frac{n_{2}\left(m+n_{1}+j\right)+q i}{n_{2}\left(m n_{1} n_{2}+q\right)} .
$$

For $(i, j)$ such that $n_{2} j+q i \leq k$ the integrals $J_{i, j, 1}, J_{i, j, 2}$ may have poles at $\alpha$. We follow the strategy of the proof of Proposition 4.5. The residues are computed using a derivative of order $k-\left(n_{2} j+q i\right)$ (the steps from the first pole). It is not hard to see that if $j \neq 0$ or $i \neq 1$, then the residues are independent of $t$.

Let us study the behavior of $J_{1,0,1}(s)$ and $J_{1,0,2}(s)$. As in the proof of Proposition 4.5, we have

$$
\frac{\partial^{k} F_{1}^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{k}}(0, y)=\alpha k!t\left(m n_{1}\right)^{\ell} y^{q \ell} F_{1}^{\alpha-1}(0, y)+\cdots
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Res}_{s=\alpha}^{\operatorname{Res}} J_{1,0,1}(f)(s) & =\frac{q}{\left(m n_{1} n_{2}+q\right) k!} G_{\left(\partial^{(k, 0)}\left(F_{1}\right)^{\alpha}(0, \cdot)\right)}(q) \\
& =t \frac{\alpha q\left(m n_{1}\right)^{\ell}}{m n_{1} n_{2}+q} G_{\left(\left(m n_{1}\right)^{\left.n_{2} y^{n_{2} q}+1\right)}\right.}(q(\ell+1))+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

With the same arguments,

$$
\frac{\partial^{k} F_{2}^{\alpha}}{\partial y^{k}}(x, 0)=\alpha k!t\left(m n_{1}\right)^{\ell} x^{\left(n_{2}-\ell\right) q+k}\left(F_{2}\right)^{\alpha-1}(x, 0)+\cdots
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Res}_{s=\alpha} J_{1,0,2}(f)(s)= & \frac{q}{\left(m n_{1} n_{2}+q\right) k!} G_{\left(\partial^{(0, k)}\left(F_{2}\right)^{\alpha}(\cdot, 0)\right)}\left(n_{2}\left(m n_{1} n_{2} \alpha+n_{1}+m\right)\right) \\
= & t \frac{\alpha q\left(m n_{1}\right)^{\ell}}{m n_{1} n_{2}+q} G_{\left(\left(m n_{1}\right)^{n_{2}}+x^{n_{2} q}\right)}\left(n_{2}\left(m n_{1} n_{2} \alpha+n_{1}+m\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(n_{2}-\ell\right) q+k\right)+\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1}=\frac{m n_{1} n_{2} \alpha+n_{1}+m}{q}-\frac{\ell}{n_{2}}+\frac{k}{q n_{2}}+1 \\
& s_{2}=\frac{\ell+1}{n_{2}}, \quad p=q n_{2}, \quad c=\left(m n_{1}\right)^{n_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $s_{1}+s_{2}=-\alpha+1$, applying Lemma 2.8, we have

$$
\underset{s=\alpha}{\operatorname{Res}} J_{1}(s)=\frac{\alpha\left(m n_{1}\right)^{-\ell(\ell+1) / n_{2}} t}{n_{2}\left(m n_{1} n_{2}+q\right)} \boldsymbol{B}\left(\frac{\ell+1}{n_{2}},-\alpha+1-\frac{\ell+1}{n_{2}}\right) .
$$

Remark 5.6. Note again that $\boldsymbol{B}\left(\frac{\ell+1}{n_{2}},-\alpha+1-\frac{\ell+1}{n_{2}}\right)$ is transcendental.

## $\S$. Relation of integrals with the Bernstein polynomial

We are using ideas from [5, 6, 7]. Let us fix notation that may cover all the cases. We fix $f, g, Y, g_{Y}, \mathcal{D}_{Y}$ with the following properties:
(B1) The characteristic sequence of $f \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$ is $\left(n_{1} n_{2}, m n_{2}, m n_{2}+q\right)$.
(B2) The characteristic sequence of $g \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$ is $\left(n_{1}, m\right)$ and it has maximal contact with $f$ among all the singularities with the same characteristic sequence.
(B3) The polynomial $Y\left(x^{1 / m}\right) \in \mathbb{R}\left[x^{1 / m}\right]$ (where one of its $n_{1}$-roots is still in $\left.\mathbb{R}\left[x^{1 / m}\right]\right)$ satisfies one of the following conditions:

- $\operatorname{ord}_{x}\left(g\left(x, Y\left(x^{1 / m}\right)\right)\right)>\frac{m n_{1} n_{2}+q}{m n_{2}}$ and it is monotonically increasing in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.
- $Y \equiv 1$.
(B4) $g_{Y}$ is as in (H3) in Section 5.
(B5) $\mathcal{D}_{Y}:=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid 0 \leq x \leq 1,0 \leq y \leq Y\left(x^{1 / m}\right)\right\}$.
(B6) $f(x, y)>0 \forall(x, y) \in \mathcal{D}_{Y} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$.
Let $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $\beta_{3} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let us consider the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}\right)(s)=\iint_{\mathcal{D}_{Y}} f(x, y)^{s} x^{\beta_{1}} y^{\beta_{2}} g_{Y}(x, y)^{\beta_{3}} \frac{d x}{x} \frac{d y}{y} . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

These integrals cover those studied in Sections 4 and 5. For those of Section 4, we take $Y \equiv 1$ and $\beta_{3}=0$ (hence $g_{Y}$ is no longer used). If we need to distinguish them, we will denote by $\mathcal{I}_{+}$those coming from Section 4, and by $\mathcal{I}_{-}$those coming from Section 5 . For $\mathcal{I}_{+}$we may drop the argument $\beta_{3}$.

Let us recall the definition of the Bernstein-Sato polynomial $b_{f}(s)$; see Section 1. It is the lowest-degree nonzero polynomial satisfying the existence of an $s$-differential operator

$$
\mathbf{D}=\sum_{j=0}^{N} D_{j} s^{j}, \quad D_{j}=\sum_{i_{1}+i_{2}<M} a_{j, i_{1}, i_{2}}(x, y) \frac{\partial^{i_{1}}}{\partial x^{i_{1}}} \frac{\partial^{i_{2}}}{\partial y^{i_{2}}}, \quad a_{j, i_{1}, i_{2}} \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{D} \cdot f^{s+1}=b_{f}(s) f^{s} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover (see, e.g., [9]), if $f \in \mathbb{K}[x, y], \mathbb{K} \subset \mathbb{R}$, the polynomials $a_{j, i_{1}, i_{2}}$ have coefficients over $\mathbb{K}$. Applying (6.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{I}\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}\right)(s)=\frac{1}{b_{f}(s)} \mathcal{J} \\
& \mathcal{J}:=\iint_{\mathcal{D}_{Y}} \mathbf{D}\left[f(x, y)^{s+1}\right] x^{\beta_{1}} y^{\beta_{2}} g_{Y}(x, y)^{\beta_{3}} \frac{d x}{x} \frac{d y}{y} . \tag{6.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Following the definition of $\mathbf{D}, \mathcal{J}$ is a linear combination (with coefficients in $\mathbb{K}[s]$ ) of integrals

$$
\mathcal{I}_{i_{1}, i_{2}}\left(\beta_{1}^{\prime}, \beta_{2}^{\prime}, \beta_{3}\right)(s)=\iint_{\mathcal{D}_{Y}} \frac{\partial^{i_{1}+i_{2}} f^{s+1}(x, y)}{\partial x^{i_{1}} \partial y^{i_{2}}} x^{\beta_{1}^{\prime}-1} y^{\beta_{2}^{\prime}-1} g_{Y}(x, y)^{\beta_{3}} d x d y
$$

with $\beta_{i}^{\prime} \geq \beta_{i}$.
Using (4.9), we could express these integrals using derivatives of $f$ and powers of the type $f^{s+1-m}$ (for some nonnegative integer $m$ ). But, following the ideas in [6], we will use integration by parts in order to avoid decreasing the exponent $s+1$.

Let us define $X\left(y^{1 / n_{1}}\right)$ the inverse of the function $Y\left(x^{1 / m}\right)$, when $Y$ is not constant; we set $X \equiv 0$ if $Y$ is constant. Note that $X\left(y^{1 / n_{1}}\right)$ is an analytic function in $y^{1 / n_{1}}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{K}$. The integration by parts with respect to $x$ (if $i_{1}>0$ ) yields

$$
\mathcal{I}_{i_{1}, i_{2}}\left(\beta_{1}^{\prime}, \beta_{2}^{\prime}, \beta_{3}\right)(s)=U-W
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
U & =\int_{0}^{Y(1)}\left[\frac{\partial^{i_{1}+i_{2}-1} f^{s+1}(x, y)}{\partial x^{i_{1}-1} \partial y^{i_{2}}} x^{\beta_{1}^{\prime}-1}\left(g_{Y}(x, y)\right)^{\beta_{3}}\right]_{X\left(\frac{1}{n_{1}}\right.}^{1} y^{\beta_{2}^{\prime}} \frac{d y}{y} \\
W & =\iint_{\mathcal{D}_{Y}} \frac{\partial^{i_{1}+i_{2}-1} f^{s+1}}{\partial x^{i_{1}-1} \partial y^{i_{2}}}(x, y) \frac{\partial\left(x^{\beta_{1}^{\prime}-1}\left(g_{Y}(x, y)\right)^{\beta_{3}}\right)}{\partial x} y^{\beta_{2}^{\prime}} d x \frac{d y}{y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A similar formula is obtained with respect to $y$.
Again using (4.9), we can see that $U$ is a linear combination with coefficients in $\mathbb{K}$ of integrals as in Corollary 2.2 (where the exponents may decrease). The term $W$ is again a linear combination with coefficients in $\mathbb{K}$ of integrals $\mathcal{I}_{i_{1}-1, i_{2}}\left(\beta_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \beta_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \beta_{3}^{\prime}\right)(s)$. Since the index $i_{1}$ decreases (and the same happens with $i_{2}$ integrating with respect to $y$ ) we can summarize these arguments in the following proposition.

Proposition 6.1. Let $f \in \mathbb{K}[x, y]$ be a polynomial whose local complex singularity at the origin has two Puiseux pairs and such that $\mathbb{K}$ is an algebraic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. If $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \geq 1$, and $\beta_{3} \geq 0$ then $\mathcal{I}_{i_{1}, i_{2}}\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}\right)(s)$ is a linear combination over $\mathbb{K}[s]$ of
(1) meromorphic functions having only simple poles whose residues are algebraic over $\mathbb{K}$;
(2) and integrals $\mathcal{I}\left(f, \beta_{1}^{\prime}, \beta_{2}^{\prime}, \beta_{3}^{\prime}\right)(s+1)$ for some triples $\left(\beta_{1}^{\prime}, \beta_{2}^{\prime}, \beta_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\beta_{i}^{\prime} \geq \beta_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$.

Corollary 6.2. Let $f \in \mathbb{K}[x, y]$ be a polynomial whose local complex singularity at the origin has two Puiseux pairs and such that $\mathbb{K}$ is an algebraic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. Then the integral $\mathcal{I}\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}\right)(s)$ is the product of $b_{f}(s)^{-1}$ and a linear combination over $\mathbb{K}[s]$ of meromorphic functions whose residues are algebraic over $\mathbb{K}$ and integrals $\mathcal{I}\left(f, \beta_{1}^{\prime}, \beta_{2}^{\prime}, \beta_{3}^{\prime}\right)(s+1)$.

These results allow us to detect roots of Bernstein polynomials in some cases.
Theorem 6.3. Let $f \in \mathbb{K}[x, y]$ be a polynomial whose local complex singularity at the origin has two Puiseux pairs and whose algebraic monodromy has distinct eigenvalues and such that $\mathbb{K}$ is an algebraic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\alpha$ be a pole of $\mathcal{I}\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}\right)(s)$ with transcendental residue, and such that $\alpha+1$ is not a pole of $\mathcal{I}\left(f, \beta_{1}^{\prime}, \beta_{2}^{\prime}, \beta_{3}^{\prime}\right)(s)$ for any $\left(\beta_{1}^{\prime}, \beta_{2}^{\prime}, \beta_{3}^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\alpha$ is a root of the Bernstein-Sato polynomial $b_{f}(s)$ of $f$.

Proof. Let us consider the equality (6.3). On the left-hand side of the integral, $\alpha$ is a pole with transcendental residue. Let us study the situation on the right-hand side. It can be either a pole of $\mathcal{J}$ or a root of $b_{f}(s)$ (only simple roots!). Note that by Corollary 6.2, if $\alpha$ is a pole of $\mathcal{J}$ then its residue must be algebraic. Then, $\alpha$ must be a root of $b_{f}(s)$.

## §7. Yano's conjecture for two-Puiseux-pair singularities

Let $\left(n_{1} n_{2}, m n_{1}, m n_{2}+q\right)$ be a characteristic sequence such that $\operatorname{gcd}(q, m)=$ $\operatorname{gcd}\left(q, n_{1}\right)=1$, i.e., the monodromy has distinct eigenvalues. The Bernstein-Sato polynomial of a germ $f$ with this characteristic sequence, depends on $f$, but there is a generic Bernstein polynomial $b_{\mu, \text { gen }}(s)$ : for any versal deformation of such an $f$, there exists a Zariski dense open set $\mathcal{U}$ on which the Bernstein-Sato polynomial of any germ in $\mathcal{U}$ equals $b_{\mu, \text { gen }}(s)$.

Recall that the hypothesis on the eigenvalues of the monodromy implies that the set of $b$-exponents consists of a set of $\mu$ distinct values, which are opposite to the roots of the Bernstein polynomial, $\mu$ being the Milnor number of any irreducible germ with $\left(n_{1} n_{2}, m n_{1}, m n_{2}+q\right)$ as characteristic sequence. Hence, in order to prove that Yano's conjecture holds for those characteristic sequences, we need to prove that the set of roots of the Bernstein polynomial $b_{\mu, \text { gen }}(s)$ is $A_{1} \cup A_{2}$.

Theorem 7.1. Let $f(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}\{x, y\}$ be an irreducible germ of a plane curve that has two Puiseux pairs and its algebraic monodromy has distinct eigenvalues. Then Yano's conjecture holds for generic polynomials having as characteristic sequence $\left(n_{1} n_{2}, m n_{1}, m n_{2}+q\right)$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}(q, m)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(q, n_{1}\right)=1$, i.e., the set of opposite $b$-exponents is $A_{1} \cup A_{2}$.

Proof. Let us fix an element $\alpha \in A_{1} \cup A_{2}$.
Let us start with $\alpha \in A_{1}$. Note that $\alpha+1 \geq-\frac{m+n}{m n_{1} n_{2}}$, which is the greater abscissa of convergence of $\mathcal{I}\left(f, \beta_{1}^{\prime}, \beta_{2}^{\prime}\right)(s)$ for all $\beta_{1}^{\prime}, \beta_{2}^{\prime}$. As a consequence, $\alpha$ satisfies the second hypothesis of Theorem 6.3 for any $f$ of type $\left(n_{1} n_{2}, m n_{2}, m n_{2}+q\right)^{+}$.

Assume that $\alpha \in A_{11}$. Let us pick up $f$ of type $\left(n_{1} n_{2}, m n_{2}, m n_{2}+q\right)^{+}$and let $\mathcal{V}$ be the set of such polynomials. We proved in Proposition 4.3 that there exist $\beta_{1}$, $\beta_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $\mathcal{I}\left(f, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right)(s)$ has a simple pole for $s=\alpha$ and its residue equals (up to a rational number) $\boldsymbol{B}\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{n_{1}}, \frac{\beta_{2}}{m}\right)$, and neither $\frac{\beta_{1}}{n_{1}}, \frac{\beta_{2}}{m}$ nor its sum (which equals $\left.-n_{2} \alpha\right)$ are integers. As a consequence, this residue is a transcendental number; see Remark 4.4. Then, if we choose $f$ with algebraic coefficients, all the hypotheses of Theorem 6.3 are fulfilled and $\alpha$ is a root of the Bernstein polynomial of $f$.

Since $\mathcal{V}$ determines a nonempty open set in the real part of a versal deformation, there is a nonempty real open set $\mathcal{V}_{1}$ of real polynomials whose Bernstein polynomial is $b_{\mu, \operatorname{gen}}(s)$. Since polynomials with algebraic coefficients are dense, we conclude that $\alpha$ is a root of $b_{\mu, \text { gen }}(s)$ for all $\alpha \in A_{11}$.

Now let us assume $\alpha \in A_{12}$. By Proposition 4.5, we know that there is an $f_{+t}$ of type $\left(n_{1} n_{2}, m n_{1}, m n_{2}+q\right)^{+}$(and algebraic coefficients) such that $\mathcal{I}\left(f_{+t}, 1,1\right)(s)$ has a simple pole for $s=\alpha$ with a transcendental residue. As above, Theorem 6.3 ensures that $\alpha$ is a root of the Bernstein polynomial of this particular $f_{+t}$. Recall, from Lemma 3.2, that for all $\alpha \in A_{12}, \alpha+1>-\frac{m+n_{1}}{n_{1} n_{2} m}$; in particular $\alpha+1$ cannot be a root of the Bernstein polynomial for any $f$ with characteristic sequence $\left(n_{1} n_{2}, m n_{1}, m n_{2}+q\right)$. We are in the hypothesis of Proposition 1.1; the lower semicontinuity implies that either $\alpha$ or $\alpha+1$ are roots of $b_{\mu, \text { gen }}(s)$; hence, $\alpha$ is a root of $b_{\mu, \operatorname{gen}}(s)$ for all $\alpha \in A_{12}$.

Once the statement is done for the set $A_{1}$ we can use the same kind of arguments for the set $A_{2}$. If $\alpha \in A_{2}$, by (3.3), $\alpha+1>\frac{\left(m+n_{1}\right) n_{2}+q}{n_{2}\left(m n_{1} n_{2}+q\right)}$, which is the maximum pole that can be congruent with $\alpha \bmod \mathbb{Z}$. This ensures the fulfillment of the second hypothesis of Theorem 6.3 for any $f$ of type $\left(n_{1} n_{2}, m n_{2}, m n_{2}+q\right)^{-}$. The rest of the arguments follow the same ideas as above, using instead Propositions 5.3 and 5.5.

## Appendix A. Technical proofs

Proof of Proposition 2.4. The proof follows the same ideas as in Proposition 2.1. Let us consider first the Taylor expansion of $f^{s}$ with respect to $x$ :
$f^{s}(x, y)=\sum_{\nu_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \frac{1}{\nu_{1}!} \frac{\partial^{\nu_{1}} f^{s}}{\partial x^{\nu_{1}}}(0, y) x^{\nu_{1}}+\frac{1}{N_{1}!} \int_{0}^{1} x^{N_{1}+1}\left(1-t_{1}\right)^{N_{1}} \frac{\partial^{N_{1}+1} f^{s}}{\partial x^{N_{1}+1}}\left(t_{1} x, y\right) d t_{1}$.

We apply to each function above its Taylor expansion with respect to $y$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{s}(x, y)= & \sum_{\nu_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \sum_{\nu_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} \frac{1}{\nu_{1}!\nu_{2}!} \frac{\partial^{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}} f^{s}}{\partial x^{\nu_{1}} \partial y^{\nu_{2}}}(0,0) x^{\nu_{1}} y^{\nu_{2}} \\
& +\sum_{\nu_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \frac{x^{\nu_{1}}}{\nu_{1}!N_{2}!} \int_{0}^{1} y^{N_{2}+1}\left(1-t_{2}\right)^{N_{2}} \frac{\partial^{\nu_{1}+N_{2}+1} f^{s}}{\partial x^{\nu_{1}} \partial y^{N_{2}+1}}\left(0, t_{2} y\right) d t_{2} \\
& +\sum_{\nu_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} \frac{y^{\nu_{2}}}{N_{1}!\nu_{2}!} \int_{0}^{1} x^{N_{1}+1}\left(1-t_{1}\right)^{N_{1}} \frac{\partial^{N_{1}+\nu_{2}+1} f^{s}}{\partial x^{N_{1}+1} \partial y^{\nu_{2}}}\left(t_{1} x, 0\right) d t_{1} \\
& +\frac{1}{N_{1}!N_{2}!} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} x^{N_{1}+1} y^{N_{2}+1}\left(1-t_{1}\right)^{N_{1}}\left(1-t_{2}\right)^{N_{2}} \\
& \times \frac{\partial^{N_{1}+N_{2}+2} f^{s}}{\partial x^{N_{1}+1} \partial y^{N_{2}+1}}\left(t_{1} x, t_{2} y\right) d t_{1} d t_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the following notation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{N_{1}, \nu_{2}}^{1}(x, s) & :=\frac{1}{N_{1}!\nu_{2}!} \int_{0}^{1}\left(1-t_{1}\right)^{N_{1}} \frac{\partial^{N_{1}+\nu_{2}+1} f^{s}}{\partial x^{N_{1}+1} \partial y^{\nu_{2}}}\left(t_{1} x, 0\right) d t_{1} \\
\psi_{\nu_{1}, N_{2}}^{2}(y, s):= & \frac{1}{\nu_{1}!N_{2}!} \int_{0}^{1}\left(1-t_{2}\right)^{N_{2}} \frac{\partial^{\nu_{1}+N_{2}+1} f^{s}}{\partial x^{\nu_{1}} \partial y^{N_{2}+1}}\left(0, t_{2} y\right) d t_{2} \\
\mathcal{S}_{N_{1}, N_{2}}(x, y, s):= & \frac{1}{N_{1}!N_{2}!} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left(1-t_{1}\right)^{N_{1}}\left(1-t_{2}\right)^{N_{2}} \\
& \times \frac{\partial^{N_{1}+N_{2}+2} f^{s}}{\partial x^{N_{1}+1} \partial y^{N_{2}+1}}\left(t_{1} x, t_{2} y\right) d t_{1} d t_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

These functions are holomorphic for $s \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence, one can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{Y}(s)= & \sum_{\nu_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \sum_{\nu_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} \frac{1}{\nu_{1}!\nu_{2}!} \frac{\partial^{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}} f^{s}}{\partial x^{\nu_{1}} \partial y^{\nu_{2}}}(0,0) \frac{1}{\left(a_{1} s+b_{1}+\nu_{1}\right)\left(a_{2} s+b_{2}+\nu_{2}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{\nu_{1}=0}^{N_{1}} \frac{1}{a_{1} s+b_{1}+\nu_{1}} \int_{0}^{1} y^{a_{2} s+b_{2}+N_{2}} \psi_{\nu_{1}, N_{2}}^{2}(y, s) d y  \tag{A.1}\\
& +\sum_{\nu_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} \frac{1}{a_{2} s+b_{2}+\nu_{2}} \int_{0}^{1} x^{a_{1} s+b_{1}+N_{1}} \psi_{N_{1}, \nu_{2}}^{1}(x, s) d x \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} x^{a_{1} s+b_{1}+N_{1}} y^{a_{2} s+b_{2}+N_{2}} \mathcal{S}_{N_{1}, N_{2}}(x, y, s) d x d y .
\end{align*}
$$

Let us define

$$
\varphi_{a_{1}, b_{1}, \nu_{2}}^{1}(s):=\int_{0}^{1} x^{a_{1} s+b_{1}+N_{1}} \psi_{N_{1}, \nu_{2}}^{1}(x, s) d x
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{a_{2}, b_{2}, \nu_{1}}^{2}(s) & :=\int_{0}^{1} y^{a_{2} s+b_{2}+N_{2}} \psi_{\nu_{1}, N_{2}}^{2}(y, s) d y \\
\mathcal{R}_{a_{1}, b_{1}, a_{2}, b_{2}}(s) & :=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} x^{a_{1} s+b_{1}+N_{1}} y^{a_{2} s+b_{2}+N_{2}} \mathcal{S}_{N_{1}, N_{2}}(x, y, s) d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

The integral function $\varphi_{a_{1}, b_{1}, \nu_{2}}^{1}$ is absolutely convergent and holomorphic for $\Re(s)>$ $-\frac{b_{1}+N_{1}+1}{a_{1}}$, while $\varphi_{a_{2}, b_{2}, \nu_{1}}^{2}$ is holomorphic for $\Re(s)>-\frac{b_{2}+N_{2}+1}{a_{2}}$.

The function $\mathcal{R}_{a_{1}, b_{1}, a_{2}, b_{2}}$ is absolutely convergent and holomorphic for $\Re(s)>$ $\max \left\{-\frac{b_{1}+N_{1}+1}{a_{1}},-\frac{b_{2}+N_{2}+1}{a_{2}}\right\}$. The result follows.
Proof of Proposition 2.6. The hypothesis ensures that the pole is simple. Choose $N_{1} \geq \nu_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ such that $\alpha>-\frac{b_{2}+N_{2}+1}{a_{2}}$. We use the functions and equalities introduced in the proof of Proposition 2.4. The residue is obtained by evaluating $\frac{a_{1} s+b_{1}+\nu_{1}}{a_{1}} \mathcal{Y}(s)$ at $\alpha$. Using (A.1), we have

$$
\sum_{\nu_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} \frac{1}{\left(a_{2} \alpha+b_{2}+\nu_{2}\right) a_{1} \nu_{1}!\nu_{2}!} \frac{\partial^{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}} f^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\nu_{1}} \partial y^{\nu_{2}}}(0,0)+\frac{1}{a_{1}} \int_{0}^{1} y^{a_{2} \alpha+b_{2}+N_{2}} \psi_{\nu_{1}, N_{2}}^{2}(y, \alpha) d y
$$

Then,

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{s=\alpha} \mathcal{Y}(s)=\sum_{\nu_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} \frac{1}{\left(a_{2} \alpha+b_{2}+\nu_{2}\right) a_{1} \nu_{1}!\nu_{2}!} \frac{\partial^{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}} f^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\nu_{1}} \partial y^{\nu_{2}}}(0,0)+\frac{1}{a_{1}} \varphi_{\nu_{1}, N_{2}}^{2}(\alpha)
$$

Consider the integral $\int_{0}^{1} \partial^{\left(\nu_{1}, 0\right)}\left(f^{\alpha}\right)(0, y) y^{s} \frac{d y}{y}$.
The Taylor formula yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial^{\left(\nu_{1}, 0\right)}\left(f^{\alpha}\right)(0, y)= & \frac{\partial^{\nu_{1}} f^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\nu_{1}}}(0, y) \\
= & \sum_{\nu_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} \frac{1}{\nu_{2}!} \frac{\partial^{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}} f^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\nu_{1}} \partial y^{\nu_{2}}}(0,0) y^{\nu_{2}} \\
& +\frac{1}{N_{2}!} \int_{0}^{1} y^{N_{2}+1}\left(1-t_{2}\right)^{N_{2}}\left(f^{\alpha}\right)^{\left(N_{2}+1\right)}\left(0, t_{2} y\right) d t_{2} \\
= & \sum_{\nu_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} \frac{1}{\nu_{2}!} \frac{\partial^{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}} f^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\nu_{1}} \partial y^{\nu_{2}}}(0,0) y^{\nu_{2}}+\nu_{1}!y^{N_{2}+1} \psi_{\nu_{1}, N_{2}}^{2}(y, \alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

We integrate that function (multiplied by $y^{s-1}$ ) to get

$$
\sum_{\nu_{2}=0}^{N_{2}} \frac{1}{\left(\nu_{2}+s\right) \nu_{2}!} \frac{\partial^{\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}} f^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\nu_{1}} \partial y^{\nu_{2}}}(0,0)+\frac{1}{a_{1}} \int_{0}^{1} y^{s+N_{2}} \psi_{\nu_{1}, N_{2}}^{2}(y, \alpha) d y
$$

and the equality holds.

Proof of Lemma 2.8. Let $G_{1}:=G_{\left(y^{p}+c\right)^{\alpha}}\left(p s_{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{1} & =\int_{0}^{1}\left(y^{p}+c\right)^{\alpha} y^{p s_{1}} \frac{d y}{y} \\
& =\frac{c^{\alpha}}{p} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{y}{c}+1\right)^{\alpha} y^{s_{1}} \frac{d y}{y} \\
& =\frac{c^{-s_{2}}}{p} \int_{0}^{c^{-1}}(y+1)^{\alpha} y^{s_{1}} \frac{d y}{y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $G_{2}:=G_{\left(1+c x^{p}\right)^{\alpha}}\left(p s_{2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{2} & =\int_{0}^{1}\left(1+c x^{p}\right)^{\alpha} x^{p s_{2}} \frac{d x}{x} \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{1}(1+c x)^{\alpha} x^{s_{2}} \frac{d x}{x} \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \int_{1}^{\infty}(x+c)^{\alpha} x^{s_{1}} \frac{d x}{x} \\
& =\frac{c^{-s_{2}}}{p} \int_{c^{-1}}^{\infty}(x+1)^{\alpha} x^{s_{1}} \frac{d x}{x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
G_{1}+G_{2}=\frac{c^{-s_{2}}}{p} \int_{0}^{\infty}(x+1)^{\alpha} x^{s_{1}} \frac{d x}{x}=\frac{c^{-s_{2}}}{p} \boldsymbol{B}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) .
$$
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